

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: State Correctional Institution Camp Hill

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 11/15/2021

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Brian C Sutherland	Date of Signature: 11/15/2021

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Sutherland, Brian
Email:	bcsuther@gmail.com
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	09/13/2021
End Date of On-Site Audit:	09/16/2021

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	State Correctional Institution Camp Hill
Facility physical address:	2500 Lisburn Road, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania - 17011
Facility Phone	
Facility mailing address:	

Primary Contact	
Name:	George Clements
Email Address:	gclements@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	717-975-5210

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Laurel Harry
Email Address:	lharry@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	717-737-4531

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	Lindsay Kendall
Email Address:	lkendall@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	O: (717) 737-4531 ext.5
Name:	George Clements
Email Address:	gclements@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	O: (717) 737-4531
Name:	Tracy Comeaux
Email Address:	tcomeaux@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	O: (717) 737-4531 x4595

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Beth Herb
Email Address:	beherb@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	717-737-4531

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	4194
Current population of facility:	2114
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	2148
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Males
Age range of population:	15-84
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	2, 3, 4, 5
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	Yes
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	884
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	248
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	67

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	1920 Technology Parkway, Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania - 17050
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	7177282573

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	George Little
Email Address:	██████@pa.gov
Telephone Number:	717-728-2573

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	David Radziewicz	Email Address:	dradziewicz@pa.gov

AUDIT FINDINGS

Narrative:

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-audit, on-site audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

The State Correctional Institution Camp Hill (SCI Camp Hill) entered a contract for the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) auditing services with PREA Auditors of America, LLC on January 25, 2021. The primary sole auditor is Brian Sutherland, and no conflict of interest exists between the two parties. Brian is a twenty-four-year correctional veteran and has completed the PREA audit certification process. The terms of this contract began on January 25, 2021, and conclude on or before October 31, 2021, with the submission of the final report. The contract explained the efforts toward transparency, the role of third parties and support staff, compliance considerations regarding the PREA Standards, Department of Justice certification requirements, enough time to conduct the audit, and planning for any corrective action phases. The amount of time to complete the final report could extend past the October 31, 2021, date discussed due to a 180-day corrective action period. No corrective action period was required throughout the conclusion of this audit. The contract specified the on-site review was conducted on September 13, 2021, to September 16, 2021, and the final contract submission included the standard provisions 401-405.

The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections operates the SCI Camp Hill, and it is located at 2500 Lisburn Road, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17001. Initial barriers existed toward the completion of the audit that included concerns with the COVID-19 pandemic however, universal precautions, social distancing, COVID testing, and daily temperature checks were utilized throughout the performance of the audit process and the on-site review. The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, PREA Coordinator was established as the agency contact and the facility PREA Compliance Manager was assigned to conduct the audit as the facility point of contact. No third-party entity exists between PREA Auditors of America, LLC, and the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections to include private contractors, operators, facilities, governmental entities, or ACA paid affiliates.

Pre-Audit Phase:

The Pre-Audit phase began on January 25, 2021, during the contract negotiation process as the auditor reviewed the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections website for information relating to PREA. The website confirmed the most recent PREA audit report was finalized on May 31, 2019, and the facility was found in compliance on 45 standards. The auditor noted no standards exceeded expectation, and 45 met the standards. The auditor reviewed the 2019 PREA Audit Report posted on the Agency website and notated all previously recommended corrective action responses. The auditor also confirmed the first cycle PREA audit was conducted on September 27, 2015. The auditor found the facility in compliance on 41 standards, 4 standards were listed as exceeding expectations (115.11, 115.16, 115.18, & 115.33), 37 met the standards, and 2 standards were listed as not applicable (115.14, and 115.52). The website included data collection reports from 2013 to 2020, and the auditor noted all agency statistical data throughout the review.

The auditor prepared a timeline of events, issue log, and corrective action notations file at this time and informed the PREA Compliance Manager regarding the issue log communication process. The corrective action notations file attempted to explain the purpose of corrective action, the generalized outcome for facility audits, and the corrective action process is a supportive mechanism utilized to enhance the facility compliance practices. The process map was supplied to the PREA Compliance Manager, and this included specific steps within the preaudit, audit, and post audit phases. The auditor noted on the facility website an agency PREA policy, a zero-tolerance statement toward all forms of sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment, a third-party reporting mechanism utilized to report all criminal acts of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Office of Inspector General, facility email, website, and the agency PREA Coordinator.

The auditor reviewed internet searches for the following considerations: pending litigation reports, Department of Justice involvement, federal consent decrees, local oversight, news articles, and press clippings. The auditor found no litigation reports or Department of Justice involvement in the past 12 months leading up to the audit regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This was confirmed with the facility Superintendent during the onsite interview process. The auditor reviewed the mandatory reporting laws in Pennsylvania and determined the following legal considerations: definition of child abuse, time calculations, definition of a juvenile, age considerations, persons required to report, the basis to report, a listing of staff members at institutions, persons encouraged to report suspected abuse, reporting procedures, and applicability of the Mental Health Procedures Act. The auditor reviewed the 2019 PREA Audit Report for the SCI Camp Hill and determined the facility did not accept youthful offenders at that time. Their population was dedicated to inmates 18 years of age or older. This process changed in 2021 as the facility now has a dedicated section of the facility that houses youthful offenders. The youthful offender wing has 20 cells, and 4 cells are double bunked. The auditor verified site and sound separation from the adult inmates during the on-site review. This was confirmed by statements on the facility website, inmate housing rosters, staff and inmate interviews, and population reports indicating inmate age ranges for the past 12 months.

The auditor submitted the preaudit reporting notification to the PREA Resource Center, and an email confirmation was received. On August 2, 2021, communication was established with the agency PREA Coordinator, and the determination was made to utilize the on-line audit

system (OAS). The On-line Audit System is a secure software platform that will prevent the transfer of personally identifiable information and provide the user to have the ability to upload documents, retain the documentation for future use, and record the auditing process through all three important phases. On August 18, 2021, the auditor received an email notification regarding the facility preaudit questionnaire was uploaded on the OAS. This tool is utilized by the auditor to determine the facility information, policies, agency organization chart, contracts, staffing plans, written justifications for deviations within the staffing plan, PREA reviews, staff rounds, population reports, searches, cross-gender viewing incidents, training curriculums, training logs, special needs documentation, hiring and promotion considerations, evidence protocols, medical treatment obligations, intake screening instruments, inmate grievances, investigation reports, and data collection.

There were no barriers to communication involved in the initiation of this preaudit process as the auditor established clear communication with the PREA Coordinator for all electronic follow-ups. The preaudit questionnaire included 322 pages and 882 file attachments upon completion. All documentation was reviewed by the auditor to triangulate compliance considerations, form the basis for the facility operations, terminology, structure, population, staffing, training, medical outreach, SANE/SAFE requirements, volunteer support, local advocacy, and the physical plant. The auditor submitted the PREA Audit Notice to the PREA Compliance Manager. This included both English and Spanish versions, directions regarding the minimum 6 weeks posting requirement prior to the on-site visit, the posting must be in all inmate living areas, visible throughout the facility, utilizing large text, colored paper, must discuss the confidentiality of inmate and staff correspondence, and the facility must provide proof of posting to the auditor. The auditor forwarded the on-site review agenda to the PREA Compliance Manager and the request for interview lists including the following criteria: complete inmate rosters on the first day of the audit and each day thereafter during the on-site review, inmates with disabilities, limited English proficiency, inmates classified as lesbian, gay, bi-sexual, transgender, intersex, inmates in segregated housing, inmates who reported sexual abuse, inmates reporting sexual victimization during risk screening, a complete staff roster, specialized staff, contractors, and volunteers list. The auditor also requested data regarding the total number of inmate grievances, incident reports, all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, all helpline calls that occurred within the last 12 months, all investigations reported in the last 12 months, and all grievances for allegations made within the last 12 months.

On August 9, 2021, the auditor received confirmation from the PREA Compliance Manager and photographic evidence regarding the posting of the auditor notice in all living units. The auditor observed each photo was date and time stamped to indicate the 6-week mandatory compliance, the color of the paper was a bright white, and the writing was visible within the photos. The photos were labeled with the housing unit locations, communal areas, reception, and visitation. The posting was confirmed during the on-site review and through random and informal staff and inmate interviews. The facility provided the notice in both English and Spanish versions and included a statement regarding confidentiality of inmate and staff correspondence. A private post office box was assigned to the auditor for confidential communication from both staff and inmates. The post office box was inspected weekly, by the auditor, and continued to be inspected for correspondence throughout the post audit phase. The PREA Compliance Manager informed the auditor regarding confidential communication and described the legal mail process. The auditor informed the PREA Compliance Manager regarding the postal communications and advised none of the correspondence included information regarding the sexual safety of the facility. The auditor received 6 postal communications from an inmate at SCI Camp Hill. No correspondence was received by the auditor from staff.

On September 2, 2021, the auditor received the allegations and investigations overview document as requested along with the following data: 66 staff-on-inmate allegations of sexual abuse within the last 12 months, 20 inmate-on-inmate allegations of sexual abuse within the last 12 months, 29 staff-on-inmate allegations of sexual harassment in the past 12 months, 8 inmate-on- inmate allegations of sexual harassment in the past 12 months, 33 incident reports regarding sexual abuse, and 15 incident reports involving sexual harassment. The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections does not recognize the grievance system as a method of reporting allegations of sexual abuse. When a grievance form is received indicating an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the grievance is rejected and forwarded immediately to the PREA Compliance Manager for investigation. The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections does not provide a hotline number as a method of reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment. An address is provided to report directly to the agency PREA Coordinator and Office of Inspector General. The inmate may also report the abuse to any staff member, write a letter or request form to any facility or department administrator, or notify a family member or third-party. SCI Camp Hill reported a total of 131 investigations conducted within the past 12 months. This includes 64 Administrative sexual abuse cases, 8 criminal sexual abuse cases, and 35 cases involving sexual harassment. The administrative investigations are conducted following the outcome of the criminal investigations. A total of 6 investigations resulted in substantiated claims for administrative actions, 37 unsubstantiated claims, and 24 claims that the investigation was determined to be unfounded. Administrative investigations are completed for every allegation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and referrals are made for criminal investigations as needed. There are a total of 32 cases that remain pending for investigative review. The facility reported no criminal cases for sexual abuse were referred to the prosecutor for review.

On-Site Audit Phase:

The on-site review began on September 13, 2021, continued until September 16, 2021. The in-brief with facility leadership began at 0800 hours and included the following staff: Facility Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent for Facility Management, Deputy Superintendent for Centralized Services, Deputy Superintendent for Diagnostic Center, Facility PREA Compliance Manager, Corrections Classification Programs Manager, PREA Administrative Officer, agency PREA Coordinator, and the Major of the Guard. The Superintendent provided the welcoming remarks, and the staff introductions commenced following the auditor introduction. The auditor reviewed the agenda for the week, explained the auditor conduct and the site review process, discussed the expectations for informal interactions with staff and

inmates, the file review process, interview expectations, site review, and out briefing on the final day.

The inmate population on the first day of the audit was 2327 total inmates. The facility provided the auditor with a private workstation that included the ability to print and secure documents. The current staffing rosters included 884 staff, 248 facility contractors and 0 volunteers. Additional documents and files reviewed by the auditor during the on-site review included the following: specialized staff and targeted inmate population reports, facility audit logs for the past 30 days, 21 investigative files, 21 staff personnel files, 3 contractor files, 21 inmate classification files, and 21 inmate medical files.

The audit methodology and selection process consisted of specialized staff and targeted inmate populations selected by the auditor. The auditor selected the 5th inmate from each housing unit roster, the 5th inmate listed on the targeted populations roster, and the 5th staff member listed on the daily duty rosters. The auditor was unable to speak with an investigator from the Pennsylvania State Police regarding external investigations due to time constraints. The auditor was not able to speak with a representative from the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital regarding SANE evaluations. Email communication was provided by a representative from Just Detention International on September 2, 2021, indicating a review of the database found no PREA-related information regarding SCI Camp Hill has been received within the last 12 months.

The facility provided a private area for conducting formal interviews with staff and the inmate population. The interviews were conducted with COVID-19 precautions in place such as: at least 6 feet of separation between the auditor and the interview, and the auditor and inmate wore a mask. The selection process for conducting the inmate interviews consisted of utilizing an inmate alpha roster that is organized by housing and bed assignment. The auditor methodology for selecting the random and targeted inmate interviews involved inmates from all living units, PREA education dates, odd number listings, age, and length of stay. The selection process for random staff consisted of staff members from each shift, department, multiple roles, post locations, job titles, and time of service. A total of 54 interviews were conducted with the inmate population and these consisted of the following: 21 random inmates, 2 inmates identifying as transgender, 1 inmate identifying as gay, 3 limited cognitive disability, 1 limited English proficiency, 2 with a physical disability, 1 hard of hearing, 6 inmates who reported sexual abuse, 3 youthful offenders, and 5 inmates who reported sexual victimization during the risk screening. The auditor also conducted 9 informal inmate interviews throughout the site review. The informal interviews supplied the auditor with the knowledge regarding 2 inmates listed in the totals previously discussed. A total of 4 interviews with inmates demonstrated multiple categories.

The staff interviews consisted of 39 total interviews that were selected from shift rosters, specialized staff rosters, and staff identified during inmate interviews: 1 Agency Head, 1 PREA Coordinator, 1 Contract Administrator, 12 random staff interviews, 6 informal staff interviews, 1 segregated housing staff, 1 incident review team staff, 1 first responder staff security, 1 non-security first responder, 1 Intermediate staff, 1 staff that screens for victimization and abusiveness, 1 PREA Compliance Manager, 1 contract staff, 1 intake staff, 1 investigative staff, 1 Facility Superintendent, 1 human resource staff, 1 staff designated to monitor retaliation, 0 program volunteers, 1 Medical Staff, 1 victim advocate, 1 line staff that supervises youthful offenders, 1 program staff that works with youthful offenders, and 1 Mental Health Staff. The informal staff interviews indicated training received regarding the proper procedures for conducting searches, exigent circumstances for conducting the searches, and efforts to enhance safety when performing searches such as utilizing the back of the hand. The staff interviews indicated no cross-gender searches have been conducted in the past 12 months. The auditor conducted 6 informal staff interviews throughout the site review, and this assisted with identifying specialized staff for interviews such as the staff that monitor retaliation. No interviews were conducted with non-medical staff involved in cross gender strip searches as the facility has not reported any cross-gender strip searches in the past 12 months.

During the site review the observation consisted of looking for blind spots and concerns with inmate access and identifying potential concerns with areas that may indicate a one staff to one inmate ratio. This may include limited access areas such as closets, offices, limited visibility concerns, no video monitoring equipment, or potential hiding areas that may impact the sexual safety of the facility. There are no concerns with overcrowding due to the current population of 2327 inmates versus an overall holding capacity of 4194 inmates. The facility reported an average daily population count of 2148 inmates. The facility consists of 56 buildings, 20 housing units, 1 single cell unit, 19 multiple occupancy units, 1 open bay dormitory, and 119 segregation cells. The facility reported the average length of stay at the facility is 17.1 months. The facility reported 6235 inmates were admitted in the past 12 months, 5160 inmates admitted for at least 72 hours or more, and 5084 of those inmates were admitted for 30 days or more. The facility reports 6 trained PREA investigators and all criminal investigations are conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police or in staff related investigations the Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence.

The auditor observed the activities associated with dayshift operations and night shift movements to include supervision practices, staff to inmate ratios, post assignments, video monitoring equipment, inmate activities, and housing unit dayroom practices. Each housing unit had security officers and Supervisors present and responsible to monitor each section within the facility. The facility master control staff provided an overview of all video monitoring equipment, camera placements in the facility, observations of PREA related materials posted in intake, reception, medical, visitation, and 20 inmate living units. The auditor observed the system utilized for the deaf and hard of hearing populations regarding female staff entering the housing units. Female staff entering the housing units will announce their presence by stating "Female on the unit". This process was highly effective and positive feedback was received from both staff and inmates. The facility restrooms, showers, and living units were inspected for compliance and the auditor observed shower doors for privacy while taking a shower, restroom barriers for inmate privacy while using the restroom, and private camera placements throughout the facility that did not indicate cross gender viewing during periods of undress by the population. The housing unit cells have small windows that create a barrier toward the wet cells that have a toilet and sink combination in the room. This prevents inmate viewing while changing clothes and using the restroom except during incidental viewing during routine staff rounds. The SCI Camp Hill also utilizes the intercom system to announce

female staff entering the units. The auditor noted one issue regarding inmate homemade curtains and sheets. The facility addressed this concern during the post audit phase.

There is gender-specific post assignments within the facility and the gender-specific post assignments are a direct plan of action performed by the SCI Camp Hill to remove the viewing capabilities from the monitoring stations of cameras that have the potential to violate the cross-gender viewing concerns. The following posts are dedicated gender specific staff assignments: Transport Officer - a minimum of 1 transport team member will be gender specific, Search Team Officers - a minimum of 1 search team member will be gender specific, RISP Officer - this post is dedicated gender specific, Visiting Room Search Officer - this post is dedicated gender specific, R&D CO2/Intake Officer CO1 - 1 of these positions must be the same gender as the offenders housed at the facility, Infirmary - this post will remain gender specific, Sally Port CO2 & CO1 - this post will remain gender specific, Specialized Treatment Units - housing unit control room posts that conduct live monitoring of cells with affixed cameras will be gender specific, Bus Transport - a minimum of 1 of the 3 staff assigned will be gender specific, Outside Hospital In Room Officer - will be gender specific, Compound Rovers - gender specific at female facilities only due to required pat/frisk searches being conducted, and CI Rover - gender specific at female facilities only due to required pat-frisk searches. The auditor reviewed the daily post assignments during the on-site review and compared the gender assigned with the posted memo requirements. The auditor observed roll call briefing for night shift and witnessed facility count and inmate movements being conducted. The auditor verified the staffing plan associated with each shift and confirmed the current staffing levels of 884 employees, 248 facility contractors, and 0 volunteers.

The auditor observed the activities of staff during the booking process and performing intake procedures. These procedures included the following methods: utilizing the screening instrument, verifying the classification process, providing the inmate with the PREA handout, and observed the strip-searching location to ensure no cross-gender viewing concerns. The intake PREA handout described the facility zero tolerance policy, methods of reporting, detection, response, and methods to avoid manipulation that may lead to abuse. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility in both English and Spanish formats.

The inmate and staff records are stored electronically, and access is limited requiring the PREA Coordinator approval. This includes all electronic classification records and once the risk screening information is uploaded into the server there are limited participants that may have access to this information such as the PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Coordinator, and facility Managers. Inmate phones are in all the inmate living areas and intake section of the facility. No external reporting mechanism is available to the inmate population by dialing specific toll-free numbers as this method of reporting was removed due to abuse of the system. Agency addresses are available to contact the agency PREA Coordinator and the Office of Inspector General. These systems are designed to allow inmates the opportunity to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the staff directly. The facility offers a language line for diverse populations and interpreting.

The auditor noted the following discrepancies throughout the on-site review:

1. The negative pressure cells had inmate homemade shower curtains in place to block privacy while taking a shower. The facility corrected this while on-site and installed facility issued shower curtains.
2. The auditor identified a minor concern regarding female announcements when entering the housing units. This was addressed during the on-site review by the PREA Compliance Manager and further monitoring was enforced throughout the review.
3. A minor training issue was identified regarding the staff inability to convey the names or titles of the facility PREA Investigator, PREA Compliance Manager, and the agency PREA Coordinator. This was addressed on-site during shift briefings and emails to all staff.
4. The inmate and staff interviews indicated the facility PREA hotline as a primary source to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This process was discontinued and is no longer a viable option of reporting. This was addressed during the on-site review by the PREA Compliance Manager, and a notice was posted by the phones in all housing units. The PCM indicated this will also be emphasized by the unit Counselors during meetings with the inmates.
5. The auditor recommended including further details associated with the credibility assessments, staff actions contributing to the incident verification, and considerations to video monitoring statements within the body of the investigative reports. This was addressed by the agency PREA Coordinator.

The exit briefing was conducted with the following staff: Facility Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent for Facility Management, Deputy Superintendent for Centralized Services, Deputy Superintendent for Diagnostic Center, Agency PREA Coordinator (phone), Facility PREA Compliance Manager, Corrections Classification Programs Manager, and the PREA Administrative Officer. The auditor provided an overview that included the following topics: positive reinforcement and compliance considerations, recommendations and areas of improvement, recommendations and areas of concern, wrap-up, and a final thought. The facility Superintendent provided an overall discussion regarding corrective action plans and the auditor authorized a 30-day period to provide documentation necessary to satisfy compliance. The auditor explained the requirements for development of the final report and completion of the audit.

Post Audit Phase:

The facility PREA Compliance Manager provided the necessary documentation to satisfy the recommendations during the on-site review. The specific standards provide additional information relative to auditor recommendations. The auditor reviewed all supporting revisions, documents, investigation reports, and training materials during the post audit review. The auditor verified all corrective action efforts and continued preparation of the Final Report. The auditor and the PREA Coordinator continued electronic communications and finalized the implementations and recommendations associated with compliance. The SCI Camp Hill demonstrated all corrective action procedures and practice based on the audit requirements, and additional discussion is documented in each specific standard.

AUDIT FINDINGS

Facility Characteristics:

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate or resident population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The State Correctional Institution Camp Hill (SCI Camp Hill) is located at 2500 Lisburn Road, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17001, and is governed by the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. The facility is a level five custody prison for adult males and youthful offenders and has a designated capacity of 4194 inmates. The facility is in the Lower Allen Township, of Cumberland County. The facility serves as the state's diagnostic and classification center for men entering the state prison system. This prison is one of twenty-six Correctional Facilities and one motivational bootcamp in the Pennsylvania department.

The SCI Camp Hill is a multifunctional facility and rests on 774 total acres of land and 52 acres enclosed in the secure perimeter. The facility's physical plant is comprised of 56 total buildings, twenty housing units that include: one single cell unit, 19 multiple occupancy units, 1 open bay dormitory, and a restricted housing unit that supports 119 segregation cells. The specialized units support a diversionary treatment unit, mental health unit, youthful offender unit, general population, diagnostic and classification unit, and a residential treatment unit. Housing units A-D are vacant, and the D housing unit is being used for conditions resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.

The secure entrance is a check point where everyone entering the facility undergoes a security clearance. At the entrance of each building, there is a PREA bulletin board that provides information regarding the Agency's Zero Tolerance information, including how to report and access the outside services. Inmates pass these boards multiple times during a 24-hour period moving from the dorms to meals, education, vocation, and recreation. Keeping with the Unit Management concept, each housing unit contains access to the recreation yard. All housing units contain toilets and showers that have been modified to provide privacy with shower doors, privacy walls, curtains, and cross-gender housing announcements from staff.

In addition to housing units, the facility is comprised of an infirmary that includes 25 medical infirmary beds, five medical observations cells, two medical isolation cells, two wards, and six psychiatric observation cells. The facility also includes a main gate complex, chapel, sports complex, kitchen, gymnasium, education buildings, laundry, greenhouse building, rear gate complex, power plant, auto and welding shop, security complex, hospital complex, mental health complex, R-tool room, sewer bar screen building, administration building, central office building, water treatment plant, main store warehouse, C.I. Freight, horse barn, abattoir, utility shed, implement shed, wash rack, manor house, armory, brick shop, root cellar, reservoir, and rifle range.

The SCI Camp Hill maintains an average daily inmate population of 2148 inmates and the population on the first day of the audit was 2327 inmates. The facility reported a maximum facility capacity of 4194 inmates. The SCI Camp Hill reported an age range population of 16-81 years of age, and a total of 6235 inmates admitted during the past 12 months. The facility advised a total of 27 youthful inmates were held at the facility in the past 12 months as SCI Camp Hill does house youthful inmates, and youthful inmates were observed during the on-site review being in sight and sound separation of adult inmates.

Video monitoring systems and mirrors are strategically placed throughout the facility to enhance security and surveillance. Security rounds are conducted at a minimum twice hourly, and the rounds are documented by the staff. I observed the camera views with confinement staff and determined there are no areas that allowed for private viewing of inmates showering during clothing exchange, or property release that would violate cross gender viewing concerns. This was previously addressed by the facility as the agency has developed a policy regarding all video monitoring is conducted as a gender specific post only. The showers contain doors, and specific post assignments are gender specific. Female staff announce their presence when entering male housing units and this was confirmed during inmate and staff interviews. The facility utilizes video monitoring equipment, positioned in specific locations for the operators to view. This includes mounted cameras, in fixed locations, pan-tilt zoom functions, and full vision recording. The video monitoring is recorded with digital video recording and network video recording. Each camera has its own specific DVR and the retention rate for each camera recording is 30 days. The camera coverage is monitored twenty-four hours-a-day by a dedicated officer located in the control center, and no on-site review concerns were detected by the auditor during the review.

The facility employees 884 total staff. The staffing plan is within accepted guidelines and is reviewed annually by SCI Camp Hill staff and the administrative leadership. Overtime is used to fill mandatory posts, if needed, and a preferred staffing level is mandated and monitored by the facility Superintendent. The SCI Camp Hill also indicates 73 contractors and 0 volunteers processed in the last 12 months facility wide. There were no volunteer services offered during the past 12 months because of the COVID-19 virus. The facility provides commissary services, numerous programs, PREA trained volunteer services, visitation, and food service. All criminal investigations are performed by the Pennsylvania State Police and the Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence (BII) for staff investigations or PREA investigations that may have developed during other disciplinary allegations. All administrative investigations are conducted by facility trained PREA Investigators. The facility reports 6 specialized PREA investigators are employed by the facility who are responsible for conducting administrative investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The SCI Camp Hill offers various types of programs and religious services for inmates and promotes a reentry program that prepares inmates leaving with knowledge, skills, and abilities that aid in a productive life. The agency provides programs that include General

Educational Development, Life Skills, Drug Treatment, Faith-based, The Serve Safe Program assists inmates seeking restaurant employment, and these programs include employment, education, housing, drug treatment, driver's license assistance, life skills, and anger management. The agency aids with programming for vocational classes like cooking, block masonry, small engine repair, electrical wiring, commercial cleaning, and plumbing. Inmates may participate in classes for adult education and preparation for the GED. Inmates may also take part in self-help programs on substance abuse, stress, sex offender treatment, Narcotics Anonymous, Alcoholics Anonymous, Braille program, minimum custody readiness, and self-improvement. Academic and Vocational Education programs specific for SCI Camp Hill include the following: GED preparation, Adult Basic Education, Money Smart Program, Pre-Vocational Program, Victim Awareness Education Program, English as a Second Language, Special Education, Business Education, Heating Ventilation Air Conditioning, Graphic Arts, Barber, State Intermediate Punishment, Thinking for a Change, Batterer's Group, Violence Prevention, In-Cell Stress Reduction, Parenting, Outpatient Alcohol and Other Drug, Parole Violators Group, Alcoholics Anonymous/Narcotics Anonymous, Cognitive Behavior Therapy, and Sex Offender Treatment.

The health care services are provided by full-time staff, contract medical positions, and contract specialty providers. All inmates are seen by a physician upon arrival to the facility. The Health Care Administrator provides supervision of all medical care needs along with four Registered Nurse Supervisor positions, 23 full time Registered Nurses, 23 Licensed Practical Nurses, one Medical Records Director, 11 Medical Records Assistants, one Clerk, nine dental staff, psychiatry services, pharmacy services, and multi-disciplinary teams.

The medical care includes: completing the initial intake assessment, routine and additional lab work as ordered, STD testing and treatment as indicated, updating immunization records, seasonal flu vaccinations, dietary services and referrals, administration of medications/treatments as prescribed, assessments of inmate injuries and treatment as required, medical assessments and monitoring with any restraint or segregation, assessments of somatic health complaints with treatment as indicated, develop treatment plans and provide medical discharge plans. Inmates are seen by the dental staff at least annually for a wellness check. Emergency services and forensic examinations are conducted at Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital. The medical staff utilizes an optometrist who provides routine eye exams. Mental health and x-rays are provided on-site.

The facility provides high grade fencing and barbed wire to control the outside perimeter along with armed transportation staff. A highly trained emergency response team is available during activation requirements and trains monthly. Security supervisors are required to conduct unannounced rounds, two times per shift and the facility employs 24-hour security coverage. The auditor reviewed logbook entries and rounds verification reports indicating compliance with this standard. The facility offers additional control and safety measures to combat the threat of sexual abuse and enhance the safety of the facility by deploying perimeter patrols, fence designs, mobile patrols, sally port entrances, perimeter lighting, alarm systems, electronic systems, counts, pass system, personal body alarms, unique security systems or alarms, biometric systems, tower coverage, and corrections emergency Sexual Assault Response Teams (SART) that includes an outside support for victim advocacy.

AUDIT FINDINGS**Summary of Audit Findings:**

The OAS will automatically calculate the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and the number of standards not met based on the auditor's compliance determinations. If relevant, the auditor should provide the list of standards exceeded and/or the list of standards not met (e.g. Standards Exceeded: 115.xx, 115.xx..., Standards Not Met: 115.yy, 115.yy). Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:	2
Number of standards met:	43
Number of standards not met:	0

Number of Standards Exceeded: 2

115.11

Interviews conducted with the PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager confirmed sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the efforts toward PREA compliance. Communication between this auditor, PREA Coordinator, and the PREA Compliance Manager was professional, timely, and very knowledgeable. Interviews conducted with staff, inmates, volunteers, and contractors indicated knowledge regarding the facilities zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager was always accessible throughout the auditing process, responded to emails and phone calls immediately, and provided adequate responses during the on-site review. The auditor confirmed an agency policy mandating zero tolerance of all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has a documented implementation plan outlining the facilities approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency and the facility continue to enhance their efforts toward PREA compliance by attending collaborative meetings with other states, attempting to gain grant funding for additional data collection options, and continuously developing new methods of documentation.

115.16

The evidence reviewed by the auditor reveals a significant level of facility importance regarding inmates with disabilities or inmates with limited English proficiency having the ability to communicate effectively with staff, and be included in each facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has taken an above average approach to accommodate steps to communicate effectively with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, have speech disabilities, are blind or low vision, intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, psychiatric disabilities, or limited English proficient. This includes the braille formats, sign language contract, language line contract, and the 61 potential staff interpreter lists. The inmate and staff interviews did not indicate concerns regarding the use of inmate interpreters, readers, or assistants during sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations. The agency has a policy in a written format and the on-site review indicated the facility practice aligns with the written policy. The Agency head interview indicated a strong knowledge base and the expected communication results designed within the intent of the written policy. The facility interpreter list indicated 15 possible languages the staff at SCI Camp Hill can speak and the facility exceeds the expectation of this standard.

Number of Standards Met: 43

115.12, 115.13, 115.14, 115.15, 115.17, 115.18, 115.21, 115.22, 115.31, 115.32, 115.33, 115.34, 115.35, 115.41, 115.42, 115.43, 115.51, 115.52, 115.53, 115.54, 115.61, 115.62, 115.63, 115.64, 115.65, 115.66, 115.67, 115.68, 115.71, 115.72, 115.73, 115.76, 115.77, 115.78, 115.81, 115.82, 115.83, 115.86, 115.87, 115.88, 115.89, 115.401, 115.403

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard
(Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard
(substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard
(requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Standard 115.11 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Procedures Manual 3. SCI Camp Hill Organizational Chart 4. SCI Camp Hill Corrections Classification and Program Manager Position Description 5. Agency Policy 4.1.1 Human Resources and Labor Relations 6. Agency PREA Coordinator Position Description <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PREA Coordinator 2. PREA Compliance Manager <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff performing cross-gender announcements upon entry to all housing units. 2. Supervisory staff documenting unannounced security rounds in the post logs. 3. Signs and posters indicating zero tolerance posted throughout the facility. <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.11 (a) - Agency PREA policy DC-ADM 008, page 1 mandates a zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse, sexual assault, staff sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment. The agency policy DC-ADM 008 describes the approach toward prevention, detection, reporting, and response to all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes facility preventive measures necessary to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates such as: architectural design, security supervision, video monitoring equipment, inmate orientation procedures, medical screening within 24 hours of arrival, housing considerations, separate showers, classification screenings, 30-day reassessments, facility staffing plan, staff referrals, supervisory notifications, mental health screenings, unannounced supervisory rounds, opposite gender housing announcements, community corrections procedures, and training.</p> <p>During the on-site review, the auditor identified staff performing opposite gender housing announcements when entering all housing units, and unannounced supervisory rounds. The rounds were documented as unannounced in the unit logbooks, and the cross-gender announcements were made over the loudspeaker and verbally by staff entering the units. The auditor noted postings throughout the facility indicating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. The policy includes definitions of prohibited behaviors in policy DC-ADM 008, Glossary of Terms, and these definitions include sexual abuse, sexual assault, staff sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment. There are a total of 11 pages included within this policy as a complete glossary of terms.</p> <p>Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 17, page 1 explains the presumptive approach toward staff who engage in sexual abuse will be termination and prosecution referral. This was also confirmed in policy 4.1.1 Human Resources and Labor Relations, page 7.</p>

Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 17, page 1 describes the sanctions for contractors, volunteers, and referrals to law enforcement. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 17, page 2 includes disciplinary sanctions for inmates found to have participated in all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, indecent exposure, kissing, and inappropriate physical contact. Training is provided for all inmates, staff, volunteers, and contractors for the education of the duties and responsibilities toward prevention, detection, reporting, and response procedures. The auditor reviewed the facility training plan, and power point presentations that described the facility methods toward prevention, detection, reporting, and response procedures. The training materials also provided information relating to performing cross-gender strip searches, body cavity searches, and pat-down searches. The training provided information relating to avoiding inappropriate relationships and communicating effectively with special populations. Agency policy DC-ADM 008 provides information relating to employee, volunteer, contractor, and inmate training regarding zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This policy also informs the staff how to fulfill their responsibilities toward prevention, detection, reporting, and response procedures.

115.11 (b) - Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 1A, pages 1-2, explains the agency employs an upper-level, agency wide PREA Coordinator and designates a PREA Compliance Manager for each facility. The PREA Coordinator position reports directly to the Director of Bureau of Standards, Audits, and Accreditation, and this position is documented in the facility organizational chart as an upper-level Chief position. The auditor reviewed a signed position description by the Statewide PREA Coordinator, and this document was certified on December 3, 2020. The position description emphasized the importance of regulated duties and requirements. The interview with the PREA Coordinator indicated sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee efforts to comply with the PREA Standards. The PREA Coordinator explained the duties and responsibilities associated with the position, direct communication with leadership staff, and confirmed the agency support toward improving the sexual safety of the facility.

115.11 (c) - The PREA Compliance Manager reports directly to the facility Deputy Superintendent of Centralized Services and communicates with the agency wide PREA Coordinator. This position is in the facility organizational chart (Corrections Classification Program Manager). The auditor reviewed a position description signed by the PREA Compliance Manager on December 8, 2020, confirmed by the Deputy Correctional Superintendent on December 8, 2020. There is a total of 34 agency wide PREA Compliance Managers that report to the agency wide PREA Coordinator.

Conclusion: Interviews conducted with the PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager confirmed sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee the efforts toward PREA compliance. Communication between this auditor, PREA Coordinator, and the PREA Compliance Manager was professional, timely, and truly knowledgeable. Interviews conducted with staff, inmates, volunteers, and contractors indicated knowledge regarding the facilities zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager was always accessible throughout the auditing process, responded to emails and phone calls immediately, and provided adequate responses during the on-site review. The auditor confirmed an agency policy mandating zero tolerance of all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has a documented implementation plan outlining the facilities approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency and the facility continue to enhance their efforts toward PREA compliance by attending collaborative meetings with other states, attempting to gain grant funding for additional data collection options, and continuously developing new methods of documentation. Based on the evidence provided the auditor has determined the facility exceeds this standard and no further action is required.

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 300">Standard 115.12 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 383 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 557 1394 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Procedures Manual 3. Statement of Work-Residential Housing and Treatment Initiation to Qualify (ITQ) Contract enacted June 28, 2018 4. PREA Contract Compliance Monitoring Report, pages 1-3, April 22, 2019 5. PREA Contract Compliance Monitoring Worksheet, pages 1-3, PREA Policy, and PREA Audit Final Report <p data-bbox="240 904 352 934">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 960 576 1104" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency Contract Administrator 2. Agency PREA Coordinator 3. SCI Camp Hill Superintendent <p data-bbox="240 1193 464 1223">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1249 1489 1442">115.12 (a-b) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 2, page 1 describes, the Department shall include in any new contract or contract renewal for the housing of a reentrant with a private entity or other entities, including other government agencies, the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Standards and the Department's policies related to PREA compliance. The agency PREA Coordinator serves as the agency contract administrator and the interview indicated all community confinement contracts were renewed on April 1, 2019, will remain in effect until January 31, 2024.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1469 1489 1599">The SCI Camp Hill does not contract with other entities for the confinement of inmates. The auditor confirmed this statement during the Agency's Contract Administrator and facility Superintendent interview. This statement was also confirmed during the 2019 PREA audit report. SCI Camp Hill does not have any responsibility, separate from that on the Agency level, to enter or maintain contracts for confinement of inmates with other agencies or jurisdictions.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1626 1489 1926">The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections currently has 12 contracts for confinement of its inmates with 21 community confinement facilities. These contracts include Fire tree LTD, Lehigh County Department of Corrections Community Corrections Center – Work Release, Gateway Rehabilitation Centers – Braddock, Gaudenzia, Inc., Keystone Correctional Services, Renewal Incorporated, Self-Help Movement Incorporated, the Kintock Group Incorporated, Tomorrows Hope LLC, Transitional Living Centers Incorporated, Treatment Trends Incorporated, and the GEO Group, Inc. The Auditor reviewed the PREA Contract Compliance Monitoring Report and Monitoring Worksheet of each agency. The contract monitoring activity was conducted within the dates required by agency policy. The agency performs status checks regarding the contract policy toward PREA and the current PREA Audit Report. The agency contract monitoring process is very impressive, and the requirements are documented in agency policy DC-ADM 008.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1953 1489 2150">Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all evidence provided, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard. SCI Camp Hill has not entered any contracts in the last 12 months for the confinement of inmates. The agency level does require all contract participants to comply with the PREA standards. The agency level of compliance monitoring exceeds the overall expectations of the standard as the agency has developed policy, procedure, and practice documentation and forms. The PAQ documentation provided an extensive amount of contract monitoring as the agency includes the PREA Audit Report, contract policies, and a monthly monitoring practice toward compliance. No further</p>

action is required for this standard and the auditor determined standard 115.12 meets the expectations.

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	<p data-bbox="244 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="244 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="244 273 504 300">Standard 115.13 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="244 385 1026 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:</p> <p data-bbox="244 501 371 528">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="244 560 1276 1021" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. 2020 Facility Staffing Plan 3. Agency policy DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual, Section 3 Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Supervision/Monitoring. (Effective 07-29-21) 4. SCI Camp Hill 2020 Annual PREA Staffing Review 5. Facility Logbook entries 6. PREA Supervision and Monitoring Worksheet 2021 7. Agency policy 6.3.1, Facility Security Procedures Manual 8. Daily Duty Rosters (30 days) <p data-bbox="244 1111 355 1137">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="244 1169 707 1478" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. Intermediate and Higher-Level Facility Staff 3. Agency PREA Coordinator 4. Facility PREA Compliance Manager 5. Informal Staff Interviews 6. Random Staff Interviews <p data-bbox="244 1568 515 1594">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="244 1626 1129 1711" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Viewed video camera footage, monitors, and storage 2. Inspected facility identified blind spots for locking devices, staff patrols, and log entries <p data-bbox="244 1800 483 1827">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="244 1859 1465 2016">115.13 (a) - The auditor conducted a review of the documented 2020 facility-staffing plan. Facility policy DC-ADM 008, Section 3, page 1 indicates security positions allocated. These positions are broken down into the following classifications: Correction Officer 1 posts, Correction Officer 2 posts, Correction Officer 3 posts, Correction Officer 4 posts, and Correction Officer 5 posts. SCI Camp Hill is currently operating with 882 total staff. The facility reported a total staffing level of 98 percent. The facility reported a total staff compliment to include all facility positions as 882.</p> <p data-bbox="244 2047 1449 2141">The institutional staffing plan is reviewed annually by the facility PREA Compliance Manager, and the staffing plan is reviewed annually by the agency PREA Coordinator. This is a very thorough process that includes the following: a PREA Supervision and Monitoring Worksheet, staffing surveys, local union input, gender- based post assignments, staff audit</p>

teams, post reviews, roster reviews, corrections officer post assignment reports, and final administrative review. The auditor reviewed the SCI Camp Hill Annual PREA Staffing Review signed by the PREA Coordinator and reviewed by five levels of Supervision. These levels include: the PREA Compliance Manager, Major of the Guard, Deputy Superintendent Facilities Management, Facility Superintendent, and the Regional Deputy Secretary. The auditor reviewed the daily operation data for the three days during the on-site review and did not find any deviations within the staffing plan for the three days.

The facility utilizes video monitoring equipment, positioned in specific locations for the operators to view. The video monitoring is recorded with digital video recording and network video recording. Each camera has its own specific DVR and the retention rate for each camera recording is 30 days. SCI Camp Hill has exterior cameras that monitor the perimeter and key areas of the facility. The exterior cameras are monitored by central control room officers, Superintendent's Complex, Deputy's Complex, and all mandatory stations in each building. There are 726 total cameras that are also Pan – Tilt – Zoom and fixed devices that are monitored from Control Room, Superintendent's Complex, Deputy's Complex, and all mandatory stations in each building. SCI Camp Hill's camera coverage is monitored twenty-four hours-a-day by a dedicated officer located in the control center. The post is rotated every two hours. Each camera is monitored by gender specific staff 24 hours a day and the onsite review did not indicate any concerns with cross-gender monitoring. The facility has improved the video monitoring equipment with the recent upgrades and continues to invest opportunities for growth in the future. The facility conducts quarterly meetings with the electronic monitoring committee, suggestions for additional video monitoring equipment will be performed at that time, and dependent upon funding concerns.

The auditor confirmed the security levels for each shift, support staff, administrative staff, maintenance staff, and management by comparing the staff assigned to the daily duty rosters. The facility Superintendent interview indicated the factors considered in the development of this staffing plan includes, accepted detention and correctional practices, no judicial findings of inadequacy from Federal, internal, or external bodies. The composition of the inmate population averaged 2148 inmates and the facility staffing plan predicated to include 4194 inmates. Each housing unit includes 2 correctional officers, 1 correctional sergeant, 1 unit counselor, 1 unit manager, and supervisors conduct rounds two times a day.

All programming activities are during dayshift hours and the facility provides additional staff to accommodate these needs with the addition of these staffing requirements. This is currently being provided by overtime authorization, and newly hired staff. This auditor verified this process during the site inspection as the staffing levels were consistent with the daily roster report. The elements of State, Local Laws, Regulations, Standards, and other relevant factors are considered when developing the staffing plan. There were 6 substantiated allegations of sexual misconduct, 24 unfounded allegations, and 37 unsubstantiated incidents considered prior to the review of the current staffing plan.

115.13 (b) - The facility provided information during the Pre-Audit Questionnaire process indicating no deviations within the staffing plan in the last 12 months. The agency developed the Manpower Survey (MPS) that documents all security personnel, and which post staff members are assigned. The Manpower Survey is conducted every three years and the facility Superintendent confirmed each facility is required to submit a report annually. Facility policy 6.3.1, Facility Security Procedures Manual, Section B, page 4 indicates the PREA Compliance Manager shall complete and submit the PREA Supervision and Monitoring Worksheet as part of the compliance review annually.

All deviations from the post chart are documented in an incident report. In circumstances of non-compliance with the staffing plan, the Facility Manager/designee shall document, in writing, and justify all deviations from the plan. This documentation shall be forwarded to the Executive Deputy Secretary, Executive Deputy Secretary for Institutional Operations, Regional Deputy Secretary, PREA Coordinator at the Department of Corrections PREA Reports resource account, Central Office/Bureau of Community Corrections Security Major, Regional Director, and Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole Regional Director (only when deviations from minimum staffing occur while the lockup is in use). The auditor reviewed 0 incident reports indicating deviations within the staffing plan. The facility reported all post assignments are filled with overtime hiring. The most common overtime needs consisted of FMLA status, sick leave, annual leave, and training. The facility Superintendent indicated in the formal interview there are mandates within the labor arrangements that require every post to be filled. The auditor reviewed a list of current staff documented on the volunteer overtime list and the mandatory overtime list. During the on-site review, the auditor interviewed 2 informal staff on an assigned overtime post, and 2 random staff interviewed on overtime from the third shift.

115.13 (c) - Agency policy 6.3.1, Section 15, Attachment 15-B includes the PREA Supervision and Monitoring Worksheet. This worksheet must be submitted annually by the PREA Compliance Manager to the PREA Coordinator and reviewed annually by agency leadership. The PREA Coordinator and the facility Superintendent interviews confirmed the staffing plan is discussed numerous times throughout the year and changes are necessitated as required. The regulations are established by the Central Office and the Manpower Survey is conducted in October. The results of the survey include considerations to enhance the sexual safety of the facility, the effects of video monitoring equipment, camera placements, and funding are all considerations discussed within the staffing plan. The Superintendent confirmed no current litigation, and no federal mandates are currently present that may affect the sexual safety. The interview indicated full compliance with the provisions of this standard. The auditor reviewed the PREA Supervision and Monitoring Worksheet submitted by the facility PREA Compliance Manager to the PREA Coordinator indicating SCI Camp Hill conducted their annual staffing plan review. This worksheet included a discussion regarding accepted detention practices, judicial findings, oversight, blind spots, isolated

physical plant locations, group dynamics, supervisory staff, programming, regulations, substantiated/unsubstantiated allegations, and vulnerabilities.

115.13 (d) - Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 1, page 4 informs staff regarding supervisor unannounced rounds must be made throughout the facility to deter sexual abuse or sexual harassment on each shift. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 1, page 4 also includes staff are prohibited from alerting other staff members regarding the supervisor rounds and disciplinary action is the standard result of these actions. The on-site review indicated the supervisory rounds are being conducted and documented on the unit logs. The auditor reviewed documented supervisory logs for each month, since January 2020, for the facility Superintendent, Security Captain, and Lieutenant records for special housing, housing unit logs, and inspections conducted by the Shift Supervisor. These documents indicated rounds being conducted during day and night shift activities and at random intervals. The logs did not indicate a distinct pattern as all rounds were conducted at various times and on different shifts.

The facility organizational chart indicates higher level supervisors as Captain and above. All rounds were conducted at random intervals, on multiple shifts, and no distinct pattern was identified. The auditor conducted an interview with a higher-level supervisor indicating the unannounced rounds, documenting the rounds on the supervisory logs, and attempting to conduct the rounds without staff notifications. The interview indicated the supervisor will enter the facility at random locations, double back on security rounds, and monitor the radio traffic regarding supervisory notifications. All staff interviewed indicated disciplinary action as the result of any infractions regarding unannounced rounds throughout their tours. The auditor conducted 6 informal staff and 9 informal inmate interviews, and these interviews indicated higher level staff are present throughout the units on both day and night shifts. The informal staff interviews indicated supervisors are always walking through the units and documenting their presence. The informal inmate interviews indicated supervisory presence within the units.

Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all evidence provided, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard requiring the development and review of a facility staffing plan, intermediate or higher-level supervisors conducting documented unannounced rounds, and the facility has developed a policy that prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the rounds occurring.

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.14 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Facility policy DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual, Section 7, Sexual Abuse/Harassment Prevention 3. Facility Population Analysis of Age Ranges Document - (12 Months) <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line Staff Who Supervise Youthful Inmates 2. Youthful Inmates 3. Education and Program Staff 4. PREA Compliance Manager <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the daily inmate rosters and housing reports 2. Reviewed the facility intake process and classification questionnaire 3. Reviewed the Agency Web Site 4. Reviewed the housing locations, recreation areas, and programming classrooms to verify sight and sound separation from the adult inmates. <p>Results Based on the Following Provisions:</p> <p>115.14 (a-c) - The State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill houses youthful offenders. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 7, page 1 states all male youthful offenders will be housed at SCI Camp Hill female offenders will be housed at SCI Muncy. This practice was confirmed during the interview process by the education and program staff and verified by the facility population analysis of age ranges for the past 12 months. The facility PREA Compliance Manager interview confirmed youthful offenders are housed at SCI Camp Hill. The facility is listed on the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections website as an adult male classification facility. The auditor spoke with staff that supervise youthful offenders and confirmed the process for sight and sound separation from all adult inmates. The facility has one housing unit wing dedicated to the youthful offenders. There are 20 cells located in this area and 4 of the cells are double bunked. The wing has a dedicated education classroom, and all movement is escorted by security staff. The auditor observed the process of the offender movements to the recreational yard. The intercom system is used to announce the movement throughout the entire facility, all adult inmate movement is seized, and the youthful offenders are escorted directly to the location of the recreational area. The auditor did not observe any adult inmate contact with the youthful offenders. The female staff announced their presence prior to entering the youthful offender wing and there are 4 cells with cameras, but the monitoring is a gender specific post assignment.</p> <p>The facility reported no incidents of offenders being housed in special housing. The auditor confirmed the recreation area provides the offender access to large muscle exercise activities, and the facility offers educational programming for the offender population. This was confirmed by the education staff.</p>

Conclusion: The auditor did not find any non-compliance with the housing of the youthful offenders at SCI Camp Hill. All adult inmates were housed in areas separate of sight and sound from the youthful offenders. No further action is required regarding this standard.

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Standard 115.15 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Responses to the Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM008 Sexual Abuse-Sexual Harassment Prevention - Limits to Cross Gender Viewing 3. Gender Specific Post Memo 4. 6.3.1 Facility Search Procedures Manual 5. Cross-Gender Search Validation Form, Attachment 8A 6. PREA Policy Update Refresher Training, 2021 7. Offender Searches In-Service Training, May 2015 <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Non-Medical Staff Involved in Strip Searches = 0 2. Random Sample of Staff/Inmates = 12 Random Staff 3. 6 Informal Staff, and 9 Informal Inmates interviewed 4. Transgender/Intersex population = 2 Transgender on-site, 2 interviewed 5. 21 Random Inmate Interviews <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirmation of gender specific posts compared to the daily duty rosters. 2. Intake Risk Screening and Classification Review. 3. 2 - Transgender inmates observed during the on-site review 4. Opposite gender intercom announcements entering housing units. <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.15 (a) - Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 8, page 1 indicates the facility shall not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. The SCI Camp Hill reported no cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches were conducted in the last 12 months. This includes no searches that involved exigent circumstances or performed by nonmedical staff. This was confirmed through 12 random interviews with staff. As all 12 staff interviews advised the facility does not perform cross gender strip or visual body cavity searches. The auditor conducted 21 random interviews with inmates and several interviews indicated no cross gender strip or visual body cavity searches have been performed. The inmate population advised female staff do not conduct strip searches only the male staff. This information was also confirmed during informal interviews with staff, and informal inmate interviews as the interviews confirmed the female staff are only allowed to perform pat searches.</p>

During the on-site review, the auditor received notice of 2 inmates currently housed at SCI Camp Hill that identifies as a transgender female. The auditor interviewed 2 transgender inmates and indicated she has not been stripped searched by a cross gender staff member. No non-medical staff involved in cross-gender searches were available for interview due to the facility reporting 0 cross-gender searches. One inmate that classifies as a transgender female indicated the facility staff are very respectful. They allow the purchase of female products on the commissary and do not prohibit the wearing of female undergarments.

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 19, page 8 indicates when an exigent circumstance exists regarding a cross-gender search, all searches will be documented on an incident report and forwarded to the Shift Commander, and the PREA Compliance Manager. The auditor reviewed a memo submitted on July 15, 2015, from the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Executive Deputy Secretary to all facility Superintendents. This memo involved the creation of gender specific posts to address the Department's goal toward PREA compliance. The following posts are dedicated gender-specific staff assignments: Transport Officer - a minimum of 1 transport team member will be gender-specific, Search Team Officers – a minimum of 1 search team member will be gender-specific, RISP Officer - this post is dedicated gender specific, Visiting Room Search Officer - this post is dedicated gender specific, R&D CO2/Intake Officer CO1 - 1 of these positions must be the same gender as the offenders housed at the facility, Infirmary - this post will remain gender specific, Sally Port CO2 & CO1 - this post will remain gender specific, Specialized Treatment Units - housing unit control room posts that conduct live monitoring of cells with affixed cameras will be gender specific, Bus Transport - a minimum of 1 of the 3 staff assigned will be gender specific, Outside Hospital In Room Officer - will be gender specific, Compound Rovers - gender specific at female facilities only due to required pat/frisk searches being conducted, and CI Rover gender specific at female facilities only due to required pat-frisk searches. The auditor reviewed the daily post assignments during the on-site review and compared the gender assigned with the posted memo requirements. No discrepancies were noted by the Auditor during the on-site review. All facility posts are awarded by Union Bid and gender specified within the contracted assignments.

115.15 (b) - The SCI Camp Hill does not house female inmates as it is an all-male facility. The facility reported a total of 2 male inmates that identify as a transgender female inmate within the male population. The facility Superintendent confirmed this statement during the onsite review. No female inmates were observed by the auditor during the on-site review, and the facility website indicates SCI Camp Hill is an all-male facility. The auditor reviewed housing unit logs indicating no female gender listings for the population cited in the past 12 months.

115.15 (c) - Agency policy DC-ADM 008, section 8, page 1 requires the facility shall document all cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross-gender pat down searches of male inmates. Facility policy indicates the Cross Gender Search Validation Form, Attachment 8A, will be utilized when conducting cross-gender searches. The facility Superintendent confirmed this statement during the on-site review. No female inmates were observed by the auditor during the on-site review. The SCI Camp Hill has not performed any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender body cavity searches. Interviews conducted with 2 inmates that identify as transgender female confirmed they have not been strip searched by a cross-gender staff member.

115.15 (d) - Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 8, page 2 explains inmates shall be able to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. This policy mandates gender specific staff are assigned to special housing units, Transport Officer, Search Team Officers, RISP Officer, Visiting Room Search Officer, R&D CO2/Intake Officer CO1, Infirmary, Sally Port CO2 & CO1, Specialized Treatment Units, Bus Transport, Outside Hospital in Room Officer, Compound Rovers, and CI Rover. The auditor reviewed the daily post assignments during the on-site review and compared the gender assigned with the posted memo requirements. All facility posts are awarded by Union Bid and gender specified within the contracted assignments.

The facility offers inmate shower doors/curtains that do not create blind spots, half walls to protect from viewing during restroom functions, and mirrors that do not affect privacy. The facility restrooms, showers, and living units were inspected for compliance and the auditor observed shower curtains and doors for privacy while taking a shower, restroom barriers for inmate privacy while using the restroom, and private camera placements throughout the facility that did not indicate cross gender viewing during periods of undress by the population. The housing unit cells have small windows that create a barrier toward the wet cells that have a toilet and sink combination in the room. This prevents inmate viewing while changing clothes and using the restroom except during incidental viewing during routine staff rounds. Informal interviews with 6 staff and 9 inmates indicated no concerns with viewing of this nature. No video monitoring equipment was identified to be positioned to allow for cross gender viewing in this capacity.

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 8, page 2 and facility post order, L-2, page 11 indicates a procedure for staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. This practice was observed throughout the facility site review as staff announced their presence over the intercom system and noted the response in the unit logbooks. The SCI Camp Hill provided signage at the door of each unit requiring this announcement. The auditor reviewed the SCI Camp Hill method of notifying the hearing-impaired inmates when a female staff member is on the unit. As this information is provided over the loudspeaker, and staff announce their presence.

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 19, page 2 forbids staff to examine inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmates genital status. This policy includes transgender and intersex inmates, and if the genital status is unknown, the information will be obtained during the inmate conversations, medical records, or by performing a broader examination conducted by a medical practitioner. The Health Services Administrator confirmed this through random staff and inmate interviews. The PREA Compliance Manager interview and the PREA Coordinator interviews confirmed all inmate information is utilized to ensure this process is adhered too. The agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 19, pages 1-9 explain the departments approach to working with transgender and intersex inmates. Importantly, this policy explains the classification process and ensures the staff effectively interact professionally and respectfully toward this specialized population.

Each facility is required to develop a Plan of Action to prepare for reception and housing of transgender and intersex populations. The daily process was described to the auditor during the on-site review to include the following: The PREA Accommodation Committee (PAC) is utilized to measure the proper placement toward housing, security, programming, and other needs. The following methods of interaction are described within this policy: Reception and Classification, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT), Mental Health Referral Form, Access to Health Care Procedures Manual, PREA Accommodation Committee Checklist (PAC), PREA Accommodation Committee Review, Administrative PREA Accommodation Committee (A-PAC), Transfers, Case Management, Searches, Commissary, and Special Accommodations. Each inmate is considered on a case-by-case basis and the final determination is mandated by the Executive Staff as recommended by the Administrative PREA Accommodation Committee (A-PAC). The A-PAC consists of a representative from each of the following specialties: Psychology office, Bureau of Health Services, Security Division, and a representative from the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Intersex (LGBTI) Subject Matter Expert community. A total of 2 inmates at SCI Camp Hill identify as a transgender female. The inmates interviewed indicated approval with their housing considerations and satisfaction with the commissary program. The inmates advised the commissary at SCI Camp Hill will allow the inmates to purchase female items.

115.15 (f) - Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 8, page 3 indicates all custody staff are trained to conduct proper pat down searches on inmates to include cross-gender searches. SCI Camp Hill facility policy 6.3.1, Section 30, pages 12-24 describe the methods to conducting clothed searches, strip searches, body scanner screenings, and body cavity searches. The training curriculum consists of a Power point titled, "Offender Searches" and the auditor reviewed the entire power point that consisted of 49 slides. This is a mandated training for all employees and the facility indicated all security staff have received the mandatory training in the last 12 months. Random interviews with 12 staff and 6 informal staff interviews indicated knowledge of the training and verbal demonstrations regarding proper conduct. The training curriculum described proper conduct as utilizing the back of the hand to conduct the pat-down search, maintaining strict professionalism, utilizing correct terminology, providing a private area for the search, limited cross-gender viewing, and being respectful toward population needs.

Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all the available evidence, interviews, on-site observations, and policy, procedure, and practice considerations, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard. No corrective action is required.

115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard

Auditor Discussion

Standard 115.16 Analysis

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:

Documents :

1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses
2. DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Procedures Manual, Section 4, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment - Access to Special Populations
3. DC-ADM 006 Reasonable Accommodations for Inmates with Disabilities
4. Policy 205.32 Hiring/Contracting Sign Language Interpreter/Transliterate
5. Agency memo posted July 19, 2019, Intake Inmate PREA Handout (Braille)
6. Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Foreign Language Employee Directory List March 10, 2021
7. DBA PROPIO Language Line Services LLC. Contract January 1, 2021, to December 31, 2023

Interviews:

1. Agency Head (Designee)
2. 2 Inmates with a Physical Disability
3. 1 Inmates with a Hearing Disability
4. 1 Inmate with Limited English Proficiency
5. 3 Inmates with Cognitive Disabilities
6. 12 Random Staff Interviews
7. 6 Informal Staff Interviews

Site Review Observations:

1. Sign Language Interpreter Service
2. Signs and posters indicating zero tolerance posted throughout the facility English/Spanish formats
3. The unit phones are available with a TTY service and Spanish options

Findings by Provision:

115.16 (a) Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 4, page 1 indicates the agency has established procedures to provide disabled inmates equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes language associated with deaf or hard of hearing inmates, blind or having low vision, inmates who have intellectual disabilities, psychiatric disabilities, speech disabilities, and limited English proficient.

The auditor utilized the facility contract language line to interview 1 inmate with a limited English proficiency disability. The inmate advised his primary language as Spanish and the facility provided the PREA materials, inmate handbook, and posters in a language he understood. The Agency Head interview indicated the State has a contract to provide language line

services, the facilities are required to post materials in both English and Spanish formats, there are braille options for the blind, a state contract for sign language services, and all PREA related materials are available in multiple languages. The auditor inspected the inmate phone systems, and the TTY options are available for hard of hearing populations, and the voice recorded options are available in Spanish formats. The auditor reviewed a memo posted by the Agency PREA Coordinator on July 19, 2019, regarding the available use of the Braille Intake Inmate PREA Handout.

115.16 (b) The inmate handbooks are written in both English and Spanish format. The PREA and Americans with Disabilities Act provisions are documented in policy DC-ADM 006, pages 1-18 and indicate the following resources are available for the inmates: closed captioning, large print material, reading of materials to inmates by staff, department translator lists, and the language line services. Inmates are provided the PREA education pamphlet in their primary language upon request and the auditor reviewed the intake process. The auditor observed the closed captioning included within the television viewing, and the intake staff reading the PREA pamphlet to the inmates. The auditor interviewed 1 limited English proficient inmate that indicated gratitude for the language line service. He advised using this service in the past and the facility PREA Compliance Manager ensuring the PREA materials were provided in Spanish format. The auditor reviewed the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Foreign Language Employee Directory List and noted 61 different languages are available for interpretation throughout the State. The Camp Hill Correctional Institution employee foreign language directory listed 15 languages the staff can speak to include Arabic, ASL Finger Spelling, Cantonese, French, German, Mandarin, Spanish, and Turkish.

115.16 (c) The facility provides interpreter services with a language line service known as PROPIO Language Line Services, Inc. This company also requires its interpreters to undergo a medical interpreter credentialing process. This service is available for inmates with limited reading skills in both English and Spanish. Posters and signs are available throughout the facility in both English and Spanish relating to reporting mechanisms, and prevention techniques. This auditor reviewed an agency translator list indicating 61 potential interpretive languages. Staff training files reviewed indicated training received for managing inmates at risk of sexual abuse and identified the policy against using inmate interpreters. The auditor interviewed 12 random staff, and most of the staff indicated the use of the language line to conduct interviews with limited English proficient inmates.

Conclusion: The evidence reviewed by the auditor reveals a significant level of facility importance regarding inmates with disabilities or inmates with limited English proficiency having the ability to communicate effectively with staff, and be included in each facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has taken an above average approach to accommodate steps to communicate effectively with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, have speech disabilities, are blind or low vision, intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, psychiatric disabilities, or limited English proficient. This includes the braille formats, sign language contract, language line contract, and the 61 potential staff interpreter lists. The inmate and staff interviews did not indicate concerns regarding the use of inmate interpreters, readers, or assistants during sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations. The agency has a policy in a written format and the on-site review indicated the facility practice aligns with the written policy. The Agency head interview indicated a strong knowledge base and the expected communication results designed within the intent of the written policy. The facility exceeds the expectation of this standard.

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.17 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Policy 1.1.4 Centralized Clearances Procedure Manual, Section 4, Centralized Clearance Check Procedures 3. 21 Random Staff Personnel Files 4. 4.1.1 Human Resources and Labor Relations Procedure Manual, Section 41, Employment of Job Applicants having Prior Adverse Contacts with Criminal Justice Agencies (CJA) 5. DC-ADM 008, Section 20, page 4, PREA Procedures Manual, Data Collection and Retention 6. 4.1.1 Human Resources and Labor Relations Procedure Manual, Section 40, pages 1-3, Conducting Pre-Employment Background Investigations 7. 4.1.1 Employee Arrests - Felony, Misdemeanor, and Summary Offenses, Section 3, page 1 <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 Human Resource Staff 2. PREA Compliance Manager 3. 6 Informal Interviews with Staff 4. 1 Contract Staff Interview <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 21 Random Staff Personnel Files 2. Biometric Screening Equipment and Identification 3. 9 Contractor Background Screenings Confirmed <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.17 (a) Policy 1.1.4, Section 4, page 3 prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with inmates and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in, been convicted of, or civilly/administratively adjudicated in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or other institution. This was confirmed during the interview with 1 Human Resource staff member. Each staff member must provide responses to specific questions relative to PREA during the submission of the application. A background questionnaire form is completed authorizing the facility to conduct a background screening. The auditor reviewed 21 staff personnel files that indicated a response to these PREA related questions.</p> <p>115.17 (b) Policy 1.1.4, Section 4, page 4 requires the facility to consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates. This was confirmed during the interview with 1 Human Resource staff member. The auditor reviewed 21 staff personnel files indicating their signatures on the background release forms. None of the 21 staff personnel files indicated concerns regarding this</p>

provision.

115.17 (c) The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections agency policy 4.1.1, Section 40, pages 1-3 indicate a screening is required by law prior to hiring. This includes the following: employment history checks, criminal history checks, and the National Sex Offender Registry screenings. These checks are completed prior to hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, criminal background records checks and efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse, or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse is completed. The auditor spoke with the PREA Compliance Manager and determined 99 criminal background checks were completed in the past 12 months. These record checks were through the National Crime Information Network, and all current staff background checks are performed prior to employment. The background checks include the following: Biometric information, driving records, investigation files, licensure, military records, and drug related convictions.

115.17 (d) The Pre-Audit questionnaire indicated 248 background checks were completed for staff covered under contracts for services that may have contact with inmates. This number was confirmed during the PREA Compliance Manager interview.

115.17 (e) Policy 1.1.4, Section 4, pages 1-8 indicate criminal background checks conducted on all current employees, volunteers, and contractors, at least every 2 years. This was confirmed during the 1 human resource staff interview. This is captured within the agency reporting mechanism and discussed during the human resource interview. The system that captures this information is the Pennsylvania Justice Network (JNET). A centralized clearance check form is submitted, and the system consistently captures clearance information that includes driver license information, Pennsylvania rap sheets, Interstate Identification Rap Sheets, visitor tracking information, inmate telephone calls, email and money transactions, and prior employment information.

115.17 (f) All applicants and employees, who may have contact with inmates, will be asked about previous misconduct in all written applications, interviews for hiring or promotion, or during written evaluations. This was confirmed during the review and interview with the 1 human resource staff member. The auditor reviewed 21 staff files and confirmed the signed document was present asking the specific questions relative to sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual misconduct.

115.17 (g) Employees must disclose all misconduct allegations and any material omission or false information regarding misconduct will be grounds for termination. The policy DC-ADM 008, Section 20, page 4 explains failure to report criminal charges and convictions may result in disciplinary action, demotion, and termination. In addition, 21 staff personnel files were reviewed, and no issues determined regarding this practice.

115.17 (h) The facility tracking mechanism is documented on the applicant summary form and includes a criminal records check, valid driver's license, personal interview, proper documentation provided, social security number compliance, certification verification, correctional reference checks to include prior employers, resignations, and substantiated allegations. The PREA Compliance Manager provided documentation demonstrating a criminal history and driver history inspection was previously conducted for staff. The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed a criminal background check is conducted prior to offering promotional opportunities and the auditor verified this process during the employee file review.

Conclusion: Based on the evidence reviewed by the auditor to include: 21 staff personnel files, interviews with 1 human resource staff, agency, and facility policy, 9 contractor reviews, and 6 informal staff interviews, the auditor finds no discrepancies within this standard required for corrective action. The steps considered by the facility to ensure the safety of the inmates with qualified staff is impressive.

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.18 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1345 701" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, PREA Procedures Manual, Section 6, Upgrades to Facilities and Technologies 3. Monthly meeting minutes from the video monitoring committee <p data-bbox="240 790 352 817">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 846 552 987" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency Head Designee 2. Facility Superintendent 3. PREA Compliance Manager <p data-bbox="240 1077 509 1104">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1133 804 1332" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Camera and monitor placement throughout the facility 2. Video and storage areas and camera footage 3. Gender Specific post assignments 4. Cross-gender viewing on video monitoring equipment <p data-bbox="240 1422 464 1449">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1478 1484 1738">115.18 (a) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 6, page 1 indicates when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the agency shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The facility Superintendent confirmed no substantial expansions were performed to the SCI Camp Hill facility within the last 12 months. The interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated the safety and privacy needs for inmates is always considered. Whenever analysis is performed the idea of creating areas of safety and eliminating blind spots are important. The camera committees are in place at all local levels, to ensure when tours are made, the camera placements and electronic monitoring data are all factors to consider when developing budgets.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1771 1492 1995">115.18 (b) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 6, page 1 indicates when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the agency shall consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The facility has performed significant upgrades and modifications and to the video monitoring equipment within the last 12 months. This was confirmed by the facility PREA Compliance Manager interview and 1 informal staff interview with the staff that monitors the video recordings. There were no immediate concerns identified regarding cross-gender viewing of the video monitoring equipment. The facility has 726 total cameras and the upgrades have made a significant impact to monitoring the sexual safety of the facility.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2029 1484 2157">Conclusion: The facility has implemented a policy and a program to monitor the effects of upgrades, camera placement, and video monitoring equipment throughout the facility. Each camera has a full DVR recording support, and all these modern additions were provided to assist in preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. The efforts provided by the facility meets the requirements of this standard. The facility Superintendent indicated</p>

upgrades to the video monitoring equipment have been performed in the past 12 months. The auditor reviewed monthly meeting minutes indicating the PREA Compliance Manager participates in the discussion for future planning.

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Standard 115.21 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 18, Investigating Allegations of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment 3. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Pennsylvania State Police and the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections 4. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse 5. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, Shift Commander Checklist 6. Reviewed 2 investigations involving a Sexual Assault Nurse Exam Referral (2020) 7. Reviewed 1 Letter of Agreement for a Certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner duties with Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital, June 19, 2019. 8. Reviewed 1 Letter of Agreement for the YWCA Carlisle Victim Advocate Services, June 18, 2019. 9. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, PREA Procedures Manual, Section 15, Access to Outside Confidential Support Services. <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 12 Random Staff 2. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner 3. PREA Compliance Manager 4. Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse 5. YWCA Carlisle (Victim Advocate) 6. 6 Informal Staff Interviews <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. YWCA Carlisle Mailing Address posted in all Living Units 2. The Bureau of Intelligence Mailing Address posted in all Living Units 3. Shift Commander Evidence Protocol Checklist <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.21 (a) The SCI Camp Hill utilizes the facility trained PREA investigators for conducting administrative sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations, and the Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence (BII) or the Pennsylvania State Police has the responsibility for conducting criminal abuse investigations. The Bureau of Investigation and Intelligence will only investigate allegations of staff-on-inmate abuse, typically uncovered during investigations into other unauthorized activity, while the PSP maintains responsibility for all inmate-on-inmate investigations. The Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence</p>

and the Pennsylvania State Police utilizes a uniform evidence protocol when conducting sexual assault investigations and forensic medical examinations. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, pages 5-7 describe the uniform evidence protocol required for the facility. The Shift Commander Checklist is designed to ensure proper steps are taken to preserve evidence for the abuser and the victim. The auditor reviewed Shift Commander evidence protocol checklists. The auditor interviewed 12 random staff and all interviews indicated securing the scene and the Pennsylvania State Police would be responsible for collecting the evidence at the scene. The agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 18, pages 1-2 indicate the standard utilized when conducting sexual harassment and discrimination investigations. The auditor reviewed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), between the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections and the Pennsylvania State Police confirming this arrangement.

115.21 (b) The SCI Camp Hill does house youthful offenders, and this was confirmed by the agency website, onsite interviews conducted with staff, and population statistical data. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 18, page 2 explains the protocol established for evidentiary purposes shall be developmentally appropriate for youth. This policy was adapted in correlation with the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Exams, Adult/Adolescents.

115.21 (c) The facility offers all inmates who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations and without financial cost to the victim. Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, page 4 advises the facility shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate. The SCI Camp Hill utilizes an off-site medical emergency room. A total of 10 forensic medical exams were performed by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) during the past 12 months. The number performed by a SANE was 10, and the number performed by a qualified medical practitioner was 0. The auditor was able to review two incident reports from 2020 that supported the arrangement with the hospital. This auditor was unable to speak with a SANE nurse during the onsite review. The staff indicated they would provide the necessary support at the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital during the sexual assault exam. This was also confirmed by the PREA Compliance Manager and the Health Services Administrator during the on-site review.

The Health Services Administrator confirmed all medical procedures will be performed to the victim at no cost and the auditor reviewed data to support the 2 SANE evaluations were performed at no cost to the inmate. SCI Camp Hill also provides on-site mental health treatment through their crisis stabilization and transitional care units. This includes activities groups, social skills training, group therapy, and medication management groups. The auditor reviewed 1 Letter of Agreement with the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital to offer certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner duties.

115.21 (d) The SCI Camp Hill medical staff complete the specialized medical and mental health training designed specifically to meet department operations. Training records were reviewed for medical staff and all training was verified. The auditor reviewed the Letter of Agreement with the YWCA Carlisle for confidential support services. The auditor identified the YWCA Carlisle poster in all housing units, intake, and medical sections of the facility. The poster identified the 24-hour services offered by the agency, advocacy and case management, and hospital accompaniment. The poster offers an address for inmates to write directly to the agency and the information is also provided in the inmate handbook. The information provided to the inmates in intake includes a facility sexual abuse awareness pamphlet and the address for the YWCA Carlisle is included within the pamphlet. The auditor observed this pamphlet being provided to the inmates during the intake process. All inmates are required to sign for receipt of the inmate handbook and the PREA pamphlet.

115.21 (e) The auditor reviewed 2 incident reports demonstrating a victim advocate present during the sexual assault medical exam. The YWCA Carlisle information was provided in the sexual abuse awareness pamphlet. Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 15, page 2 explains any inmate who alleges sexual abuse or sexual battery shall be given a copy of the notification of rights to have crisis intervention services. This was confirmed during the victim advocate interview, and the auditor reviewed the Letter of Agreement (LOA) with the YWCA Carlisle. The auditor interviewed 1 staff from the YWCA Carlisle. This volunteer confirmed the Letter of Agreement with SCI Camp Hill, explained the process regarding notifications, discussed the limits to confidentiality, and expressed appreciation for SCI Camp Hill involving them within their program. The auditor interviewed 6 inmates that had previously reported an allegation of sexual abuse. Many of the inmates advised they were aware of the program and had spoken with the volunteer that reports to the facility. They indicated knowledge of how to report an allegation and request for services in the future.

115.21 (f) The SCI Camp Hill utilizes the facility trained PREA investigators for conducting administrative sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations, and the Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence (BII) or the Pennsylvania State Police has the responsibility for conducting criminal abuse investigations. This was confirmed during the PREA Compliance Manager interview, but several informal staff interviews were unable to identify the investigator as the point of contact for facility investigations.

115.21 (g) N/A

115.21 (h) The facility PREA Compliance Manager verified the facility will always utilize the YWCA Carlisle as the community advocate to offer emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. The SCI Camp Hill does not utilize staff as representatives for the YWCA Carlisle or to provide emotional support services.

Conclusion: Based on the evidence provided by the facility, all provisions were met within standard 115.21 and no further corrective action is required.

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	<p data-bbox="240 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 273 502 300">Standard 115.22 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 385 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 528">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1222 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 18, Investigating Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment 3. Reviewed Shift Commander Checklists 4. Reviewed the Facility Website memo provided by the PREA Compliance Manager 5. Reviewed memo referrals to the Pennsylvania State Police and the BII <p data-bbox="240 904 352 931">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 963 552 1106" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency Head Designee 2. 1 Facility Investigator 3. PREA Compliance Manager <p data-bbox="240 1196 512 1223">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1249 839 1451" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the facility website for Investigative information 2. Reviewed Shift Commander Checklist 3. Reviewed 21 Investigative Files 4. Case Management Log Entry System <p data-bbox="240 1541 464 1568">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1594 1477 1756">115.22 (a) The auditor received the allegations and investigations overview document as requested along with the following data: 66 staff-on-inmate allegations of sexual abuse within the last 12 months, 20 inmate-on-inmate allegations of sexual abuse within the last 12 months, 29 staff-on-inmate allegations of sexual harassment in the past 12 months, 8 inmate-on-inmate allegations of sexual harassment in the past 12 months. In the past 12 months, SCI Camp Hill has received 33 incident reports regarding sexual abuse and 15 incident reports involving sexual harassment incidents.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1787 1477 1980">The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections does not recognize the grievance system as a method of reporting allegations of sexual abuse. When a grievance form is received indicating an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the grievance is rejected and forwarded immediately to the PREA Compliance Manager for investigation. The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections does not provide a hotline number as a method of reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment. An address is provided to report directly to the Pennsylvania Office of the Inspector General and the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections PREA Coordinator.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2011 1461 2136">SCI Camp Hill reported a total of 131 investigations conducted within the past 12 months. This includes, 86 Administrative sexual abuse cases, 14 criminal sexual abuse cases, and 45 cases involving sexual harassment. The administrative investigations are conducted following the outcome of the criminal investigations. The facility reported 6 investigations resulted in substantiated claims for administrative actions, there were 37 unsubstantiated claims, and 24 claims that the</p>

investigation was determined to be unfounded. There were no criminal cases that resulted in a substantiated allegation as 0 cases were terminated by prosecution refusal to prosecute. There are currently 22 sexual abuse administrative cases still pending, and 64 closed cases. There are 10 sexual harassment cases still pending and 35 closed cases.

The interview with the facility Superintendent explained the facility PREA trained investigator is the point of contact for all investigations. All criminal investigations are referred to the Pennsylvania State Police and the Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence will provide oversight to all investigations being conducted. The PREA Compliance Manager will ensure that all cases are completed and documented with complete investigative summaries and the Superintendent is informed of the outcomes.

115.22 (b) The SCI Camp Hill PREA policy DC-ADM 008, Section 18, page 1 requires all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to be investigated and referred for administrative review or criminal prosecution. Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 18, page 1 ensures the allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is referred to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations. The Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence (BII) or the Pennsylvania State Police shall be responsible for criminal investigations in matters relating to the Department of Corrections. This notification policy is posted on the agency website and the procedures for reporting allegations. Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, the Shift Commander Checklist must be completed immediately, and the Pennsylvania State Police must be notified. This auditor reviewed documentation indicating all 21 cases were entered into the Case Management Log Entry System. This information was provided and explained by the PREA Compliance Manager. The facility PREA investigator interview confirmed this process and indicated knowledge of the credibility assessments for all parties involved during the administrative investigations. However, the credibility assessments were not documented in the final report. The PREA investigator indicated the burden of proof for administrative investigations to be the preponderance of the evidence. The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the policy is posted on the agency website.

115.22 (c) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 18, page 1 indicates the Bureau of Investigations and Intelligence (BII), or the Pennsylvania State Police shall conduct all criminal investigations of sexual abuse, sexual battery, and staff sexual misconduct. The BII will conduct investigations for staff-on-inmate conduct that may have been determined during other investigations involving staff misconduct. The information provided by the agency and facility indicates compliance with this standard. The auditor received referrals provided by the facility to the Pennsylvania State Police for investigation. The auditor confirmed referrals were investigated and the outcome was not referred for prosecution.

115.22 (d) N/A

115.22 (e) N/A

Conclusion: Based on the evidence provided by the facility, the agency has a policy governing the investigations of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has a documented investigative policy and documents all reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility provided the auditor with documentation of the investigations, including full investigative reports with findings. The facility provided evidence of referrals of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency policy is posted on the website, and it describes the investigative responsibilities of both the agency and the separate entity that conducts the criminal investigations on its behalf. The facility meets the provisions of this standard.

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 300">Standard 115.31 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 383 1000 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 557 1374 875" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention Training and Education 3. Basic Training PREA Power Point slides - Zero Tolerance Test 4. PREA Training Lesson Plan 5. PREA Training Curriculum: Women Offenders in Pennsylvania Corrections 6. PREA Training and Understanding Verification Forms <p data-bbox="240 960 352 990">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1016 655 1218" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 12 Random Staff 2. PREA Compliance Manager 3. 6 Informal Staff Interviews 4. 2 Inmates that identify as Transgender <p data-bbox="240 1303 509 1332">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1359 940 1503" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed 21 Staff Training Files 2. Reviewed 21 PREA Training and Understanding Verification Forms 3. Verified a list of all current staff training dates <p data-bbox="240 1592 464 1621">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1648 1485 2078">115.31 (a) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, page 1 includes the zero tolerance toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy relating to staff training. This policy includes training requirements on how to fulfill staff responsibilities for prevention, detection, reporting, and response. This policy includes all elements listed in section 115.31 (a) 1-10. The auditor conducted 12 Random staff interviews indicating significant knowledge regarding zero tolerance policy toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and the staff requirements toward prevention, detection, reporting, and response. The auditor reviewed the 44 slide PREA Power Point provided by the PREA Compliance Manager and slide 15-17 provides the discussion regarding the zero-tolerance standard. The auditor reviewed the PREA Course Lesson Plan that lists the following performance objectives required within the training: The agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment, staff responsibilities for prevention, detection, response, and reporting procedures, inmate rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, inmate and staff retaliation standards, the dynamics of sexual abuse in confinement settings, victim behaviors, signs and symptoms of threatening behaviors, how to avoid inappropriate relationships, communication and understanding the linguistic, ethnic, or cultural differences, and how to report sexual abuse to outside authorities.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2107 1469 2136">115.31 (b) This auditor reviewed the staff training curriculum to include rosters, power points, briefing rosters, lesson plans,</p>

and the on-line training program. This program is an interactive testing software and specifically designed to provide the PREA training elements listed in 115.31 (a) 1-10. The facility trained all staff members in the last 12 months and provided roll-call training rosters demonstrating PREA training across all shifts. The Agency training is tailored to the gender of the inmates at the facility to include male and female inmates and staff. The facility searches practices appear to match the training received. This auditor interviewed 2 inmates that identify as transgender and indicated no discrepancies associated with the facilities response to searches.

115.31 (c) The auditor reviewed a total of 21 staff training files. The documentation provided indicated all 21 staff received the on-line PREA training. A complete listing of all staff was provided by the PREA Compliance Manager to the auditor ensuring the training was received by all staff at the end of the on-site review. The on-line program requires a test to be completed at the end of each section to determine satisfactory completion. The PREA Compliance Manager interview confirmed staff receive PREA training on an annual basis in the academy, on-line, during roll call briefings, and in-service. This process has been affected by COVID-19 in the past 12 months as all training has been conducted on-line.

115.31 (d) The 12 random staff interviewed during the on-site review and 6 informal staff interviews indicated a minor concern from staff to properly identify the PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Investigator, and the PREA Coordinator. This was addressed while on-site by the PREA Compliance Manager.

Conclusion: Based on the review of the facility training policies, staff training curriculum, samples of the training records, and the documentation of the employee signatures signifying comprehension of the training received, the facility meets substantial compliance with this standard. No corrective action is required at this time.

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	<p data-bbox="240 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 273 504 300">Standard 115.32 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 385 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 528">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1241 757" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 11, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention - Training and Education 3. Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Contractor/Volunteer PREA Training Pamphlet 4. Volunteer/Contractor/Public Visitor Forms <p data-bbox="240 846 352 873">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 904 536 990" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 Contract Medical Worker 2. 0 Volunteers <p data-bbox="240 1079 509 1106">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1137 798 1223" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Volunteer/Contractor/ Public Visitor Forms 2. Reviewed the facility Biometric process <p data-bbox="240 1312 464 1339">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1370 1493 1563">115.32 (a) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, pages 4-5 explain the zero-tolerance standard and the facility also provides a volunteer and contractor handout. This auditor reviewed the volunteer and contractor handout, and the information includes the zero-tolerance policy, requirements for preventing, reporting, detection, response, and the discipline imposed for violations of this policy. The documentation provided by the facility indicates the volunteer and contractor signature understanding the training received. The auditor reviewed volunteer/contractor/and public visitor forms acknowledging they understand the training received.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1594 1493 1818">115.32 (b) The SCI Camp Hill has trained 0 volunteers and 248 contractors in the last 12 months. There were no volunteers authorized to visit the facility in the past 12 months due to COVID-19. The level of training provided is based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with inmates. A level one contractor or volunteer, which spends at least five hours a week with an inmate, would receive the same training as the staff. Each contractor and volunteer must complete an application and a background check is completed. The application consists of the following information: personal information, current employment information, personal identification information, education, emergency contacts, criminal history, and previous institutional experience. Each volunteer and contractor are screened through the National Crime Information Center.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1850 1493 2042">115.32 (c) The auditor spoke with 1 contract Medical Worker and no volunteers were interviewed due to COVID-19 precautions. The information provided relating to the training received included a handbook notification, and background questionnaire. The interview indicated the ability to convey the zero-tolerance policy, preventive actions, notification procedures, and response practices. The contract interview confirmed receipt of the PREA pamphlet. No volunteers have received a copy of the PREA pamphlet in the past 12 months. All previously authorized volunteer clearances will have to be renewed by completing a new background screening prior to authorized entrance.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2074 1493 2161">Conclusion: Based on the review of the evidence provided, the facility ensures all volunteers and contractors that have contact with inmates are trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. The level and type of training is provided to volunteers and contractors</p>

based on their level of contact with the inmates. The sample of volunteers and contractors interviewed indicated knowledge regarding the zero-tolerance policy and how to report any incidents. The agency maintains documentation confirming that all volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received.

115.33	Inmate education
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.33 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11 Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention – Training and Education 3. Reviewed the PREA Intake Pamphlet (Spanish/English Format) 4. Reviewed the Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Inmate Re-entrant Education Program Facilitator's Guide 5. Inmate Handbook (Spanish/English Format) 6. Inmate Handbook (Braille Version) <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PREA Compliance Manager 2. 1 Intake Staff 3. 21 Randomly Selected Inmate Interviews 4. 9 Informally Selected Inmate Interviews <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Observed the Intake Process and Issue of the PREA Pamphlet 2. Reviewed 21 Inmate Education Files 3. Reviewed 21 PREA Inmate Intake Handout Receipts 4. Observed PREA Posters and Materials Posted in All Living Units, Medical, and Programs (English/Spanish) <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.33 (a-f) Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, pages 5-6 discuss the inmate education requirements and (a-f) within the policy. The intake officer described the inmates receive an initial PREA document upon arrival to the intake section. The auditor observed this process during the intake screening and observed inmates receive the PREA pamphlet and watch the PREA video. This document includes the facility zero tolerance policy, the inmates right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. It also includes instructions on how to report an allegation by mail. The inmates can write directly to the Office of State Inspector General to report allegations of sexual abuse, sexual assault, or sexual harassment. They can write directly to the YWCA Carlisle for victim advocacy.</p> <p>Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, page 6 indicates within the first 30 days of reception additional PREA information will be provided to the inmate population. This information includes the inmate's rights to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. Department policies are introduced, response procedures, and directions on how to report an allegation is explained during the comprehensive review. A video is shown, and questions asked at the end of the video to ensure the inmate understands the information received. The facility also proudly displays PREA posters, and one is displayed in the intake section regarding zero tolerance. The facility provides a PREA video to the inmates in intake prior to</p>

the medical screening, and this auditor reviewed the video for quality. This video training is also provided to the inmate population and the staff are required to play the video daily during a facility wide schedule. The auditor interviewed 21 randomly selected inmates that indicated the video is played on an ongoing basis. The intake staff are required to print an inmate orientation acknowledgement form and the inmates sign acknowledging they understand the training they have received. The auditor sampled 21 inmate files indicating receipt of the PREA brochure and viewing the video within 30 days of arrival. The PREA Compliance Manager and the intake officer indicated the video is played to the population immediately upon arrival. The PREA Compliance Manager reported a total of 6235 inmates admitted during the past 12 months, and 5084 of those inmates' length of stay exceeded 30 days. This information was confirmed by the PREA Compliance Manager during the on-site interview.

There are several reporting methods provided to the inmates and this is discussed in the PREA pamphlet. The PREA information, handout, and the YWCA Carlisle information posted on the wall near the phones in every inmate living unit, in both Spanish and English formats. Posters are visible throughout the facility reminding inmates regarding zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. The auditor interviewed 21 randomly selected inmate interviews indicating PREA knowledge, expectations toward privacy, reporting mechanisms, retaliation monitoring, and pride in the overall sexual safety of the facility. The inmate phones are equipped with a TTY system, the facility provides a language line for numerous languages, a list of certified staff interpreters, and the video is played in both Spanish and English formats. The facility employs staff to provide the information verbally to inmates that cannot read.

Conclusion: The auditor has determined the agency has a policy governing PREA education for inmates. The auditor has also determined full compliance with this standard based on a review of the following evidence supplied by the facility: intake records of inmates entering the facility in the past 12 months, signed documents by the inmates indicating the understanding of the training received within 30 days of intake, confirmation of all inmates receiving the PREA information within one year of the effective date of the PREA standards, review of the inmate handbook, PREA pamphlet, PREA video, education materials in formats accessible to inmates that are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, disabled or limited reading skills, and observations of materials posted throughout the facility in both English and Spanish formats. The facility has demonstrated substantial compliance and no corrective action requested at this time.

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.34 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1393 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention - Training and Education 3. Reviewed 8 Specialized Investigator Training Power points 4. Reviewed 13 Correctional Investigator Training Files 5. Reviewed 13 PREA Training and Understanding Forms <p data-bbox="240 904 352 931">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 960 549 987" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 Facility PREA Investigator <p data-bbox="240 1077 509 1104">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1133 855 1274" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed 8 Specialized Investigator Training Power points 2. Reviewed 13 Correctional Investigator Training Files 3. Reviewed 13 PREA Training and Understanding Forms <p data-bbox="240 1364 464 1391">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1420 1497 1749">115.34 (a-d) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, page 3 includes the specialized training requirements for the facility PREA investigators. The required training includes the following: interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action and prosecution referral. The facility utilizes investigators from the Pennsylvania State Police for all criminal investigations and the facility PREA Investigator is assigned to conduct all administrative investigations. The Bureau of Investigation and Intelligence will investigate staff-on-inmate allegations in special circumstances usually discovered during investigations of other unauthorized activity. The auditor reviewed 13 training records indicating the facility staff members have received specialized PREA training for investigators. This was confirmed during the investigator interview, and the auditor reviewed 21 investigative files and confirmed the investigator of each file has received the specialized PREA investigator training.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1778 1485 2040">The facility PREA investigators completed training presented by the PREA Grant Project titled, "Sexual Assault Investigator Training". This training provided the necessary elements required within this standard to include the following: interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action and prosecution referral. The auditor reviewed the training outline and 8 power points associated with this learning environment. This training identified the 8 PREA standards that apply to investigating sexual abuse of inmates and demonstrated six critical investigative techniques and protocols of competent investigations. The PREA Investigator training file also indicated the specialized investigator training was completed in the on-line format in 2020 and 2021.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2069 1490 2161">The facility maintains records of all training received and is easily accessible for review. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, page 3 indicates training documentation will be maintained by the employee training files and documented on the PREA Training and Understanding Form. The auditor reviewed 13 PREA Training and Understanding Forms for the 13 staff</p>

members that have taken the special investigator training class.

Conclusion: Based on the review of the materials provided by the facility: the agency training policy for investigative staff, the investigator training curriculum, documentation that the agency investigators have completed the required training, and the training records and logs presented by the staff, the auditor finds the facility meets all provisions required within this training records and logs presented by the staff, the auditor finds the facility meets all provisions required within this standard. No further action is required at this time.

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 300">Standard 115.35 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 383 1000 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 557 1385 875" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11 Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention - Training and Education 3. PREA Medical and Mental Health Care Standards Participant Guide 4. Medical Staff Training Files 5. PREA Specialized Training: Medical and Mental Health Care Lesson Plan 6. PREA Training and Understanding Verification Forms <p data-bbox="240 958 352 987">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1014 671 1160" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 Medical Staff 2. 1 Mental Health Staff 3. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) <p data-bbox="240 1245 512 1274">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1301 911 1391" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed medical staff training files 2. Reviewed PREA Training and Understanding Verification Forms <p data-bbox="240 1473 464 1503">Findings by Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1536 1485 1697">115.35 (a-d) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 11, page 4 explains the facility policy, procedures, and practice associated with this standard compliance and all medical and mental health care practitioners to receive the required specialized PREA training. There is a total of 136 medical staff that work regularly in the facility and the training records indicated all staff have received the initial PREA orientation and the specialized training. The facility provided written documentation demonstrating full compliance that staff have received the specialized medical or mental health training.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1727 1485 1955">The auditor reviewed the facility PREA Medical and Mental Healthcare Lesson plan that included the following topics: PREA medical and mental healthcare standards participant guide, lessons on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed 15 PREA Training and Understanding Verification Forms documenting the medical staff signatures and understanding the training they have received. The auditor interviewed 1 medical staff, and 1 mental health staff and both interviews indicated knowledge regarding specialized medical training.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1984 1485 2145">The forensic medical exams are conducted at the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital. The facility maintains documentation demonstrating the medical and mental health practitioners have completed and understand the training received. The 2 medical staff interviews provided direct knowledge regarding how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse, and whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed a</p>

documented Memorandum of Understanding with the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital to conduct Sexual Assault Nurse Exams (SANE). The auditor was unable to interview a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner as attempts were made but could not designate the arrangements.

Conclusion: Based on the review of the following evidence: agency policy governing training of medical and mental health care practitioners, documentation showing the training has been received by all staff, a review of the training curriculum and signature indicating understanding of the training received, and confirmation of the medical staff training logs ensuring the staff have received the initial training for employees, contractors, and volunteers dependent upon their status, the auditor finds the facility meets all of the provisions required within this standard with substantial compliance. No further action is required.

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Standard 115.41 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Procedures Manual (PREA Manual) 3. PA DOC PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT) <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff Responsible for Risk Screening 2. 21 Random Inmate Interviews 3. 9 Informal Inmate Interviews 4. 12 Random Staff Interviews 5. 6 Informal Staff Interviews 6. PREA Coordinator 7. PREA Compliance Manager <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inmate Risk Screening Process 2. Inmate Risk Screening Reassessment Process 3. Intake and Classification Housing Assignment Review 4. Inmate File Reviews = 21 5. 21 PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT) <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.41 (a-1) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 1 explains the screening procedures for risk of victimization and abusiveness. This policy explains all inmates are assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. Inmates will also be screened upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused or sexually abusive toward other inmates. The SCI Camp Hill utilizes the PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT) to accomplish the risk screening process. This tool is utilized during the intake screening process, 20-30 days after receipt into a State Correctional Institution (SCI), whenever an inmate participates in an incident of sexual abuse, added information is provided within the inmate's history, and during the annual review process. The auditor observed this process during the on-site review within the initial receipt of the inmate population within the first 2 hours of arrival. The review was performed by a medical staff member in a private office space with a secure workstation. The auditor also observed a reassessment being conducted by a facility counselor in a private office space with a secure workstation within the housing unit. The auditor confirmed the reassessment was conducted within 20 days of receiving.</p>

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 2 indicates this tool must be completed within the first 72 hours of reception to the Department or upon arrival at another facility. The auditor reviewed 21 inmate files and determined the intake screenings usually take place within the same day of arrival, and usually within the first two hours. This follows the 72-hour mandate required by the standard. None of the 21 files reviewed indicated major concerns regarding the initial intake screening or the reassessment.

The facility utilizes an objective classification screening instrument that includes: an individual points system, yes and no responses, classification protocol, a classification questionnaire, a brief jail mental health screen, a booking inmate risks and needs assessment, and a PREA initial intake screening tool (PRAT). The objective classification screening includes the following criteria for the risk of sexual victimization: inmate mental, physical, developmental disabilities, age, physical build, previous incarcerations, criminal history, violent or nonviolent behaviors, prior sex convictions, whether the inmate is perceived gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming, previous sexual victimization, vulnerability perceptions, or if the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes. The auditor observed the staff performing the risk screening to document the responses of the inmate and staff indicated the scores also reflected the inmates' personal perceptions of themselves. The auditor reviewed this process with the staff assigned to conduct the screening and monitored the interview being conducted.

The objective classification system questionnaire also assesses inmates for the risk of being sexually abusive by including the following criteria: prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse. The auditor conducted an interview with the staff performing the screening and was advised, each inmate must be carefully screened, and every evaluation should be unbiased, results should be based on the communication between the staff conducting the review and the inmates' own perceptions and responses to the questions.

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 1 indicates within 20-30 days of intake an inmate's risk level will be reassessed due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. Interviews conducted with 21 random inmates indicated this process was being applied as the inmates could explain the questions being asked by the facility counselors. The inmates identified the medical staff as conducting the initial assessment and the counselors conducting the reassessment. This information is consistent with the agency policy previously discussed.

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 3 indicates inmates will not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to the risk screening, and the facility considers these documents to be treated in a confidential nature. Select staff are authorized to view this data and the agency PREA Coordinator must authorize usage on all electronic devices. The evidence provided indicates compliance with this standard as once the counselor uploaded the responses within the system, she was not able to make revisions. The counselor interview advised a new reassessment would have to be uploaded to provide written changes to the responses. The PREA Coordinator interview indicated limited access to review these documents once they have been uploaded within the system. The PREA Compliance Manager advised medical staff, unit managers, PREA Compliance Manager, and the PREA Coordinator are the current staff designated to have access to the risk assessment scores.

Conclusion: Based on the review and analysis of all available evidence to include agency policy governing the screening of inmates upon admission to the facility or transfer to another facility, screening instruments to determine risk of victimization or abusiveness, and detainee records, the auditor has determined that the agency is fully compliant with this standard regarding inmate risk of victimization and abusiveness.

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.42 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Agency policy DC-ADM 008 Sexual Abuse/Sexual Assault Risk Screening 3. PREA Accommodation Committee Checklist (PAC) 4. PA DOC PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT) 5. PREA Accommodation Committee Reassessment Checklist (PACR) <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PREA Coordinator 2. PREA Compliance Manager 3. Staff Responsible for Risk Screening 4. Inmates Identifying as Transgender, Inmates Identifying as Gay 5. Facility Superintendent <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the PREA Risk Screening Process 2. Reviewed the PREA Risk Screening Reassessment Process 3. Reviewed Inmate Files = 21 4. Reviewed the PREA Accommodation Committee Checklist (PAC) = 1 5. Reviewed the housing unit cell, shower, restroom, and bunk accommodations <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.42 (a-g) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 4-5 indicates the facility utilizes the information collected from the risk screenings to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. This information was confirmed during the interview with the agency PREA Coordinator as the PREA Coordinator advised all facility risk screenings are objective, case by case evaluations of the inmates with their own perceptions and views being considered. The views of the inmate are recognized along with the tally provided by the staff on the risk assessment document. The unit managers interview indicated utilizing the risk screening instruments to ensure all bed assignments, work assignments, education, and program assignments are carefully reviewed to ensure potential abusers are not interacting with potential victims. The facility maintains a high risk abuser and a high risk victim list to keep the two categories separate from each other. The auditor reviewed this process during the on-site review.</p> <p>The auditor spoke with 2 inmates that identifies as transgender and 1 inmate that identifies as gay, and all agreed their</p>

housing was discussed during the classification interview. They agreed to the level of housing recommended by the facility and no further issues were discussed. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 5 indicates the facility will make individualized determinations on a case-by-case basis to ensure the residents health and safety and personal views are considered. Reassessments shall be conducted by the inmate's assigned counselor between calendar day 20 and 30 of every inmate's arrival in the system. Considerations for single cell housing or double cell housing will be determined using the risk assessment tool. The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the Unit Management staff will review and recognize an imbalance of power within the cell assignment. This is performed during individual meetings, conversations, group activities, review of the disciplinary actions, and considerations based on the inmates' personal views. This was confirmed during the intake screening staff interview, and all assessments will be documented on the PA DOC PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT).

The agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 19, pages 1-9 explain the departments approach to working with transgender and intersex inmates. Importantly, this policy explains the classification process and ensures the staff effectively interact professionally and respectfully toward this specialized population. Each facility is required to develop a Plan of Action to prepare for reception and housing of transgender and intersex populations. The PREA Accommodation Committee (PAC) is utilized to measure the proper placement toward housing, security, programming, and other needs. The following methods of interaction are described within this policy: Reception and Classification, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT), Mental Health Referral Form, Access to Health Care Procedures Manual, PREA Accommodation Committee Checklist (PAC), PREA Accommodation Committee Review, Administrative PREA Accommodation Committee (APAC), Transfers, Case Management, Searches, Commissary, and Special Accommodations.

Each inmate is considered on a case-by-case basis and the final determination is mandated by the Executive Staff as recommended by the Administrative PREA Accommodation Committee (APAC). The A-PAC consists of a representative from each of the following specialties: Psychology office, Bureau of Health Services, Security Division, and a representative from the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Intersex (LGBTI) Subject Matter Expert community. The auditor interviewed inmates at SCI Camp Hill that identify as transgender. The inmate interview indicated satisfactory compliance with her housing considerations and indicated satisfaction with the commissary program. The inmate advised the commissary at SCI Camp Hill will allow the inmates to purchase female items. The auditor reviewed 1 PREA Accommodation Committee Checklist (PAC), indicating satisfaction with housing considerations, and requested treatment and programming. The PAC meetings are conducted every six months and the inmates will be reevaluated at that time. The auditor reviewed 1 PREA Accommodation Committee Checklist for reevaluation.

The facility site review provided the opportunity to confirm all inmate showers are conducted separately, a shower door is provided for privacy, and the 21 random inmate interviews and 9 informal inmate interviews concluded no issues reported due to other staff or inmates viewing the inmates while changing clothes, showering, or using the restrooms. The 12 random staff interviews advised no concerns with this type of issue reported. The video monitoring equipment did not indicate concerns regarding cross-gender viewing during episodes of undress or showering. This is especially important when unit managers are evaluating the housing considerations for transgender and intersex inmates as they are provided the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates. All showers are conducted separately, and inmates are allowed to wear their clothing enroute to the showers.

The facility does not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates in a dedicated housing facility, unit, or wing based on their status. This is forbidden in policy DC-ADM 008, Section 9, page 6. The facility Superintendent interview confirmed there are no consent decrees regarding legislative action pertaining to restrictive housing considerations. The onsite review indicated special populations are not assigned to one housing unit as the auditor was able to interview inmates from all living units.

Conclusion: Based on the review and analysis of the following available evidence: agency policy governing the use of screening information, documentation of the use of screening, documentation of housing decisions, reassessments, and facility housing considerations for the special populations, the auditor has determined that the agency is fully compliant with this standard regarding inmate risk of victimization and abusiveness.

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Standard 115.43 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire responses 2. Involuntary Administrative Custody Services Access Restriction Form 3. DC-ADM 802, Section 3, Administrative Custody Housing Status 4. DC-ADM 008, Section 5, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention, Protective Custody <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. 1 Staff Supervising Inmates in Segregated Housing 3. 0 Inmates in Segregated Housing for Risk of Suffering Sexual Abuse <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inmate Case Files 2. Segregation Housing Records <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.43 (a-e) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 5, page 1-2 clearly defines the information within this standard. Inmates at elevated risk of sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and there are no other alternative means of separation. The inmate will not be held for more than 24 hours to complete the assessment. This policy was confirmed during the interview with the facility Superintendent.</p> <p>This policy allows for programming, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. If the facility restricts access to these opportunities, the facility must document on the Involuntary Administrative Custody Services Access Restriction Form. This auditor reviewed the segregated housing records and spoke with 1 staff that supervises inmates in segregated housing. There were no reports of inmates in restrictive housing in the past 12 months identified to be housed in segregated housing involuntarily. However, the auditor confirmed housing status due to disciplinary concerns and not concerns regarding sexual abuse. The auditor determined an initial review would be conducted within 24 hours and the reassessment would occur within 7 days.</p> <p>Conclusion: Based on the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency has a policy governing involuntary segregated housing for inmates at elevated risk for sexual victimization. The facility conducts 30-day reviews and documents accordingly. The auditor reviewed facility records of housing assignments, segregation logs, and verified out of cell activities were not interrupted throughout this review. The auditor has determined the facility is fully compliant with the provisions of this standard.</p>

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.51 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act Procedures Manual (PREA Manual) 3. DC-ADM 008, Section 12, Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 4. 2017 Inmate Handbook 5. Facility Issued Inmate PREA Pamphlet 6. PREA Inmate Intake Handout 7. Employee Handbook 8. PREA Intake Training Video 9. DC-ADM 803, Section 1, Mail Processing Procedure 10. 11.5.1, Records Office Operating Manual, Section 1, Processing of Reception 11. 2018 PREA Staff Training Slide 22 of 39 discussing Reporting Procedures <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 12 Randomly Selected Staff 2. 21 Randomly Selected Inmates 3. 6 Informal Staff Interviews 4. 9 Informal Inmate Interviews 5. PREA Compliance Manager 6. Facility Superintendent <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the YWCA Carlisle Victim Advocate information posted in the inmate handbook 2. Reviewed the Intake PREA Video and the Third-Party mailing address <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.51 (a-d) SCI Camp Hill provides multiple methods for inmates to privately report sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual harassment, retaliation against reporting staff, neglect, and contributing factors to these incidents. These factors are described in policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, pages 2-3, and they include: verbally, in writing, anonymously, third-party reporting, request forms, grievance forms, submitting a written report to the sexual abuse reporting address for the Office of State Inspector General, or report directly to a family member or friend.</p>

The facility has a documented Memorandum of Understanding with the Office of State Inspector General to provide one method of anonymous inmate reporting to a public entity that is not part of the agency. This information is posted in all inmate living units, documented on page 9 of the inmate handbook, and available upon the intake PREA pamphlet. The auditor interviewed 21 randomly selected inmates and conducted 9 informal inmate interviews that concluded knowledge of this process. The SCI Camp Hill does not detain inmates solely for civil immigration purposes and this was confirmed by the PREA Compliance Manager, and the facility Superintendent interviews.

To maintain the confidentiality of all inmate mail being sent to an outside reporting entity, the following statement is discussed in agency policy DC-ADM 803, Section 1, page 5: Privileged correspondence will have the facility mailing address with the inmate's name and number as the return address. EXCEPTION: To preserve the confidentiality of inmates reporting allegations of sexual assault to the Office of State Inspector General. The Office of State Inspector General has asked that an inmate reporting an allegation of sexual assault include his or her name and inmate number within the body of the letter contained inside the envelope so that they can identify the person making the allegation and communicate with them, as necessary.

Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, page 3 requires all staff to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation against inmates or staff, and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. The Superintendent also confirmed any allegations reported by another facility or to another facility will be performed from the agency head to the other facilities agency head in writing. This information will then be passed on to the facility PREA investigator promptly.

Conclusion: Based on the review of all documents provided to the auditor in the preaudit questionnaire, interviews conducted during the on-site review, and site review observations, the auditor determines all provisions were met within this standard and no further corrective action required.

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.52 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1026 873" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 12, Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 3. DC-ADM 804, Section 1, Grievances, and Initial Review 4. Inmate Handbook 5. Rejected Grievances 6. Intake PREA Pamphlet <p data-bbox="240 963 352 990">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1019 584 1160" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PREA Compliance Manager 2. 21 Randomly Selected Inmates 3. 12 Randomly Selected Staff <p data-bbox="240 1249 512 1276">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1305 1086 1332" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grievance forms are readily available to the inmate population in all housing units. <p data-bbox="240 1422 483 1449">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1478 1441 1706">115.52 (a-g) The Agency does not follow a standardized acceptance process for grievance procedures for dealing with inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, page 3 advises, "Inmates shall not utilize the inmate grievance system to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment by a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, as defined in the Glossary of Terms for this procedures manual. However, if an inmate files a grievance related to staff on inmate sexual abuse/sexual harassment or inmate on inmate sexual abuse, the Facility Grievance Coordinator shall reject the grievance and forward it to the facility Security Office and PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)/designee for tracking and investigation. The inmate shall be notified of this action.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1736 1484 2000">The Institution PREA Compliance Manager reports that the Security Office/PCM/designee shall be responsible for notifying the Shift Commander for any allegations requiring the implementation of checklist procedures as outlined in Section 4 of this procedure's manual. There have been no allegations of sexual abuse submitted through the grievance process in the last 12 months. Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager revealed that while the grievance process is not set up for reporting of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, in the instances such allegations are received through this channel, they are forwarded to the investigator and the Security Office for immediate investigation. The facility reported allegations of sexual harassment were received through the grievance system, rejected, and forwarded to the Security Office for investigation. The auditor reviewed rejected grievances forwarded to the Security Office.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2029 1477 2157">Agency policy DC-ADM 804, Section 1, page 1 states, "The Inmate Grievance System is intended to deal with a wide range of issues, procedures, or events that may be of concern to an inmate. It is not meant to address incidents of an urgent or emergency nature including allegations of sexual abuse. Any allegation of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse must be addressed through Department policy DC-ADM 008, "Prison Rape</p>

Elimination Act (PREA).” When faced with an incident of an urgent or emergency nature, the inmate shall contact the nearest staff member for immediate assistance. The auditor reviewed the inmate handbook, and the inmate grievance system is not listed as an available method of reporting allegations of sexual abuse, sexual assault, or sexual harassment. The auditor conducted 12 interviews with randomly selected staff, and these interviews indicated knowledge of the inmates not being allowed to submit grievances regarding sexual abuse. The auditor conducted interviews with 21 randomly selected inmates and several of the inmates indicated they could submit a grievance to notify the staff of an allegation of sexual abuse. The auditor reviewed rejected grievances indicating allegations of sexual abuse. The auditor confirmed all grievances received will be rejected and forwarded to the facility PREA Investigator.

Conclusion: The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections does not recognize the inmate grievance system as a primary method of reporting for the inmate population. All grievances received relative to sexual abuse will be rejected and forwarded to the facility PREA Lieutenant for immediate investigation. The facility has a policy to ensure grievances alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment are forwarded for investigation. Inmates are informed the proper ways to submit allegations in the intake PREA pamphlet, comprehensive education, and Inmate Handbook. The Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of this standard as its policy is to reject grievances alleging sexual abuse and sexual harassment and provides other means of reporting.

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.53 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 12, Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 3. PREA Intake Pamphlet (Spanish/English) 4. Facility PREA Posters (Spanish/English) 5. 2017 Inmate Handbook, page 9 6. DC-ADM 008, Section 15, pages 1-2, Access to Outside Confidential Support Services 7. Letter of Agreement with the YWCA Carlisle, June 18, 2019 8. Letter of Agreement with Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape (PCAR) – 2018 <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 21 Random Inmates 2. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse 3. Facility Superintendent 4. PREA Compliance Manager <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Verified all third-party reporting materials, YWCA Carlisle, and the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape (PCAR) materials are posted in the inmate living units in both English and Spanish. 2. Verified telephone and mail monitoring notices are posted in the inmate living units in both English and Spanish. <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.53 (a-c) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 15, pages 1-2 advise the PREA Compliance Manager shall ensure that inmates are offered and provided with access to outside victim advocates for emotional supportive services related to sexual abuse which has occurred in a confinement setting. During non-working hours, the Shift Commander shall be responsible to ensure the support services in SCI Camp Hill are offered to the alleged victim. Supportive services may be provided via a variety of methods including in person, during a non-monitored phone call, and/or in writing. The PREA Compliance Manager shall inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. Each facility shall ensure that if facility phones or public pay phones within the facility are monitored, that the level of monitoring is clearly posted next to the phone. This shall be posted in English and Spanish, and If a CCF facility monitors re-entrant mail, the level of monitoring must be clearly posted in the facility handbook and re-entrant bulletin boards.</p> <p>Policy DC-ADM, Section 15, pages 1-2 include the information regarding outside victim advocates for emotional support services relating to sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. SCI Camp Hill utilizes the services of the YWCA</p>

Carlisle, a nonprofit organization providing confidential services to persons through counseling, preventive education, and advocacy. This is accomplished by inmates writing a letter to access the services and provide notifications. The Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape (PCAR) is a community-based volunteer program designed to enhance the quality of life for victims of sexual violence and provide survivors of sexual abuse with emotional support. PCAR administers the funds, provides technical assistance and oversight to YWCA Carlisle, and any of the local centers that have agreements with state correctional institutions. SCI Camp Hill established a Letter of Agreement with the YWCA on June 18, 2019, and the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape (PCAR) in 2018. The auditor reviewed both documents for clarity and all signatures are current and binding. The Letter of Agreement may be revised at any time by either party, or the terms of the Letter of Agreement do not expire without written notice by both parties. The YWCA Carlisle and PCAR information is posted in all inmate living units, near the phones, listed on the website, provided in the inmate handbook, and listed on the initial intake PREA pamphlet provided upon arrival to the facility. The auditor confirmed the facility provides the name and address, at no cost to the inmate and these services are confidential.

The SCI Camp Hill does not detain persons solely for civil immigration services. This information was confirmed during the facility Superintendent interview. The 12 random staff interviewed were able to identify the YWCA Carlisle as an option for confidential inmate support services. A total of 21 random inmate interviews, and inmate interviews that have reported sexual assault allegations, indicated knowledge of the YWCA Carlisle, identified the address, and the poster. The inmates reported feeling confident these services would be useful, and the facility provided information on inmates currently utilizing the services. The PREA Compliance Manager was aware of current inmates that utilized the service. The YWCA Carlisle volunteer interview confirmed inmates at SCI Camp Hill have received the services at the facility in the past. Interviews with the inmates that have reported an allegation in the past advised they were offered the PREA pamphlet in the past and have not chosen to use the services.

Conclusion: Based on the review of all evidence supplied by the facility to include agency policy regarding an outside victim advocate for emotional support and services, a policy describing one method for inmates to report anonymously, a policy regarding inmates not being detained solely for civil immigration purposes, a policy for staff to privately report, accepting reports from inmates in writing, an MOU with the YWCA Carlisle and PCAR, and the inmate handbook, the auditor has determined the facility meets the substantial requirements of this standard. No further action is required regarding the provisions of this standard.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	<p data-bbox="240 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 273 504 300">Standard 115.54 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 385 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 528">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1026 757" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 12, Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 3. Zero-tolerance and third-party reporting poster (English/Spanish) 4. 2017 Inmate Handbook, page 9 <p data-bbox="240 846 352 873">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 904 552 1043" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PREA Compliance Manager 2. 21 Random Inmates 3. 9 Informal Inmate Interviews <p data-bbox="240 1133 509 1160">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1191 1286 1272" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identified the PREA posters in both Spanish/English format indicating the third-party reporting address 2. Reviewed the agency website for the third-party reporting information <p data-bbox="240 1361 469 1388">Findings By Provision:</p> <p data-bbox="240 1420 1490 1680">115.54 (a) The agency has established a procedure to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This is described in agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, page 4. This information is also published on the agency's website and the notification process is to write a letter to the third-party reporting agency. There are posters throughout the facility such as: inmate living units, medical, programs, intake, visitation, and reception regarding third-party reporting and the address required to file the complaint. The inmates are provided an address to contact the Office of State Inspector General, and this information is posted on the PREA intake pamphlet, inmate handbook, PREA video, and signs posted near the inmate phones in the living Units. The 21 random and 9 informal inmate interviews indicated knowledge of the third-party reporting methods and inmates advised they felt very comfortable reporting all allegations of sexual misconduct.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1711 1426 1769">Conclusion: Based on the evidence provided, the auditor was able to determine the facility provides publicly distributed information on how to report inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of inmates.</p>

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.61 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1026 757" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 12, Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 3. DC-121 Allegations of PREA Report Forms 4. 21 Investigative Files <p data-bbox="240 846 352 873">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 902 552 1216" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 12 Randomly Selected Staff 2. 6 Informal Staff 3. Facility Superintendent 4. PREA Compliance Manager 5. 1 Medical Staff 6. 1 Mental Health Staff <p data-bbox="240 1305 509 1332">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1361 1042 1559" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed 21 Investigative Files 2. Reviewed 21 Incident Reports 3. Reviewed 21 Allegations of PREA Reports Referred to the PREA Investigator 4. Compared the dates received to the date the investigation began <p data-bbox="240 1648 483 1675">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1704 1484 2000">115.61 (a-e) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, page 1 describes the agency requirements for all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment immediately. The policy also requires the staff to report any knowledge of retaliation against inmates or staff who reported incidents and staff neglect that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. This was confirmed during the interview with the facility Superintendent and the PREA Compliance Manager. The auditor verified this process during the 12 random staff and 6 informal staff interviews as staff conveyed the directive to notify a supervisor immediately. The staff were unable to identify the PREA Investigator during the random staff interviews. This was a recommended education portion of the audit to the PREA Compliance Manager, and the facility added the PREA team information to the roll call training. This included the facility PREA Investigator, PREA Compliance Manager, and the agency PREA Coordinator.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2029 1479 2157">Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, page 1 indicates apart from reporting to designated supervisor or officials, staff shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. The auditor interviewed 12 random staff indicating knowledge of this policy and the mandatory reporting requirements. The SCI Camp Hill does house youthful offenders as confirmed during the census report</p>

review. Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12 states, "If the alleged victim is under the age of 18, the Department shall refer the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws as outlined by the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services". The auditor interviewed 1 medical staff and 1 mental health worker and both interviews indicated knowledge regarding mandatory reporting requirements as one medical staff member advised she will always report an allegation to her supervisor and the Shift Commander.

Agency policy DC-ADM, Section 12, page 2 advises, reports received by the Sexual Abuse Reporting Address, established for the third-party and anonymous reporting of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Office of State Inspector General (OIG), shall be handled as follows: when the OIG receives Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)-related complaint correspondence at this address, the letter shall be scanned and emailed to the Department's PREA Notification email address (CR, DOC PREA Notification) for tracking purposes and dissemination to the appropriate facility, and the PREA Compliance Division (PCD) staff are responsible for monitoring the email box for follow-up and referral purposes. The auditor reviewed DC-121 forms indicating PREA allegations were referred immediately by the OIG. During the on-site review, the auditor reviewed 21 investigative files, 21 incident reports relating to a sexual abuse allegation. The auditor did not find any concerns relating to a delayed investigation. All investigations began either the same day or the next working day.

Conclusion: Based on the evidence provided by the facility, the auditor determined the agency has relevant policies governing the reporting by staff regarding incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, and the reporting by the facility regarding all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to designated investigators. The facility medical staff indicated no limits toward confidentiality regarding the reporting of sexual abuse, sexual assault, or sexual harassment allegations as all staff interviewed advised reporting to the Shift Commander immediately. The facility does house youthful offenders and the agency policy mandates reporting to the designated State and local services for an alleged victim under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute. The auditor reviewed samples of the reports provided by investigators and determined all investigations began immediately. The facility meets the provision requirements of this standard and no further action is required.

115.62	Agency protection duties
	<p data-bbox="240 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 273 502 300">Standard 115.62 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 385 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 528">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1267 757" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 1, page 4, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Responsibilities 3. DC-ADM 008, Section 14, page 4, Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse 4. PREA Allegations and Bed Moves Reports <p data-bbox="240 846 352 873">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 904 807 1102" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency Head Designee 2. Facility Superintendent 3. 12 Random Staff 4. Inmates in Segregation for High Risk of Sexual Abuse <p data-bbox="240 1191 512 1218">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1249 887 1335" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. File reviews to determine elevated risk for sexual victimization 2. Reviewed PREA Allegations and Bed Moves Reports <p data-bbox="240 1424 483 1451">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1482 1493 1738">115.62 (a) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 1, page 4 ensures that when Department staff learn that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, appropriate and immediate action shall be taken to protect that inmate. Alleged inmate victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, security staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the alleged victim. The facility Superintendent indicated segregation may be ordered immediately to protect the inmate or others, but the action must be reviewed within 24 hours by the housing committee.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1769 1493 2065">The Agency Head Designee interview determined the agency takes all allegations serious and any inmate subject to imminent sexual abuse will receive immediate action. The facility reported 19 incidents in the past 12 months that determined an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The auditor reviewed PREA Allegation and Bed Move reports indicating the housing unit change was performed immediately upon notification. The classification files reviewed no inmates were being housed in segregation for high risk of sexual victimization during the on-site review. The informal staff interview indicated the housing would be for less than 24 hours. No program activities would be interrupted due to this housing assignment. The auditor interviewed informal inmates and they indicated satisfaction regarding their housing placement and did not convey any sexual safety concerns. The auditor confirmed the inmates being housed in segregation was due to behavior concerns and not due to the sexual safety.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2096 1430 2154">Conclusion: The auditor determined the agency has a policy governing the facilities protection duties when inmates are subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The auditor reviewed relevant documentation related to the</p>

determination of inmate's substantial risks and the agency's response. This includes medical requirements, investigator requirements, and the relevant views of the facility leadership toward compliance. Based on the review of all evidence the facility meets the provision of this standard. No further action is required.

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.63 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1126 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 008, Section 12, page 5-6, Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 3. Notification of Sexual Abuse Allegation to Another Facility Form 4. Reviewed 1 case file for notification to another facility 5. Reviewed 1 case file for notification received from another facility <p data-bbox="240 904 352 931">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 960 552 1104" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency Head Designee 2. Facility Superintendent 3. PREA Compliance Manager <p data-bbox="240 1193 512 1220">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1249 1441 1393" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed 1 case file including the case history, email notifications from facility heads, Notification of Abuse Allegation Forms, and investigation report. Reported to another facility. 2. Reviewed 1 case file including the case history, email notifications from facility heads, Notification of Abuse Allegation Forms, and investigation report. Received from another facility. <p data-bbox="240 1482 483 1509">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1538 1473 1736">115.63 (a-d) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, pages 5-6 indicate upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility that received the allegation shall notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred. The facility Superintendent indicated they would personally contact the Superintendent at the facility where the abuse occurred and would expect the other agency to return the same courtesy. The Superintendent explained, "All allegations are taken seriously and treated with an immediate response".</p> <p data-bbox="240 1765 1473 1962">The agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 12, pages 5-6 indicate the documented notification will occur within 72 hours and must be documented in the PREA Tracking System (PTS). SCI Camp Hill has reported 29 allegations of sexual abuse to other facilities in the past 12 months. SCI Camp Hill has received 6 allegations of sexual abuse from other facilities in the past 12 months. The auditor received the PTS number and confirmed the investigative actions. The auditor reviewed email notifications for compliance regarding previously reported incidents and the notification was provided within the mandated 72-hour timeframe to the facility head and documented in an incident report.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1991 1493 2152">The agency head designee advised all notifications are received by the agency PREA Coordinator and the Facility Head. The auditor reviewed 1 case file of allegations reported to another facility. The case file included the following documents: the case history, email notifications from facility heads, Notification of Abuse Allegations Form, and the investigative report. The Pre-Audit questionnaire indicated 6 allegations were reported to the facility in the past 12 months. All documents indicated notification between the facility Superintendents within 72 hours. The PREA Compliance Manager interview advised the</p>

inmate would be taken medical, offered medical and mental health assistance, offered rape crisis counseling, and followed for retaliation monitoring, The Psychology department would conduct an interview with them and then counseling staff follows them for 90 days. All this information is then forwarded to the facility where the allegation occurred.

Conclusion: The facility has a policy to ensure reporting of allegations of sexual abuse of inmates while confined at another facility. The agency policy requires all allegations of sexual abuse received from another facility is investigated immediately. All investigations and notifications are documented and referred to the investigator within 72 hours of the receipt of the allegation. The auditor reviewed the documentation of allegations that an inmate was abused while in confinement, documentation that the notifications occurred within 72 hours, and the documentation of the notification from each agency head or appropriate staff person. Based on the evidence provided the facility meets the provisions required within this standard and no further action is required.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 300">Standard 115.64 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 383 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 557 935 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Emergency Response Cards 3. DC-ADM 008, Section 14, Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse 4. Initial Response Checklist - Alleged Victim 5. Initial Response Checklist - Alleged Abuser <p data-bbox="240 904 352 934">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 960 647 1158" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1 Non-Security Staff First Responder 2. 1 Security Staff First Responder 3. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse 4. 12 Random Staff <p data-bbox="240 1247 512 1276">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1303 962 1388" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the Initial Response Checklist for the victim and the abuser 2. Reviewed the Emergency Response Card being utilized by the staff <p data-bbox="240 1478 483 1507">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1534 1493 1794">115.64 (a-b) Agency policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, page 2 describes the staff first responder duties. The policy indicates the staff responsibilities for security and non-security employees. The directives for the security staff include the following four step action plan: separate the alleged victim and abuser, preserve, and protect the scene, collect the evidence if time is allotted, and do not allow the victim or abuser to participate in any activities that may destroy evidence such as: washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, or eating. If the first responder is a non-security staff member, the responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and notify a security staff member immediately. The auditor interviewed inmates who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, and all inmates indicated a feeling that the staff respected the incident and kept them safe from their abuser.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1827 1485 2152">The facility reported 87 allegations of sexual abuse within the past 12 months, 6 cases reported to security staff that involved the separation of the victim and the abuser, and 2 cases reported to a non-security staff member. The facility reported 6 cases reported where physical evidence was collected, and the staff indicated the inmates would be informed to not make any attempts to destroy the physical evidence. The auditor concluded staff knowledge regarding these actions throughout the facility as the auditor interviewed 1 security staff designated as a first responder, and 1 non-security staff. The common response was to notify a supervisor immediately and follow the four-step action plan. The action plan was also noted in the staff training curriculum and verified during the Superintendent interview. The auditor interviewed 12 random staff members, and all 12 were able to convey the action plan steps required within the policy to provide an immediate response. The staff also carry emergency response cards that indicate the four-step action plan. The auditor observed the staff carrying these cards throughout the on-site review. The auditor reviewed 1 Initial Response Checklist for the alleged victim and 1 Initial</p>

Response Checklist for the alleged abuser that included the 4-step action plan.

Conclusion: The agency has a policy governing the staff first responder duties to include a security and non-security staff response. The policy mandates the four-step action plan previously mentioned within the body of the narrative. The auditor reviewed documentation and interviews indicating full compliance with this standard. No further action is required by the facility as they have met substantial compliance.

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.65 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 14, page 1, Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse 3. DC- ADM 008, Local Policy, CAMP HILL 001, Effective August 16, 2021 <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator 3. 12 Random Staff 4. 6 Informal Staff Interviews <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the First Responder Duty Cards <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.65 (a) SCI Camp Hill has a written plan to coordinate actions for all staff during reported allegations of sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment. The action plan describes the procedures for the following participants: volunteers and contractors, support staff, security staff, shift commanders, shift supervisors, first responder duties, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. The facility plan is documented, provides detailed actions for providers, and the staff were able to convey their specific duties during the 12 random and 6 informal staff interviews. The facility Superintendent and the PREA Coordinator interviews indicated reminders to staff regarding their specific duties annually and the auditor reviewed this information within the training plan.</p> <p>Conclusion: The SCI Camp Hill has a facility institutional response plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. This response plan is separate from the agency response plan, and it is more locally individualized to meet the specific needs of the facility. The auditor reviewed documents and conducted staff interviews to measure the effectiveness of the written plan. Based on the evidence provided by the facility, compliance was indicated, and no further action is required.</p>

115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:

Documents :

1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses
2. Policy – 4.1.1 Human Resources and Labor Relations, Section 5 pg. 1-3
3. Memorandum from Secretary of Corrections
4. Pennsylvania Doctors Alliance Agreement
5. American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees Agreement
6. Correctional Institution Vocational Education Association, Pennsylvania State Education
7. Association, National Education Association Agreement
8. Federation of State Cultural and Educational Professionals Agreement
9. Pennsylvania State Corrections Officers Association Agreement
10. OPEIU Healthcare Pennsylvania Memorandum of Understanding
11. SEIU Agreement
12. Service Employees International Union Healthcare Pennsylvania, CTW, CLC Agreement

Interviews:

1. Facility Superintendent
2. Agency Head Designee

Site Review Observations:

1. Reviewed a memo provided by the PREA Compliance Manager discussing evidence supported by a previous audit.

Findings (By Provision):

115.66 (a) The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has not entered into any agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. In deciding to suspend an employee pending investigation, the Department must be able to demonstrate that the “nature of the allegations” is such that there is cause to remove the employee from the institution pending investigation and not that the employee committed the offenses. The FLSA regulations, for exempt employees, permit suspensions of less than a full workweek for violations of written workplace policies applicable to all employees. This provision applies to applicable written work rules which prohibit serious workplace misconduct, which includes, but is not limited to, workplace violence, sexual abuse, sexual harassment, substance abuse, internet access policies, Code of Ethics violations, or violations of state or federal law. Discipline for these infractions should be consistent with Section 6 of this procedure’s manual.

Conclusion: The auditor reviewed the evidence provided by the facility and found no evidence to deny satisfactory compliance toward this standard. These documents do not limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from the contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what

extent discipline is warranted. The facility Superintendent interview confirmed this process, and the Agency Head Designee interview indicated disciplinary action will be followed by notification to the Pennsylvania State Police for criminal acts and certifying bodies for certification review.

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 453 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.67 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 943 701" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Retaliation Monitoring Form 3. DC-ADM 008, Section 13, pages 1-2, Protection Against Retaliation <p data-bbox="240 790 352 817">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 846 743 1272" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency Head Designee 2. Facility Superintendent 3. 1 Staff Member Assigned to Monitor Retaliation 4. Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse 5. Inmates High Risk of Sexual Victimization 6. 12 Random Staff 7. 21 Random Inmates 8. PREA Compliance Manager <p data-bbox="240 1361 512 1388">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1417 1445 1444" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed for email transcripts from the facility Superintendent to the compliance monitor extending the 90-day review. <p data-bbox="240 1534 485 1561">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1590 1474 1720">115.67 (a-e) The agency has established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation, and this is described in agency policy DC ADM 008, Section 13. The Department shall protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff.</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1749 1490 2000" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any individual, who seeks to deter an inmate or other individual from reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, or who in any manner, harasses or intimidates any person who reports the alleged contact is subject to discipline. 2. Staff that require retaliation monitoring due to report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, or because of an expressed fear of retaliation due to cooperation with an investigation of inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall meet with: a. the Deputy Superintendent for Centralized Services (DSCS) in State Correctional Institutions (SCIs); b. the Facility Director in Community Corrections Centers (CCCs); and c. the District Director/Deputy District Director in Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole (PBPP) offices/sub-offices. <p data-bbox="240 2029 1485 2157">The facility PREA Compliance Manager and unit counselors are the designated staff members charged with monitoring retaliation. These positions are provided the necessary support by the Superintendent. The interview process with the facility Superintendent indicated an active role toward retaliation monitoring is an ongoing process. The facility attempts to employ multiple protection measures by monitoring housing changes, transfers for inmate victims and abusers, removal of staff</p>

through termination, emotional support services, monitoring the inmate and staff performance evaluations, disciplinary actions, unannounced lockdowns, denial of privileges, grievances, and the inmates are provided with materials to assist the communication process. Literature is posted in the inmate handbook, posters, and methods of reporting retaliation described in the daily PREA video.

The Superintendent indicated additional reviews may be considered once the 90-day review has concluded. Random interviews with 12 staff members and 21 random inmates indicated no cause for concern with retaliation. The auditor interviewed the PREA Compliance Manager, inmates that previously reported sexual abuse, and no interviews indicated retaliation concerns. The facility reported 0 allegations of retaliation in the past 12 months, and the classification files documented the 90-day reviews. The auditor reviewed the Retaliation Monitoring Form and determined an evaluation is conducted on the following days: within 96 hours, within 15 days, within 30 days, within 60 days, and within 90 days. All reviews indicated no concerns regarding retaliation.

Conclusion: The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has an agency policy protecting all inmates and staff who report abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation. This extends from retaliation from inmates or staff and includes the monitoring of inmates and staff following a report, and the agency response to the suspected retaliation. The auditor reviewed documentation and interviews to support these findings and the auditor finds the facility has met the provisions of this standard with substantial compliance. No further action is required.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.68 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1276 701" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. DC-ADM 802, Section 2, Administrative Hearing 3. DC-ADM 008, Section 5, page 1, Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention – Protective Custody <p data-bbox="240 790 352 817">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 846 651 987" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. Staff Supervising Segregated Housing 3. Inmates in Segregated Housing <p data-bbox="240 1077 512 1104">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1133 1473 1547" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed records and documentation of housing assignments of inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. 2. Documentation of in-cell AND out- of-cell programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities for inmates in segregated housing. 3. Reviewed if the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities. 4. Reviewed records for length of placement in segregated housing for those who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. 5. Reviewed records indicating inmates are placed in involuntary segregated housing for a period that does not ordinarily exceed 30 days. 6. Reviewed Case files of inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse held in involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months. <p data-bbox="240 1637 483 1664">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1693 1481 1921">115.68 (a) Policy DC-ADM 008, Section 5, page 1 clearly defines the information within this standard. Inmates at high risk of sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and there are no other alternative means of separation. The inmate will not be held for more than 24 hours to complete the assessment. Adherence to the guidelines set forth in Section 1, Subsection A. of this procedures manual shall occur when inmates at a high risk for sexual victimization or inmates who have alleged sexual abuse are involuntarily placed into Protective Custody (PC) after a determination has been made that no other available alternative means of separation exist from abusers. An administrative hearing shall be conducted as outlined below.</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1951 1493 2134" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The hearing shall be conducted by the Program Review Committee (PRC). When an inmate is placed into involuntary Administrative Custody (AC) due to high risk for sexual victimization or after suffering sexual abuse, the hearing shall take place within 24 hours of placement or the next business day. 2. The reason(s) for the inmate's AC confinement must be explained to the inmate in writing and the inmate must be provided with the DC-141, Part 1, Other Report.

3. When an inmate is placed into involuntary AC due to high risk for sexual victimization or after allegedly suffering sexual abuse, the DC-141, Part 1, must articulate:

- a. the basis for the staff member's concern for the inmate's safety.
- b. the other alternative means of separation that were explored, and
- c. the reason no alternative means of separation can be arranged.

This policy was confirmed during the interview with the facility Superintendent. This policy allows for programming, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. This auditor reviewed the segregated housing records and spoke with the staff that supervise inmates in segregated housing. The facility reported no inmates in the past 12 months were inmates identified to be housed in segregated housing involuntary. The initial review would be conducted within 24 hours. Inmates would be reassigned to general population. The facility documents the privileges such as recreation, education, and programming. The inmate may not be authorized work opportunities due to keep separate concerns and this would be documented on the segregation forms. The auditor interviewed inmates in the restrictive housing unit and determined no concerns with housing considerations. The auditor determined no inmates were housed in segregation due to high risk of victimization as the inmates in restrictive housing were charged with behavior concerns and not concerns for their sexual safety.

Conclusion: The agency has a policy governing the use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. The auditor reviewed the facility evidence provided, interviews, and on-site compliance determinations. Based on the evidence provided the facility demonstrates substantial compliance to all provisions within this standard. No further action is required.

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.71 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 778 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Investigative Reports 3. Record Retention Schedule 4. Copies of Case Records 5. Sample of Cases Referred for Prosecution <p data-bbox="240 904 352 931">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 960 643 1216" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Investigative Staff 2. Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse 3. Facility Superintendent 4. PREA Coordinator 5. PREA Compliance Manager <p data-bbox="240 1305 512 1332">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1361 587 1447" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Case Files 2. Reviewed Investigative Reports <p data-bbox="240 1536 483 1563">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1592 1484 1787">115.71 (a-l) The Pennsylvania State Police conducts all criminal investigations regarding allegations of sexual abuse, sexual battery, staff sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment for the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections as required in the State policy. The Bureau of Investigation and Intelligence will investigate allegations of staff-on-inmate sexual abuse, typically uncovered during investigations into other forms of unauthorized activity. This information was confirmed during the investigator interview. Agency policy requires the investigations to be conducted promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual assault, and sexual harassment.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1816 1484 1944">The facility reported a total of 131 investigations conducted in the past 12 months. The facility reported 86 allegations of sexual abuse and 45 allegations of sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed 21 investigative reports to include reports from the third-party allegations and 32 cases are still active, 32 cases were ruled as non-PREA allegations, 99 cases are closed, 24 unfounded, 37 unsubstantiated, and 6 substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1973 1484 2136">Agency policy requires the facility use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations. This training includes interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The Pennsylvania State Police will prepare compelled interviews and communicate all activities with the County Prosecutor. The compelled interviews would be conducted while moving forward throughout the investigative process</p>

and truth telling devices, such as a polygraph, would not be utilized strictly to continue the investigation.

The Pennsylvania State Police authorizes investigators to support the SCI Camp Hill and the PDOC. The auditor verified investigators have received the specialized PREA investigator training. All 21 investigative records reviewed by the auditor were conducted by trained investigators. The facility spreadsheet used to track the investigations listed the PREA trained investigator as the investigator in administrative investigations. The auditor confirmed the investigator has received the specialized PREA training. The shift supervisors gather the information and the certified PREA investigator conducted all investigations and support was provided by staff interviews, reviewing investigative records, email notifications, and revised spreadsheets. The shift supervisors gathered personal data, secured the scene, and performed first responder duties.

This auditor reviewed 21 investigative reports and determined a lack of a documented credibility assessment. The considerations for credibility were included as discussed throughout the investigative staff interviews. The agency performed a joint training session with all agency investigators in September 2021 and implemented a new checklist for investigation reports. The Pennsylvania State Police will review the evidence provided throughout the investigation to determine if the case will be deemed criminal or administrative. A criminal case will be consulted with the local prosecutor and the administrative case will be directed back to the facility Superintendent for administrative action. The Superintendent will consult with the investigator to determine if staff actions or failures to act contributed to the incident. All cases will be reviewed, and determinations made based on the following: written reports, physical and testimonial evidence, credibility assessments, and the investigative facts and findings. All investigations are documented in a written report and maintained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, and then five years thereafter. Agency policy explains the departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating the investigation. All parties will cooperate with the investigation and outside licensing bodies will be notified. This was confirmed during the investigator and Superintendent interviews.

Conclusion: The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has an agency policy related to the handling of criminal and administrative agency investigations in cases where sexual abuse is alleged. The auditor reviewed all evidence provided, reviewed case files, conducted interviews, and reviewed a sample of the retained investigations, the auditor finds SCI Camp Hill meets the provisions of this standard with compliance. However, the PREA Coordinator has identified areas of improvement regarding the facility investigative process and report writing.

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	<p data-bbox="242 145 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="242 210 451 239">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 504 300">Standard 115.72 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="242 385 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="242 501 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="242 557 777 815" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Investigative Reports 3. Record Retention Schedule 4. Copies of Case Records 5. Sample of Cases Referred for Prosecution <p data-bbox="242 902 352 931">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="242 958 448 987" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Investigative Staff <p data-bbox="242 1075 509 1104">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="242 1131 585 1218" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Case Files 2. Reviewed Investigative Reports <p data-bbox="242 1305 483 1335">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="242 1361 1430 1489">115.72 (a) Agency policy requires the facility shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. The interview with the facility PREA investigator revealed the facility standard is preponderance of the evidence. This evidence was verified through monitoring the results of 21 total investigations conducted.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1520 1481 1648">The facility reported a total of 131 investigations conducted in the past 12 months. The facility reported 86 allegations of sexual abuse and 45 allegations of sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed 21 investigative reports to include reports from the third-party allegations and 32 cases are still active, 32 cases were ruled as non-PREA allegations, 99 cases are closed, 24 unfounded, 37 unsubstantiated, and 6 substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1680 1458 1771">The agency policy states, in administrative investigations, the Department shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence, as defined in the glossary of terms, in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1803 1490 1895">Conclusion: The agency has a policy imposing a standard of preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof for determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is substantiated. Based on the evidence provided, the auditor has determined substantial compliance with the provisions of this standard. No further action is required.</p>

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.73 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Investigative Reports 3. Record Retention Schedule 4. Copies of Case Records 5. Sample of Cases Referred for Prosecution 6. Investigation Summary with Inmate Notification <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Investigative Staff 2. Facility Superintendent 3. Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Case Files 2. Reviewed Investigative Reports 3. Reviewed Inmate Notifications <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.73 (a-e) Agency policy requires, following an investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse, the agency must inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. The auditor reviewed a documented investigation and written notification to the inmate was provided as an unfounded complaint.</p> <p>The facility reported a total of 131 investigations conducted in the past 12 months. The facility reported 86 allegations of sexual abuse and 45 allegations of sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed 21 investigative reports to include reports from the third-party allegations and 32 cases are still active, 32 cases were ruled as non-PREA allegations, 99 cases are closed, 24 unfounded, 37 unsubstantiated, and 6 substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p>Agency policy requires if the allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, the agency shall subsequently inform the inmate whenever the staff member is no longer posted in the inmate's unit, no longer employed at the facility, indicted on a charge, or have been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse. The policy reflects these steps are not required if the results of the allegation are unfounded. The facility reported 6 substantiated allegations documented within the last 12 months against a staff member. The auditor reviewed documentation indicating all notices were provided to the alleged victims. The facility reported 131 notifications provided to inmates in the past 12 months for allegations.</p> <p>Agency policy requires when the allegation is the result of sexual abuse by another inmate, the facility must notify the victim when the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge, or convicted on a charge, and these steps</p>

are not required if the result of the allegation is unfounded. The facility Superintendent and the PREA investigator confirmed this communication process during the on-site review. The PREA Coordinator indicated knowledge of this occurring throughout the investigative process. This auditor reviewed documentation of this notification process occurring during the on-site review.

Conclusion: The agency has a policy requiring that any inmate who makes an allegation of suffering sexual abuse in an agency or facility is informed, in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. Based on the evidence provided the SCI Camp Hill meets the provisions of this standard with substantial compliance. No further action is required.

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.76 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 1465 1077" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Investigative Reports 3. Record Retention Schedule 4. Copies of Case Records 5. Sample of Cases Referred for Prosecution 6. Investigation Summary with Inmate Notification 7. Sample of Records of Termination, Resignation, or other Sanctions for Violation of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment Policies 8. DC-ADM 008, Section 17, Discipline Related to Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, or Retaliation 9. 4.1.1 Human Resource and Labor Relations Bulletin (Effective 02-17-15) <p data-bbox="240 1167 352 1193">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1223 512 1308" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. Human Resources Staff <p data-bbox="240 1397 509 1424">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1453 799 1650" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Case Files 2. Reviewed Investigative Reports 3. Reviewed Inmate Notifications 4. Reviewed the MOU between the PSP and the PDOC <p data-bbox="240 1740 483 1767">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1796 1485 2159">115.76 (a-d) The presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse at the SCI Camp Hill is termination and this is explained in agency policy. This policy was confirmed by the facility Superintendent during the interview process. Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. The disciplinary action is commensurate with the acts committed, staff disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. The auditor reviewed documentation in attempts to determine if other staff actions may have contributed to the incident that led to disciplinary sanctions for staff such as: failing to act to prevent sexual abuse from occurring, standing by while the abuse takes place, failing to act as required after the incident, negligent supervision that leads to, or could lead to an incident, or deliberately ignoring evidence that a colleague has abused an inmate. No findings of this nature were reported within the 21 investigative reports reviewed. The facility reported 2 incidents in the past 12 months for staff who have been terminated, and 19 samples of staff disciplined for violation of the agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. The auditor reviewed the</p>

disciplinary action of staff with the facility Superintendent and the sanctions imposed for violation of this policy is termination. The facility Superintendent confirmed past incidents being referred to law enforcement for prosecution and notifying the applicable licensing board such as the Criminal Justice Services, Board of Nursing, and the Department of Education. These notifications occur upon termination or resignations in lieu of termination. This is required by agency policy 4.1.1 Human Resource and Labor Relations Bulletin effective February 17, 2015. The Pennsylvania State Police conducts all criminal investigations, and the auditor reviewed the Memorandum of Understanding provided by the facility.

Conclusion: The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has a policy regarding disciplinary violations for acts of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed the agency policy and determined the facility requires no further action as the presumptive expectation of disciplinary action is termination when there are substantiated violations of sexual abuse allegations. The facility provided documentation supporting this practice and no further action is required for compliance.

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Standard 115.77 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Investigative Reports 3. Record Retention Schedule 4. Copies of Case Records 5. Sample of Cases Referred for Prosecution 6. Investigation Summary with Inmate Notification 7. Sample of Records of Termination, Resignation, or other Sanctions for Violation of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment Policies 8. DC-ADM 008, Section 17, Discipline Related to Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, or Retaliation 9. 4.1.1 Human Resource and Labor Relations Bulletin (Effective 02-17-15) <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Case Files 2. Reviewed Investigative Reports 3. Reviewed Inmate Notifications 4. Reviewed the MOU between the PSP and the PDOC <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.77 (a-b) Agency policy requires any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with inmates and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies. This procedure is not enforced if the activity is clearly not criminal, or the allegation is unfounded. Notifications will also be made to relevant licensing bodies and the facility shall take appropriate remedial measures to determine further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The facility did not report any volunteer or contractor terminations, discipline, law enforcement referrals, or notifications to relevant licensing bodies for violations of sexual abuse, sexual assault, or sexual harassment. This was confirmed during the facility Superintendent interview and informal interviews with the command staff. The auditor reviewed documentation in attempts to determine if other volunteer or contractor actions may have contributed to the incident that led to disciplinary sanctions for staff such as: failing to act to prevent sexual abuse from occurring, standing by while the abuse takes place, failing to act as required after the incident, negligent supervision that leads to, or could lead to an incident, or deliberately ignoring evidence that a colleague has abused an inmate. No findings of this nature were reported within the 21 investigative reports reviewed.</p>

Conclusion: The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has a policy regarding disciplinary violations or acts of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Based on the review of evidence provided by the facility, the auditor has determined the SCI Camp Hill meets the provisions required within this standard. No further action is required, and the presumptive expectation of disciplinary action is termination.

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.78 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Investigative Reports 3. Record Retention Schedule 4. Copies of Case Records 5. Sample of Cases Referred for Prosecution 6. Investigation Summary with Inmate Notification 7. Sample of Records of Termination, Resignation, or other Sanctions for Violation of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment Policies 8. DC-ADM 008, Section 17, Discipline Related to Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, or Retaliation 9. 4.1.1 Human Resource and Labor Relations Bulletin (Effective 02-17-15) 10. Inmate Classification Files 11. Inmate Disciplinary Files 12. Inmate Medical Files <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. 1 Medical Staff 3. 1 Mental Health Staff <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed Case Files 2. Reviewed Investigative Reports 3. Reviewed Inmate Notifications 4. Reviewed the MOU between the PSP and the PDOC <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.78 (a-g) Agency policy informs inmates shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for sexual abuse. The facility reported no administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or criminal findings in the past 12 months. There were no Substantiated Inmate on Inmate Abuse allegations. This was confirmed by the</p>

facility Superintendent, 1 Mental Health staff, 1 medical staff member, and 21 inmate medical files were reviewed. The Mental Health staff indicated potential screenings to address or correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. The facility utilizes medical staff for assistance and for counseling services.

Agency policy advises the facility may discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. The facility prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish enough evidence to substantiate the allegation. The facility prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and may discipline inmates for such activity.

Conclusion: The agency has a policy which states inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative or criminal finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. The auditor reviewed all records and findings associated with the provisions of this standard and no further action is required. The SCI Camp Hill meets the substantial compliance required with this standard.

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.81 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 951 873" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. 21 Inmate Medical Files 3. Policy – DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act, Section 10 pg. 1 4. Classification Records 5. Mental Health Confidential Disclosure Statement 6. Medical and Mental Health Records <p data-bbox="240 963 352 990">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1019 703 1220" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. 1 Medical Staff 3. 1 Mental Health Staff 4. Inmate Reporting Prior Sexual Victimization <p data-bbox="240 1310 512 1337">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1366 588 1393" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed files and records logs <p data-bbox="240 1482 483 1509">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1538 1485 1762">115.81 (a-e) The auditor reviewed 21 randomly selected electronic medical files and reviewed the facility policy regarding inmates experiencing prior victimization and abusiveness. Agency policy provides this information and verifies staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake process. This was also confirmed during the interview with the Mental Health staff. Agency policy DC-ADM 008 indicates that "If the screening pursuant to PREA standard 115.41 indicates that a prison or jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a follow up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening or sooner, if clinically indicated".</p> <p data-bbox="240 1792 1485 2157">This information is documented in the medical incident injury report, as well as DC-121. Interviews with one inmate who disclosed sexual victimization during a PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT), and review of corresponding documentation is consistent with the policy requirement and adhere to this standard. The auditor interviewed an inmate that reported prior sexual victimization during the risk screening and the inmate confirmed being offered a follow up referral with mental health. The inmate advised this was conducted within a few days and the inspection of the medical file indicated 2 days. The auditor did not identify any concerns with the tracking mechanism presented by the medical staff regarding the 14-day reviews. The staff member from intake will generate the referral request based on the information received during the inmate risk screening (PRAT). The referral will be noted in the medical files, and this begins an internal time clock to track the number of days until the 14-day review is completed. The medical staff and authorized staff are provided a username and password to access the medical records. This information is strictly for treatment plans, housing decisions, bed assignments, signed by inmates to provide consent for professional health care services and to receive instructions regarding access to medical,</p>

dental, and mental health care. Interviews with medical and mental health staff revealed that a consent form is signed by the inmates regarding the limits to confidentiality.

Conclusion: The Pennsylvania Department of Corrections has a policy governing the facility response to medical and mental health services in correlation with the review of the inmate risk assessment screenings. The policy stresses confidentiality within the medical environment and manages the immediate health needs, security risks, and the determination for further treatment. A review of all evidence provided by the facility indicates compliance with the provisions of this standard. No further action is required.

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.82 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 951 873" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. 21 Inmate Medical Files 3. Policy – DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act, Section 10 pg. 1 4. Classification Records 5. Mental Health Confidential Disclosure Statement 6. Medical and Mental Health Records <p data-bbox="240 963 352 990">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1019 703 1220" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. 1 Medical Staff 3. 1 Mental Health Staff 4. Inmate Reporting Prior Sexual Victimization <p data-bbox="240 1310 512 1337">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1366 587 1393" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed files and records logs <p data-bbox="240 1482 483 1509">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1538 1485 1830">115.82 (a-d) Agency policy requires inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The facility offers 24-hour medical care and 24-hour crisis intervention services. The facility provides offsite emergency room care and utilizes the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital for the SAFE/SANE exams. The YWCA Carlisle provides 24-hour counseling and crisis intervention services, and the facility supports its own crisis stabilization and transitional care units. The Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital performs all sexual assault examinations and offers prophylaxis to safeguard from sexually transmitted diseases. The SANE provides the notification to the YWCA Carlisle for onsite advocacy during the exam. The volunteers will be notified to provide crisis intervention services and advocacy. The facility reported 6 incidents of sexual abuse required a SANE exam within the past 12 months. The facility confirmed a victim advocate provider was utilized throughout the process of the exam.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1861 1485 2152">The staff indicate the level of care at the SCI Camp Hill is consistent with the level of care demonstrated within the community. The auditor was unable to speak with the SANE staff but confirmed on-site exams are conducted with the presence of a volunteer advocate. The auditor reviewed the MOU for the YWCA Carlisle and the Geisinger Holy Spirit Hospital. This auditor reviewed the inmate handbook provided by the facility to ensure compliance. The treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names an abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. This was confirmed by the Health Services Administrator and no concerns were present during the informal inmate interviews. The auditor interviewed inmates who have reported sexual abuse and they did not indicate any concerns within this standard. The interview with the YWCA Carlisle volunteer provided insight into the extremely positive relationship with the provider and the facility.</p>

Conclusion: Based on the auditor's review of the evidence provided by the facility to include policies regarding access to treatment services, samples of secondary materials relating to forms, logs, and immediate notification documents, SCI Camp Hill is fully compliant with this standard. No further action is required.

115.83	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 504 297">Standard 115.83 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 387 999 414">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 504 371 530">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 951 873" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. 21 Inmate Medical Files 3. Policy – DC-ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act, Section 10 pg. 1 4. Classification Records 5. Mental Health Confidential Disclosure Statement 6. Medical and Mental Health Records <p data-bbox="240 963 352 990">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1019 703 1220" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. 1 Medical Staff 3. 1 Mental Health Staff 4. Inmate Reporting Prior Sexual Victimization <p data-bbox="240 1310 509 1337">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1366 588 1393" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed files and records logs <p data-bbox="240 1482 483 1509">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1538 1485 1697">115.83 (a-h) The facility Mental Health Director indicated the facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse. The Mental Health Director advised the evaluation and treatment plans are consistent with the level of care demonstrated within the community. At times, the inmate may qualify for additional services due to their status. The inmate treatment plans may consist of referrals for continued care, medications, transfers to other facilities, or accommodations upon release.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1727 1493 2056">SCI Camp Hill does not house female offenders as confirmed during the population analysis. Agency policy advises inmate victims will be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections and all treatment services will be provided at no cost to the victim. The YWCA Carlisle will also provide outside emotional support services and their volunteers are very supportive of the facility programs. This information was confirmed during the Health Services interview and the informal staff interviews. The informal inmate interviews expressed knowledge regarding the free medical, mental health, and emotional support services offered at the facility. The YWCA Carlisle information was posted near every phone in the inmate living units. Several random inmate interviews confirmed knowledge of this service. The Mental Health Director confirmed the 60-day mental health assessments are conducted for inmate-on-inmate abusers. The auditor reviewed records of two victims and 2 abusers indicating the 60-day review was completed. The auditor reviewed the financial statements of the 2 victims indicating the testing was conducted at no cost to the victim.</p> <p data-bbox="240 2085 1493 2145">Conclusion: Based on the auditor's review of the following evidence provided by the facility: policy governing ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers, medical records indicating timely access to treatment plans,</p>

referrals, and sexually transmitted infections testing as medically appropriate. The auditor determined the facility was found in compliance with the provisions of this standard and the level of care is consistent with the level of care within the community. No further action is required.

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.86 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Incident Review Team Member <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussed the Incident Review Team Process <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.86 (a-e) Agency policy mandates the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the end of every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. This incident review must be conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. This process was confirmed by the Superintendent and PREA Compliance Manager interviews.</p> <p>The incident review team consists of the following: Superintendent, Command Staff, Classification Supervisor, and the team receives input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical and mental health staff. The facility presents a report of its findings from the sexual abuse incident reviews and makes a final recommendation for improvement or documents the reasons for not performing improvements. The criteria included within the reviews consists of the following: policy revisions, incident motivations by race, ethnicity, gender identity, lesbian, gay, bi-sexual, transgender, intersex, gang affiliation, physical barriers that may have contributed to the abuse, adequate staffing levels, video monitoring equipment or lack of, mandated training by staff and inmates, appropriate supervision, notifications, and operational considerations. The auditor reviewed several incident review documents and noted the information was provided within the form. The Superintendent confirmed review of 41 reported facility incident reviews.</p> <p>Conclusion: The auditor determined the facility met this standard with substantial compliance based on the review of the following documentation: policies on conducting sexual abuse incident reviews, sample documentation or completed investigations, documentation of review team minutes, and recommended findings. No further action is required.</p>

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.87 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Incident Review Team Member <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussed the Incident Review Team Process <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.87 (a-f) The auditor reviewed the facility uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse and compared the data to the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice. The facility aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data annually and includes definitions as appropriate to the Survey of Sexual Violence. The auditor reviewed the data collected in 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020 as the data is compiled for a one-year (calendar) period after December. The SCI Camp Hill does not operate another facility or contract with other facilities for the confinement of its inmates. The PREA Compliance Manager securely maintains all documentation used to compile the information and the Pennsylvania State Police maintains the investigative data and records. Approved data is posted on the Agency website and available upon request by the Department of Justice. The facility Superintendent confirmed the use of all facility data relative to this standard.</p> <p>Conclusion: The auditor reviewed the agency policies for collecting data on sexual abuse allegations, the instrument used for collecting the data, the set of definitions applied, the facility website, and a sample of the historical data used to determine the facility is fully compliant with the provisions of this standard. No further action required.</p>

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.88 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Incident Review Team Member <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.88 (a-d) Agency policy requires the facility to review data collected and aggregated to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training. This auditor reviewed the data posted on the facility website that includes the total number of substantiated, unsubstantiated, and unfounded allegations of sexual misconduct by inmate-to-inmate and staff-to-inmate reports in 2017, 2018, 2019, and for 2020. This information is approved by the Agency Secretary of Corrections and posted on the facility website for review. The agency PREA Coordinator advised this information is utilized to identify problem areas and initiate corrective action measures when appropriate. The facility Superintendent confirmed the use and data associated with this report during the interview. No facility data was redacted from the annual report for publication, and this was verified by the PREA Compliance Manager.</p> <p>Conclusion: The auditor reviewed evidence provided by the facility such as corrective action plans, an annual report of findings, website materials, and found the facility is fully compliant with the provisions of this standard. No further action required.</p>

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.89 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Incident Review Team Member <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.89 (a-d) The PREA Coordinator indicated all documentation utilized for data collection is maintained by the PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager collects the data and maintains electronic files on a secure server. The data report is approved by the Agency Director and the Facility Superintendent and posted on the Agency website annually. The auditor reviewed the report and did not observe any personally identifying information. Agency policy requires the facility shall maintain sexual abuse data collected for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.</p> <p>Conclusion: Based on the auditor's review of the agency policy, facility website, interviews, and historical data, SCI Camp Hill is fully compliant with the provisions of this standard. No further action is required.</p>

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	<p data-bbox="240 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="240 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 273 515 300">Standard 115.401 Analysis</p> <p data-bbox="240 385 999 412">The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p data-bbox="240 501 371 528">Documents :</p> <ol data-bbox="240 560 778 757" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy 3. PREA Audit Notice Verification 4. Postal Communications from Inmates <p data-bbox="240 846 352 873">Interviews:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 904 624 1043" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator 3. Inmates Providing Correspondence <p data-bbox="240 1133 509 1160">Site Review Observations:</p> <ol data-bbox="240 1191 751 1218" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the Agency Website and Facility Data <p data-bbox="240 1308 483 1335">Findings (By Provision):</p> <p data-bbox="240 1361 1490 1487">115.401(a-n) The SCI Camp Hill conducted its first cycle PREA audit September 27, 2015, and the facility was found in compliance on 41 standards, 4 standards exceeded expectation (115.11, 115.16, 115.18, and 115.33), 37 met the standards, and 2 standards were documented as not applicable (115.14 and 115.52). The auditor reviewed the report on the facility website during the Preaudit phase.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1518 1493 1612">The SCI Camp Hill conducted its second cycle PREA audit on May 31, 2019, and the facility was found in compliance on 45 standards, no standards exceeded expectation, 45 were evaluated with a meet's determination, and no standards were noted not met or not applicable. This data was confirmed by the facility PREA Compliance Manager during the on-site review.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1644 1490 1939">The auditor was authorized complete access to the entire facility and provided this access during the on-site review. No restrictions were placed on the auditor during the Pre-Audit, onsite review, and post audit phases. The auditor received all documents requested and was provided electronic viewing upon request. The on-site review provided the auditor the opportunity to conduct private interviews with inmates, staff, and contractors without limitations. The facility PREA Compliance Manager provided photographic evidence regarding the posting of the PREA Audit Notification in all inmates living units on August 9, 2021. This posting provided the inmates and staff a name and mailing address for the auditor. The auditor confirmed this posting during the on-site review as staff and inmate interviews validated the posting at least 6 weeks prior to the on-site review. The auditor received 6 postal communications from inmates at SCI Camp Hill and no correspondence from staff. All 6 inmates were on-site and interviewed and no concerns present regarding their sexual safety.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1971 1490 2029">Conclusion: The auditor has determined based on the evidence provided by the facility and review of the facility website; SCI Camp Hill meets substantial compliance with the provisions of the standard. No additional action is required.</p>

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Standard 115.403 Analysis</p> <p>The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determinations:</p> <p>Documents :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SCI Camp Hill Pre-Audit Questionnaire Responses 2. Agency Policy 3. PREA Audit Notice Verification 4. Postal Communications from Inmates <p>Interviews:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Facility Superintendent 2. PREA Coordinator <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reviewed the Agency Website and Facility Data <p>Findings (By Provision):</p> <p>115.403 (a-f) The auditor verified the final audit reports were published on the facility website, and the auditor reviewed all documentation and compliance efforts. The auditor attempted to confirm all prior recommendations were completed from the previous audit. The facility has received two prior PREA audit reports and the auditor confirmed both audit reports are published on the agency website.</p> <p>The SCI Camp Hill conducted its first cycle PREA audit September 27, 2015, and the facility was found in compliance on 41 standards, 4 standards exceeded expectation (115.11, 115.16, 115.18, and 115.33), 37 met the standards, and 2 standards were documented as not applicable (115.14 and 115.52). The auditor reviewed the report on the facility website during the Preaudit phase.</p> <p>The SCI Camp Hill conducted its second cycle PREA audit on May 31, 2019, and the facility was found in compliance on 45 standards, no standards exceeded expectation, 45 were evaluated with a meet's determination, and no standards were noted not met or not applicable. This data was confirmed by the facility PREA Compliance Manager during the on-site review.</p> <p>Conclusion: Based on the evidence provided by the facility, the SCI Camp Hill meets substantial compliance with the provisions of this standard, and no further action is required.</p>

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes

115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes

115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes
115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	yes

115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes

115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes

115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes

115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes

115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes

115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	yes
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes

115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes
115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes

115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes

115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes

115.51 (b)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	yes
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes

115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes

115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes

115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes

115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes

115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes

115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes
115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes

115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes

115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	yes
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes