PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS MONTHLY INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005

				PRISON PROFILE							
GENDER	S.L.	INSTITUTION	REGION	РОР	САР	CAP %	S.L				
		Camp Hill	Specialized	3,335	2,900	115.0	. 4				
		Fayette	West	1,931	1,914	100.9	4				
		Forest	West	1,834	1,866	98.3	4				
		Frackville	East	1,085	900	120.6	4				
		Graterford	East	3,181	2,744	115.9					
	S. L. 4	Greene	West	1,870	1,823	102.6	4				
		Huntingdon	East	2,046	1,700	120.4	. 4				
		Pine Grove	Specialized	633	626	101.1	4				
		Pittsburgh	West	0	0	0.0	4				
		Smithfield	East	1,203	1,000	120.3	4				
		Albion	West	2,255	1,900	118.7	3				
		Chester	Specialized	1,149	1,150	99.9	3				
		Coal Township	East	1,855	1,600	115.9	3				
		Cresson	East	1,339	1,200	111.6	3				
Male		Dallas	East	1,959	1,750	111.9	3				
	S. L. 3	Greensburg	West	890	800	111.3	3				
		Houtzdale	West	2,266	1,900	119.3	:::3				
		Mahanoy	East	2,230	1,900	117.4	3				
		Retreat	East	865	806	107.3	3				
		Rockview	East	1,973	1,700	116.1	3				
		Somerset	West	2,206	1,900	116.1	3				
	S. L. 2	Laurel Highlands	Specialized	895	889	100.7	2				
		Mercer	West	1,026	900	114.0	2				
		Waymart	Specialized	1,356	1,339	94.8	2				
s.		Waynesburg	West			0.0	:::2				
Female	S. L. 4	Muncy	Specialized	1,035	1,148	90.2	4				
	S. L. 2	Cambridge Springs	Specialized	912	894	102.0	2				
Male & Female	S. L. 2	Quehanna Boot Camp	Specialized	251	414	60.6	2				
		Institution Total	**	41,580	37,663	110.2	::**				
		CCC Total*	**	367	684	53.7	**				
Total		Contract Facilities Total*	**		**	**	**				
		Federal Prisons Total	**	11	cap	**	**				
		DOC Total	**	42,446	38,347	110.5	**				
						*					
		I	Definitions								
ender:		Gender of all inmates housed in the insti	tution.								
nstitution:		Name of state correctional institution.									
egion:		Region in which the institution is located	l.								
opulation:		Department population as of stated date	•								
apacity:		Institutional single cell capacity.									
of Capacity:		Institutional percent of capacity realized	by dividing the population by	y the							
		capacity and multiplying the remainder	by 100.								
ecurity Level:		Institutional security level rating rangin	from community (1) to mari	······· (5)							

** Not Applicable

Office of Planning, Research, Statistics, and Grants

December 31, 2005

Phone: (717) 731-7149

As of May 31, 2004, the Department began reporting "Operational Bed Capacity", which represents the optimal number of inmates that each facility can house based on a number of factors. In addition to cell size and security level, "Operational Bed Capacity" takes into consideration availability of inmate employment or programming, support services, and facility infrastructure. Prior capacity ratings were based soley on the physical characertisitcs of the cells (i.e., cell size and security level). This is not a change in how the Department operates. It is rather a change in how the Department assesses capacity with respect to quality of life and safety for both staff and inmates.

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS MONTHLY INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005

				CUSTODY LEVEL (% OF POP)						RACE (% OF POP)			
GENDER	S.L.	INSTITUTION	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	Not Classified	WHITE	BLACK	HISP	OTHER	
		Camp Hill	0,3	43.6	35.4	17.2	3.5	1,562	41.3	44.1	14.2	0,3	
Male		Fayette	0.2	36.8	43.6	16.3	3.1	193	29.5	60.0	9.7	0.7	
		Forest	0.0	32.2	46.1	20.8	0.9	95	34.8	53.0	11.7	0.5	
		Frackville	0.0	30.5	40.8	25.7	3.0	107	36.8	52.5	9.7	1.1	
	S. L. 4	Graterford	1.1	41.9	40.5	13.3	3.2		22.9	65.5	10.9	0.8	
		Greene	0.1	31.4	31.8	19.3	17.3	419	30.6	59.7	9.1	0.6	
		Huntingdon	1.2	36.6	31.5	27.3	3.3	230	27.6	60.9	10.7	0,8	
		Pine Grove	0.4	39.5	31.4	28.0	0.7	96	23.9	61.9	13.9	0.3	
		Pittsburgh	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	0.0		54.5	45.5	0.0	0.0	
		Smithfield	0.3	32.6	40.8	25.7	0.6	133	31.7	55.7	12.1	0.6	
		Albion	1.1	46.9	30.7	20.6	0.7	321	43.7	47.1	8.3	0.9	
		Chester	2.4	66.8	28.3	2.5	0.0	31	22.5	63.1	14.0	0.4	
Male	S. L. 3	Coal Township	0.6	39.0	37.1	22.5	0.9		37.8	49.7	12.0	0.5	
		Cresson	0.9	45.4	38.7	14.6	0.4	153	51.5	37.7	10.2	0.6	
		Dallas	0.1	39.8	48.3	11.1	0.8	245	34.2	54.7	10.7	0.4	
		Greensburg	1.7	53.0	32.6	12.6	0.1	193	38.3	51.2	10.0	0.6	
		Houtzdale	0.0	40.9	37.0	21.8	0.3	201	36.4	51.3	11.7	0.6	
Male Female Male & Female		Mahanoy	0.1	40.2	41.7	17.1	0.8	246	33.4	55.3	10.4	0.9	
		Retreat	0.4	50.5	37.8	.11.0	0.3	128	40.6	47.7	10.9	0.8	
		Rockview	0.1	46.6	36.1	16.4	0.8	217	38.0	50.2	11.2	0.7	
Male Female Jale & Female		Somerset	1.1	44.2	35.4	19.0	0.4	232	37.8	49.9	11.3	0.9	
	S. L. 2	Laurel Highlands	0.1	83.6	14.8	1.5	0.0	37	57.4	33.2	8.8	0.7	
		Mercer	3.0	89.5	7.5	0.0	0.0	131	54.9	37.4	7.1	0.6	
		Waymart	0.2	89.7	9.8	0.3	0.1	88	60.6	28.0	10.8	0.6	
		Waynesburg	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Female	S. L. 4	Muncy	0.0	35.4	41.6	21.5	1.5	303	54.7	34.8	8.7	1.7	
	S. L. 2	Cambridge Springs	3.0	62.4	31.9	2.6	0.1	67	56,2	33.5	8.3	2.0	
Male & Female	S. L. 2	Quehanna Boot Camp	0.0	95.5	2.7	0.0	1.8	34	36.8	47.7	14.7	0.8	
		Institution Total	0.7	46.0	35.1	16.3	1.9	6,669	37.5	50.9	10.9	0.7	
Total		CCC Total*	99.2	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	4	37.2	45.1	16.7	1.1	
		Contract Facilities Total*	96.5			1.1	0.0		25.0	57.1	17.3	0.6	
		Federal Prisons Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	9	36.4	63.6	0.0	0.0	
		DOC Total	2.7	45,1	34.4	16.0	1.9	6,830	37,4	50.9	11.0	0.7	

	Definitions
L1:	Percent of population designated as community custody level inmates based on PACT. (PA Additive Classification Tool)
L2:	Percent of population designated as minimum custody level inmates based on PACT. (PA Additive Classification Tool)
L3:	Percent of population designated as medium custody level inmates based on PACT. (PA Additive Classification Tool)
L4:	Percent of population designated as close custody level inmates based on PACT. (PA Additive Classification Tool)
L5:	Percent of population designated as maximum custody level inmates based on PACT. (PA Additive Classification Tool)
Not Classified:	Inmates not yet classified by PACT. (PA Additive Classification Tool)
White:	Percent of caucasian inmates in population.
Black:	Percent of african-american inmates in population.
Hisp:	Percent of hispanic inmates in population.
Other:	Percent of other ethnicities or races in population.

*Does not include parolees of CCC's & Contract Facilities or the halfwayback population.

Office of Planning, Research, Statistics, and Grants

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS MONTHLY INSTITUTIONAL PROFILE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005

		A'		AVG SEN	LENGTH	NUM	BER	OFFENSE TYPE (% OF POP)							
							CAPITAL								
GENDER	S.L.	INSTITUTION	AGE	AVG MIN	AVG MAX	LIFERS	CASES	PART I	PART II	PVs					
		Camp Hill	33	49.90	108,34		1	35.2	43.0	21.					
		Fayette	36	91.55	210.48	286	1	57.0	25.3	17.					
		Forest	-33	50.06	113.93	84	θ	38.6	48.2	13.					
		Frackville	35	94.57	216.70	132	0	58.8	18.3	22.9					
	S. L. 4	Graterford		106.88	235.23			53.6		36.					
		Greene	36	104.39	217.54	336	168	59.4	12.3	28.					
		Huntingdon		102.89	235,25	418	0 .	63.8	17.8	18.					
		Pine Grove	25	59.53	133.07	18	0	51.5	24.7	23.8					
Male Fayette 36 91.55 210.48 286 1 57.0 Forest 33 50.06 113.03 84 0 38.6 Farekville 35 94.57 216.70 132 0 58.8 S. L. 4 Graterford 39 106.88 235.23 754 49 53.6 Greene 36 104.39 217.54 33.6 168 59.4 Huntingdon 37 102.89 235.25 418 0 65.8 PiteSburgh 45 54.00 114.00 5 0 54.5 Smithfield 34 85.26 192.45 178 0 45.5 Coal Township 33 67.76 157.09 149 0 45.6 Dallas 40 86.01 199.91 400 45.6 45.4 Dallas 35 61.04 151.44 68 42.5 45.8 Mahanoy 35 63	18.2	27.													
		Smithfield	34	85.26	192.45	178	0	54.9	22.6	22.5					
		Albion	ITTUTION AGE AVE AVE LIFERS CASES PART II PVS 33 39.90 108.34 79 1 35.2 43.0 21.8 36 91.55 210.48 286 1 57.0 25.3 17.7 33 50.06 113.93 84 0 38.6 48.2 13.2 35 94.57 216.70 13.3 0 58.8 18.3 22.9 d 39 106.88 225.23 754 49 55.6 9.8 36.7 30 104.39 217.54 336 168 50.4 12.3 24.3 1 45 54.00 114.400 5 0 54.5 18.2 27.3 1 44 85.26 192.45 178 0 54.5 19.2 33.3 1.5 7.76 14.30 24.0 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.6 25.7												
GENDER S.L. INSTITUTION AGE AVG MIA VAG MAX LIFERS CASES PART 1 PART 1 GENDER S.L. Camp Hill 33 49.90 108.34 107 1 35.2 4 Fayette 36 91.55 210.48 286 1 57.0 2 Forest 33 50.66 113.20 0 58.8 1 S.L. 4 Graterford 39 106.88 235.23 754 49 53.6 1 Huntingdon 70 102.99 235.2 418 0 6.15.5 2 Pitteburgh 45 54.00 114.00 5 0 6.45.5 1 Smithfield 34 85.26 119.20 6 6.45.9 1 Chester 54 6.10 174.00 54.9 2 4.05.0 1.02.1 2 0 6.04.5 1.0 6.04.60 1.0 1.02.1 2 0 6	39.0	10.2													
	67.76	157.09	149		49.1	25.7	25.2								
Male		Cresson	36	78.04	166.62	51	0	49.6	19.9	30.5					
Male		Dallas	40	86.01	199.91	400	θ	55.6	18.6	25.					
		Greensburg	35	61.05	149.12	41	0	36.4	23.4	40.3					
	S. L. 3	Houtzdale		78.21	167.60	134		49.6	25.9	24.0					
		Mahanov	35	73.45	169.51	252	0	50.9	25.4	23.7					
		Retreat		(***************	*************	[2222222222222222]	ka a server e e e é	a a a successor e de s						
		(here exercise the second s		ujere e e e e e e e e ej			(, see a s	an a						
	S. L. 2	***************************************	34					49.9	en en en en en el est						
		line en anter a ser anter a ser a		innere eesti	annon a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		increases in		ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang						
				4			haaaaaaaga	kaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa da						
		(**************************************		(aanaanaa ay	a a su su su su su su su é j	*************	(ananananana)		an an an an an an Arr						
Female	S. L. 4								an a						
		<u> </u>					(and a second second								
Male & Female							; ;								
Marc & Femare	5.1.2														
Total		factore construction and		1					a a a a a a a a a a a a sé a a						
Total				(anno second de la f	a a se a se a se a se a se a s	***********			a a a a ser ser se se sé a s						
				anne e se											
		**************************************		daaaaaaaaaa daa					en en en en en el est						
verage Age:	Average ag	e of inmate population.		Definiti	ons										
			population	. (does not inclue	le life sentences	& PV's) (repor	ted in months)								
-															
			RT I. (mure	der, manslaughte	er, homicide bv v	ehicle, forcible	rape, robberv.								
				,	, , ,	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
				er assaults, forg	erv. fraud. receiv	ing stolen nror	perty, weanons	drunken drivi	ng.						
					•••••	• • •			8'						
			rison breach, kidnapping, statutory rape, deviate sexual intercourse, other sex offenses, narcotic drug laws, and others) W_{2} : Parcent of imputes serving time for violating their conditions of parale (e.g., nanding technical and new convictions)												

Office of Planning, Research, Statistics, and Grants

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS MONTHLY INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAM PROFILE

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005												

INSTITUTION	PARNTG	RELEASE	SEX OFF	D/A	RSAT	AIDS	GERIATRC	L.T.	MED	RELGN	ED	voc	ACT	PSYCH	CSWK	C.I.	SNU	
Albion	X	x	х	(TC)	X ::	: X: :	х	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	X :	X	::: x :	
Cambridge Springs	X	X	X	(TC)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X]	
Camp Hill*	x	X	x	(TC)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	x	
Chester	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		-	
Coal Township	X	X	x	(TC)		:: X ::	х		X ::	X	X	X .	X	X	. X .	x	х.	
Cresson	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	x	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	X	X	
Dallas	X	X	x	(TC)		X	х	X	X	x	X	X	X	x	X	X	X	
Fayette	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	x	X	X	х	X	x	X	X	X	X	
Forest	X	Х	X	x		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	<u>,</u>		
Frackville	x	X	x	(TC)		x	x	х	X	x	х	х	x	x	X	1	X	
Graterford	X	X	x	(TC)	X	X	x	X	X	x	X	X	x	X	X	X	X	
Greene	X	X	X	x		X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Greensburg	X	X	x	(TC)		: X: :	x	X	. x .	X	X	: X	X .	х	X	X	X	
Houtzdale	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Huntingdon	x	х	x	(TC)	X	X	х	X	X	x	x	×	x	x	X	x	x	
Laurel Highlands	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	X	X	X	х		x	x	X			
Mahanoy	X	· · · X · · · ·	x	X		:: X ::	х	x	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	×	
Mercer	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	X		
Muncy*	x	x	x	(TC)		:: X ::	x	x	x	x	X	X	X	х	X	X	. x	
Pine Grove	X	X	X	(TC)		X			X	x	х	X	x	x	X			
Pittsburgh	x	X	x	X		X	x	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	X	X	x	
Quehanna Boot Camp	X	X		x		x				x	х	x	((X			
Retreat	x	X	x	(TC)		X	х	x	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	X	
Rockview	X	X	X	(TC)		X	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х	X	X	X	X	
Smithfield	X	X	x	Х		X	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	X	x	X	X	
Somerset	X	X	X	x	X	X	x	X	X	x	х	X	X	x	X	X	X	
Waymart	x	X	X	(TC)		X	x	x	X	x	X	x	x	x	X	X		
Waynesburg																		
*Diagnostic and Classifica	tion Center							AIDS AIDS education programs available.										
PARNTG	Designates	facilities whic	h have prog	rams an	d			L.T. Indicates programs geared for inmates who are										
	groups focusing upon healthy parenting skills and									serving long sentences such as lifers.								
										Indicates the facility provides health care services.								
									MED Indicates the facility provides health care services RELGN Indicates the facility provides religious services									
RELEASE		cilities which								and related programming.								
	focusing on release planning and what needs to be									Indicates the facility provides a broad spectrum of								
	done to properly maintain oneself in free society.									academic courses.								
SEX OFF						/ for		voc		Indicates the facility offers a range of vocational								
	Designates facilities which have programs and therapy for inmates convicted of sex offenses. Programs range from									courses a				-				
				0	U			ACT							reationa	 I		
D/A	Designates facilities where substance abuse									Indicates the facility offers a variety of recreational activities and access to inmates social organizations. Indicates the facility provides a variety of services including individual/group therapy as well as								
									сн									
	community approach to substance abuse treatment.									psycholog			-					
RSAT						bstance		CSW	к					nge of case	work/			
	Designates facilities that have a RSAT (Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program) available to selected drug related								-	counseling		•••		3- 11 cust				
	technical parole violators.								C.I. Indicates the facility offers correctional industries.									
GERIATRC		here program			older"			SNU										
ODAIATAC	mulcates w	nere program	s are availab	ле 10Г	onuct			DINU		ane speci	ai ive	cus Unit	15 d 110	using area i	n which			

Office of Planning, Research, Statistics, and Grants