## PREA AUDIT REPORT

**ADULT PRISONS & JAILS**

**Date of report:** 05-05-16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditor Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auditor name:</strong> John Barkley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address:</strong> 287 Middlesex Road, Columbia, SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email:</strong> <a href="mailto:fiddlinwarden@yahoo.com">fiddlinwarden@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone number:</strong> 803-451-1382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of facility visit:</strong> April 11-12, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facility name:</strong> Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facility physical address:</strong> 4395 Quehanna Highway, Kartahus, Pennsylvania 16845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facility mailing address:</strong> (if different from above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facility telephone number:</strong> 814 263 4125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The facility is:</strong> State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facility type:</strong> Prison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of facility’s Chief Executive Officer:</strong> Mary Natoli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of staff assigned to the facility in the last 12 months:</strong> 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Designed facility capacity:</strong> 570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current population of facility:</strong> 440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:</strong> Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age range of the population:</strong> 18-40 for Bootcamp / 18-unlimited for SIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Name of PREA Compliance Manager: James Stover | **Title:** Corrections Classification and Program Manager |
| **Email address:** jastover@pa.gov | **Telephone number:** 813-263-3904 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of agency:</strong> Pennsylvania Department of Corrections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governing authority or parent agency:</strong> (if applicable) Click here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical address:</strong> 1920 Technology Parkway, Mechanicsburg, PA 17050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mailing address:</strong> (if different from above) Click here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone number:</strong> 717-728-2573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Chief Executive Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong> John E. Wetzel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong> Carole Mattis (in the absence of the PREA Coordinator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email address:</strong> <a href="mailto:cmathis@pa.gov">cmathis@pa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AUDIT FINDINGS

NARRATIVE

A Prison Rape Elimination Act Audit of the Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp was conducted on April 11th - 12th, 2016. The purpose of the audit was to determine compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act standards which became effective August 20, 2012.

An entrance meeting was held the morning of the onsite audit with the following persons: Superintendent Mary Natoli; Major Frazer Blake; Jim Stover, PREA Compliance Manager and Corrections Classification and Program Manager; Lt. Scott Carter, Investigations; Melissa Bilotte, CSA; Kimberly Merrow, Nurse Supervisor; Jaime Rauch, DATS Supervisor; Steven Godfrey, DATS Supervisor; Ellen McMahon, Unit Manager; Clint Youngfleish, Unit Manager; Jacquelyn Wick, Clerical Supervisor; Walter Stanton, Correctional Food Service Manager and from Central office, Carole Mattis, Director of Standards, Audits and Accreditation.

The auditor wishes to extend his appreciation to Superintendent Natoli and her staff for the professionalism they demonstrated throughout the audit and their willingness to comply with all requests made by the auditor.

The auditor would also like to recognize Director Carole Mattis and PREA Compliance Manager James Stover for their hard work and dedication to ensure the facility is compliant with all PREA standards. Director Mattis is overseeing the agency's PREA compliance, until the agency's recently vacated PREA Coordinator position is filled. Mr. Stover’s dedication to providing a prison environment where the standards were understood and followed was apparent to this auditor. He was very engaged with all staff and that communication ability was helpful in compliance with the standards.

The auditor provided the facility with a Notification of Audit on February 16, 2016. The notification contained information on the upcoming audit and stated that any inmate with relevant information related the the facility’s compliance with the U.S. Department of Justice PREA standards should mail the auditor at least 10 days prior to the onsite audit date (April 11, 2016). The auditor instructed the facility to post this notification in all housing units and throughout the facility at least six weeks prior to the onsite audit. During the facility tour, the auditor observed the posting in all housing areas and throughout the facility.

Approximately three months prior to the onsite audit, the agency provided the auditor with access to the agency’s AARMS dataroom which is used to upload and store documentation pertaining to the audit. Agency policies, as well as other relevant documentation, was uploaded into standard specific folders.

Approximately two weeks prior to the onsite audit, the auditor was advised that all information had been uploaded and was provided with a completed copy of the facility’s pre-audit questionnaire. The auditor reviewed the questionnaire at this time and confirmed that all required information was provided.

After the entrance meeting, the auditor was given a complete tour of all areas of the facility, including: all general population living units, laundry, gym, education classrooms, rooms used for treatment by the DATS, library, commissary, barber shop, control rooms, maintenance, staff dining, inmate dining, kitchen, medical, intake/processing, and outside grounds maintenance.

A total of 33 staff were interviewed with at least one staff member interviewed from each interview category, with the exception of the interviews related to educational staff who supervise youthful inmates, line staff who supervise youthful inmates, and non-medical staff involved in cross-gender searches and staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing. These interview types were not applicable to this facility and Quehanna does not have a RHU (Restrictive Housing Unit).
Staff interviews were conducted with staff from all three shifts.

A total of 26 inmates were interviewed with at least one inmate interviewed from each interview category, with the exception of the interviews related to youthful inmates and inmates placed in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization, and transgender inmates (these interview types were not applicable to this facility). There are 11 housing units in the prison that do not share a common day room. At least one inmate was interviewed from each housing unit.

Telephone interviews were conducted with the Agency Head, Agency Contract Administrator, volunteer, and the SAFE/SANE staff.

Throughout the pre-audit and onsite audit all questions asked by the auditor were answered. When the audit was completed, the auditor conducted an exit briefing on April 12, 2016. The auditor gave an overview of the audit and commended the staff on the structure that they maintain at the prison with the Boot Camp and the SIP (State Intermediate Punishment) whom they have for only 4 months. With such a constant turnover at the Boot Camp the information was clearly shared with all of the inmates and this was apparent during the interviews with the inmates at Quehanna. The team approach is clearly applicable to the staff at Quehanna and they are firmly entrenched in their mission to correct and rehabilitate the behavior of the Boot Camp and SIP inmates.
DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

The Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp is home to a 6 month boot camp and the first 4 months of inmates enrolled in the State Intermediate Punishment (SIP) program. Both of these programs are housed within the same prison but not in the same units. There are 11 total units. Nine of the units house 50 inmates in double bunk barracks style, one unit houses 56 inmates in barracks style and one unit with three hallways similar to a “T” houses inmates in rooms that hold 2, 3 or 4 inmates with a total of 50 maximum in that unit. There are two female units, one is Boot Camp in the barracks style and one is the SIP program in the multi room unit.

By state law the SIP inmates must be in treatment programming all day and in education if they have not received their GED. This format and with the structure of the Boot Camp does not leave much free time for the inmate. This structure and control even in an open barracks style makes it easier to control the prison and to ultimately keep the inmates safe from being victim to sexual harassment and assault. The officer has a vantage point to see all the inmates within the barracks and makes rounds. The prison makes good use of mirrors and cameras to reduce blind spots. All aspects in the Boot Camp units are very military in style. The inmates are drilled and interact with staff in a very professional manner, stopping in the hall when approaching a staff to be instructed to “carry on” by the staff. All interactions by inmates with staff and this auditor were followed by a “sir, yes sir” or “sir, no sir.” In the boot camp units, all activities done by the inmates are done in groups. The 15 shower stalls in each unit are used one time in shifts. The inmates go to the showers in their clothes and undress in the shower stall. When an officer of the opposite sex enters a unit a call is made “female on the unit”, all inmates in the unit respond in unison, “M’am, decent, M’am”. These methods make it extremely unlikely that a staff person of the opposite sex would ever see an inmate in a state of undress.

During the limited time that inmates are housed at Quehanna, whether for the Boot Camp which will be 6 months or the first four months of SIP, there is constant interaction with staff. Boot Camp inmates are assigned work. SIP inmates by law cannot be assigned a job and must focus all of their time on programming.

The control room has cameras that monitor many aspects of the prison and the control room officer is a useful staff to monitor activity.

There is a strong volunteer program with religious services and substance abuse for the inmates. During chapel, the male and female inmates are in the same area but the females sit at the front of the worship area. In the cafeteria, the females sit one side and both sexes are told that they are not to interact with inmates of the opposite sex. To do so would be a major rules violation. The prison offers outside recreation time for the inmates; this is controlled, monitored and done separately.

There is a high turnover rate of inmates at Quehanna because of these short programs. This makes it challenging for the staff to have trained workers but is also advantageous in that it makes it difficult for inmates to develop any inappropriate relationships with other inmates or staff.

All staff must swipe their ID card to enter the institution. They are provided access through the locked doors and/or gates by other staff who monitor movement in the control room. This prevents inmate movement into areas where the inmates are not allowed. Inmates when they move, must do so in a manner that is controlled.
SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

After a review of policies and procedures, interviews with staff and inmates, and a tour of all aspects of the facility, Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp is found to be in compliance with all applicable standards.

Number of standards exceeded: 3
Number of standards met: 38
Number of standards not met: 0
Number of standards not applicable: 2
Standard 115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator

☒ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 states the Department will take appropriate actions to ensure a zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in order to promote the safety of inmates. The Department will implement federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Standards to ensure that all aspects of operations work toward preventing, detecting and responding to such conduct resulting in a safer environment.

Definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment were located in the Glossary of Terms.

The policy includes sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors.

There is one statewide PREA Coordinator responsible for PREA compliance across the Department.

The statewide PREA Coordinator’s sole responsibility is to develop, implement and oversee Department efforts to comply with the federal PREA Standards in all of the Department’s facilities. The statewide PREA Coordinator will have the authority to make necessary decisions to ensure compliance and report directly to the Executive Deputy Secretary.

The Corrections Classification and Program Manager (CCPM) has been designated as the PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) at each facility and has been given sufficient time and authority to coordinate that facility’s compliance with Department policy and federal PREA Standards. The PREA Compliance Manager reports to the Deputy of Centralized Services.

Based on the interview with the Director of Bureau of Standards, Audits, and Accreditation (in the absence of the PREA Coordinator), the auditor discovered the Director is allotted ample time to oversee the agency’s efforts to ensure PREA compliance in all of its facilities. There are 26 PREA Compliance Managers that are currently reporting to the Director. The Director communicates with the PREA Compliance Managers on a regular basis via telephone and email, and conducts regular site visits at the facilities.

Interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager indicates he is allotted ample time to oversee the facility’s PREA compliance.

The auditor is aware that the agency has 7 staff members who attended the Department of Justice Auditor Training and are now certified auditors. The auditor was advised this is an example of the agency’s commitment to compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act.
Standard 115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 states the Department shall include in any new contract or contract renewal for the housing of an inmate (on or after the effective date of this procedure) with a private entity or other entity, including other government agencies, the entity’s obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA Standards and the Department’s policies related to PREA compliance.

The contracted entity will undergo regular, mandated audits on a three-year basis, as required by the National PREA Standards.

The Department shall provide for contract monitoring to ensure that the contract service provider is complying with the PREA Standards with any new contract or contract renewal.

The auditor was advised the agency has 57 contracts (40 CFCs and 17 CCJs) that were entered into or renewed on or after August 20, 2012. The auditor reviewed a sample of three contracts for the confinement of inmates that the agency entered into or renewed with private entities or other government agencies on or after August 20, 2012. The contracted facilities include: Lackawanna County, Columbia County, and York County. These agreements include specific language requiring the counties to agree to adopt and comply with all PREA regulations. These agreements also include the Department’s right to inspect the facility at any reasonable time.

Interviews with the Agency Contract Administrator indicates facilities the agency contracts with for the confinement of its inmates would be audited annually. In addition, monthly site visits would be conducted on the facility and investigations would be monitored to ensure compliance with the standards.

Standard 115.13 Supervision and monitoring

☒ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions.
6.3.1, Facility Security Procedures Manual Section 15 outlines the agency’s staffing plan and the staffing plan audit process.

The PREA Coordinator/designee shall:

a. serve as a liaison between Executive Staff and the facility PREA Compliance Manager;

b. review all completed Corrections Officer Staffing Audits submitted by the Central Office Staffing Audit Team; and

c. when necessary, meet with the Secretary, the EDS, the respective RDS, Facility Manager, and the Central Office Security Division staff member who chaired the audit team to review the findings of the audit.

The PREA Compliance Manager shall:

a. have opportunity to provide input by documenting any concerns and/or suggestions they may have and submitting them to the Major-of-the-Guard for review prior to the audit being conducted.

b. prior to the scheduled audit, management and the PREA Compliance Manager shall meet to discuss Corrections Officer staffing issues and may prepare a joint plan for review by the Central Office Staffing Audit Team.

c. at the conclusion of the audit process, the Central Office Staffing Audit Team shall meet with the PREA Compliance Manager, and discuss any concerns, questions, and/or suggestions of the PREA Compliance Manager.

Post assignments shall be made without regard to gender except where reasonable accommodation to inmate privacy cannot be maintained and when they are not in conflict with PREA standards.

DC-ADM008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 states one of the Statewide PREA Coordinator’s and PREA Compliance Manager’s duties include; working with each facility on an annual basis to assess, determine, and document whether adjustments are needed to: the staffing plan, deployment of the video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies, and the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan. The statewide PREA Coordinator will also review any documentation for non-compliance with a staffing plan.

The Department shall ensure that each facility develops, documents and makes its best efforts to comply on a regular basis, but no less than once a year, with a staffing plan as found in Department policy 6.3.1, Section 15 that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse.

In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities shall take into consideration:
1) Generally accepted detention and correctional practices;

2) Any judicial findings of inadequacy;

3) Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies;

4) Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies;

5) All components of the facility’s physical plant (including “blind-spots” or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated);

6) The composition of the inmate population;

7) The number and placement of supervisory staff;

8) Facility programs occurring on a particular shift;

9) Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards;

10) The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and

11) Any other relevant factors.

In circumstances of non-compliance with the staffing plan, the Facility Manager/designee shall document, in writing, and justify all deviations from the plan. This documentation will be forwarded to the Executive Deputy Secretary, Regional Deputy Secretary, and Central Office Security Major.

Whenever necessary, but no less frequently than once a year, each facility shall assess, determine and document whether adjustments are needed to:

1) The facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies; and

2) The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan.

The annual reviews will be conducted in consultation with the PREA Compliance Manager at that facility and the statewide PREA Coordinator.

Staff of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit in accordance with the following:

1) When the status quo of the gender supervision on a housing unit changes from exclusively same gender, to mixed- or cross-gender supervision, the opposite gender staff are required to verbally announce their arrival on the unit. The announcement is required for both custody and non-custody staff, and may include, for example, a clinician or case worker who spends time on the unit, or senior staff making supervisory rounds.

2) When an opposite gender staff member is entering a housing unit and it is unknown to him/her whether any other opposite gender staff are present, the entering staff member will announce their presence; and
3) This announcement may be made by the officer working at the control desk, via the intercom system; and

4) The announcement may also be made via a specific tone system that is utilized only for the purpose of announcing a member of the opposite gender entering the housing unit. Inmates will be educated on the tone system utilization.

Under DC-ADM 008, Section 2, the PREA Compliance Manager, in coordination with the Deputy Superintendents and other upper level administrative staff, including Major(s), shall conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These tours are required to be conducted in a confidential manner and on all shifts.

During the 2016 audit cycle, there have not been any deviations from the staffing plan.

During the onsite audit, the auditor viewed the supervisor log books and discovered unannounced rounds were conducted consistently on all three shifts. The Superintendent, Major and CCPM have created a schedule that only they know, and they visit the boot camp at all times of the day and make unannounced rounds.

Staff interviews indicate the facility has developed a staffing plan based on the requirements under PREA. The Director/PREA Coordinator is consulted regarding assessments and/or adjustments to the staffing plan. Interviews further indicate unannounced rounds are being conducted by intermediate and higher-level facility staff on a regular basis. Unannounced rounds are documented in the housing unit log book.

**Standard 115.14 Youthful inmates**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

XX Not Applicable

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 states a youthful inmate (under the age of 18) shall not be placed in a housing unit in which the youthful inmate will have sight, sound, or physical contact with any adult inmate through the use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters.

In areas outside of housing units, the facility shall either:

1) Maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates; or
2) Provide direct security staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact.

Upon initial reception to the Department, youthful inmates will enter into an expedited classification process as outlined in Department policy 11.2.1, “Reception and Classification.”

1) Male youthful inmates will be transferred to SCI Pine Grove within 24 hours of reception by the Department.

2) Female youthful inmates, under the age of 18, will immediately be placed into the Youthful Inmate Unit at SCI Muncy.

Due to the extremely low number of female youthful inmates that the Department houses at any given time, there are specific provisions that must be followed.

1) Youthful inmates will have a separate housing unit, with sight and sound separation from adult inmates, where they are able to have a separate shower area, separate day room and separate sleeping quarters from adult inmates.

2) Any time that the youthful inmate leaves the separate housing unit, they must be accompanied and supervised directly by a staff member.

3) The staff member is to ensure that there is no inappropriate contact, physical, or verbal, between the youthful inmate(s) and an adult inmate.

The Department shall make every effort to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision. Absent exigent circumstances, the Department shall not deny youthful inmates daily large muscle exercise and any legally required special education services to comply with this provision. Youthful inmates shall have access to other programs and work opportunities to the greatest extent possible.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised Quehanna Boot Camp does not house youthful offenders.

**Standard 115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**
6.3.1 Facility Security Procedures Manual Section 30- Searches states that absent exigent circumstances, a female staff member shall search a female inmate. A male staff member shall search a male inmate. **Transgender or intersex inmates shall be searched by the same gender staff member consistent with the gender of inmates housed at that facility. For Quehanna Boot Camp, searches will be completed by the same gender staff member, consistent with the gender of inmates housed at the sending facility.**

Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp houses female inmates. No male staff have performed cross-gender strip searches of female inmates; however there have been ten cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates. The auditor was provided with ten completed cross-gender pat513(6,9),(995,988)search validation forms. The reasoning provided was that there were no female staff on shift this date. All ten searches were conducted on the same date (September 4, 2015), which shows that cross-gender pat-searches of female inmates are extremely rare and only occur due to exigent circumstances.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised male staff have conducted ten cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates due to exigent circumstances.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states all cross-gender strip searches shall be documented on the Cross-Gender Strip Search Validation Form. Beginning August 20, 2015, all cross-gender pat searches of female inmates shall be documented on the Cross Gender Pat Search Validation Form.

In the past 12 months, there have not been any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of inmates.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states inmates shall be able to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. This limitation not only applies to in-person viewing, but also to all forms of remote viewing.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states staff of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit in accordance with the following:

1) When the status quo of the gender supervision on a housing unit changes from exclusively same gender, to mixed- or cross-gender supervision, the opposite gender staff is required to verbally announce their arrival on the unit. The announcement is required for both custody and non-custody staff, and may include, for example, a clinician or case worker who spends time on the unit, or senior staff making supervisory rounds.

2) When an opposite gender staff member is entering a housing unit and it is unknown to him/her whether any other opposite gender staff are present, the entering staff member will announce their presence; and

3) This announcement may be made by the officer working the control desk via the intercom system; and

4) This announcement may also be made via a specific tone system that is utilized only for the
purpose of announcing a member of the opposite gender entering the housing unit. Inmates will be educated on the tone system utilization.

DC-ADM 0008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 9- Working with Transgender/Intersex Inmates states the facility shall not search or physically examine a transgender/intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate’s status. If the inmate’s genital status is unknown, it may be determined by conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. Transgender/Intersex inmates shall be searched in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was provided with a PowerPoint presentation of the facility's training for offender searches. Absent exigent (important, vital, needful) circumstances, a female staff member shall search a female offender and a male staff member shall search a male offender. Transgender or intersex offenders shall be searched by the gender staff member consistent with the gender of offenders housed at that facility.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised that 82% of all staff have received training on conducted cross-gender and transgender searches. During the on site visit the auditor observed the practice in the boot camp units that when the announcement is made “Female on the Unit” the inmates respond in unison "M’am, Decent, M’am”. It would be impossible for an inmate not to know that a staff of the opposite gender was on the unit.

Interviews with both staff and inmates indicate that this is the practice even when the auditor is not present.

**Standard 115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**


DC-ADM 0008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states:
1) Pursuant to Department policy DC-ADM 006, “Reasonable Accommodations for Inmates with Disabilities,” the Department shall ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the Department’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

2) Written materials will either be delivered in alternative formats that accommodate the inmate’s disability or the information will be delivered through alternative methods, such as reading it to the inmate or communicating through an interpreter, which ensures the understanding of the PREA related material.

3) The Department shall take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the Department’s efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient, including steps to provide qualified interpreters. The PREA Compliance Manager will ensure that only staff members or qualified contractors who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, provide translation for inmates. If a multi-lingual staff member is not available, then the “AT&T Language Line” or equivalent service must be utilized.

4) The Department shall not rely on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter would compromise the inmate’s safety, the performance of first response duties under PREA Standard, or the investigation of the inmate’s allegations. Justification for any use of an inmate assistant shall be documented accordingly.

In the past 12 months, there have been no instances where inmate interpreters, readers, or other types of inmate assistants have been used.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was provided with a copy of a contract with Propio Language Services.

During the onsite audit, the auditor observed PREA posters posted in the housing units that contained information in both English and Spanish.

While onsite, the auditor conducted an interview with a Spanish speaking inmate, utilizing the language line. During this translation the auditor was able to communicate effectively with the Spanish speaking inmate. The auditor asked through the interpreter if he was able to understand how to report, and he was. There were no inmates who were hearing or visually impaired at Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp during the on site visit.

The interview with the Agency Head indicates the agency has access to the TTY phone for the hearing impaired, a language line service for non-English speaking inmates, and provides handouts and inmate handbooks in both English and Spanish. In addition, the agency has recently used one of its facilities to transcribe text into braille for the vision impaired.

**Standard 115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions**

☑ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

4.1.1 Human Resources and Labor Relations Manual Section 41- Employment of Job Applicants Having Prior Adverse Contacts with Criminal Justice Agencies states:

Consist with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), the Department shall not hire or promote anyone who:

a. has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or other correctional institution;

b. has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse;

c. has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described above; and/or

d. the Department will consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone.

Centralized Clearances 1.1.4 states prior employment of contractors will be further investigated to ensure that the Department does not enlist the services of any contractor(s) who may have contact with inmates who:

a. have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined as 42 U.S.C. 1997); and/or

b. have been convicted or civilly or administratively adjudicated for engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse.

The Department shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates.

The PREA Current/Prior Employer Letter is used to solicit specific information from prior employers regarding the applicant’s previous misconduct.

04.01.01 Human Resources and Labor Relations Manual Section 40- Conducting Employee Background Investigations states Human Resources Offices will be responsible for ensuring the PREA Annual Employee Compliance Verification Form is completed in conjunction with each employee’s annual Employee Performance Review.
The DOC application requires employees to take an oath sworn before a Notary Public that this application and any attachments contain no misrepresentations, falsifications, omissions, or concealment of material fact.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised that background investigations were conducted on all 12 staff that were hired within the past 12 months.

Interviews with the Human Resource staff indicate criminal background checks are conducted on all newly hired employees and that a psychological test is administered to new hires. Contractor and volunteer backgrounds are conducted by the security staff. Through interviews with Administrative Staff, it was discovered the Agency utilizes “JNET,” which notifies them immediately, anytime a staff member is arrested in Pennsylvania or receives a traffic violation. This system is real-time; therefore, documented background checks for employees every 5 years is not necessary. In addition, the auditor was advised that background investigations are conducted on all contract staff.

### Standard 115.18 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 states:

1) When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the Department shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion or modification upon the Department’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.

2) When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the Department shall consider how such technology may enhance the Department’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised the facility has not made any substantial expansions or modifications to the existing facility but they have made upgrades to their camera technology since August 20, 2012.

The auditor observed an up-to-date video recording system in the control room and learned that the system has sufficient storage, and is used for investigations if needed.

### Standard 115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility is responsible for conducting only administrative investigations. Criminal investigations will be conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 5- Investigating Allegations of Sexual Harassment and/or Sexual Abuse states to the extent the Department is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, the Department shall follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions, in accordance with the Response to Allegation of Sexual Abuse Checklists (Shift Commander Cover Sheet [Attachment 4-A], Initial Response – Victim [Attachment 4-B], Initial Response – Abuser [Attachment 4-C], the Instructions for PREA Evidence Retention [Attachment 4-E] and as well as Department policy 6.3.1, “Facility Security,” Section 15. The uniform evidence protocol was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the DOJ’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011.

The protocol established for evidentiary purposes shall be developmentally appropriate for youth, where applicable, in accordance with PREA Standard 115.21.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 4- Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

The auditor spoke with a representative from Mt. Nittany Medical Center. They have over 75% of their ER staff trained as SANEs. The auditor observed the MOU for forensic examinations. There have not been any inmates from Quehanna who have gone through a forensic exam.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was provided with an MOU between the Secretary of Corrections and the Pennsylvania State Police (PSP), dated September 24, 2013. The auditor was provided with documentation from PSP to the agency stating PSP will follow the subject standard of 115.21.

Interviews with a random sample of staff as first responders indicate the staff are well aware of how to preserve evidence and to whom they need to forward reports of sexual abuse.

**Standard 115.22 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 5- Investigation Allegations of Sexual Harassment and/or Sexual Abuse states every report, complaint or allegation of sexual harassment/sexual abuse, including third party and anonymous reports, shall be investigated promptly, thoroughly, and objectively.

During an interview with those assigned to investigate allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it was clear that detailed records were kept of all allegations and that they were tracked as they were sent to the Office of Special Intelligence and Investigations (OSII). In the past 12 months, 3 allegations were made and all were investigated. A random sampling of investigative files was performed and all were timely and complete. All allegations are investigated, and once the case has been completed, an incident review is performed. When inmates were interviewed, they were aware who performs the investigations at Quehanna.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 5- Investigation Allegations of Sexual Harassment and/or Sexual Abuse states sexual abuse/sexual harassment investigations are conducted by the Office of Special Intelligence and Investigations (OSII) and/or the Pennsylvania State Police (PSP).

The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. This policy is located on the Agency website.

Staff interviews confirmed all Criminal Investigations are conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police (PSP). Administrative Interviews are conducted by trained facility staff and/or the Office of Special Investigation and Intelligence (OSII).

**Standard 115.31 Employee training**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states the PREA Compliance Manager, in conjunction with the Training Coordinator at each
facility, shall ensure that all staff members are: informed that sexual contact with an inmate is prohibited and that an inmate has a right to report if sexual contact occurs, through the basic PREA training. This training will include, at a minimum, the following information:

1) The zero tolerance policy against sexual abuse and sexual harassment within the Department;

2) How staff are to fulfill their responsibilities under the Department’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting and response policies and procedures as defined in this policy;

3) Inmates’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

4) The right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

5) The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement;

6) The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims;

7) How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse;

8) How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates;

9) How to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including LGBTI or gender nonconforming inmates; and

10) How to comply with relevant laws of Pennsylvania related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities.

A review of the 2015 PREA Course Lesson Plan indicates all topics above are covered during training.

Training is tailored to the gender of the inmates at the facility.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised all staff, who may have contact with inmates, were trained or retrained on the PREA requirements enumerated above.

Between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with inmates with information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Policy updates are disseminated through management.

Employees who may have contact with inmates receive refresher training on PREA requirements annually.

At the conclusion of the training, all staff, contractors, and volunteers are required to sign the PREA Training and Understanding Verification Form.

Random staff interviews indicate staff had received the required PREA training and understood the subject matter as well as the importance of the training. Web based and classroom training is used at Quehanna. The auditor viewed random employee training records to discover that all viewed had
received the PREA training.

Standard 115.32 Volunteer and contractor training

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states contractors and volunteers (to include interns, contract service providers, public visitors, or Non-Department Employees) will receive training on their responsibilities under the Department's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. They will be trained during orientation sessions and annual training reflective of the level of contact that they have with inmates.

All contractors and volunteers will be required to sign and acknowledge the PREA Training and Understanding Verification Form. The Volunteer Coordinator at each facility will be responsible for documenting the PREA training that each volunteer has received and maintain the documentation in the volunteer file in accordance with Section 1 of this procedures manual. PCMs will be responsible for maintaining PREA Training and Understanding Verification Forms for all contractors. PREA Training will be effective for a period of one year.

All volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

During the audit, the auditor spoke with the Volunteer Coordinator and randomly reviewed files of volunteers for verification that they had received and understood the training. Interviews with Volunteers/Contractors indicate Volunteers and Contractors are provided with PREA education including the agency's zero tolerance policy and are made aware to whom they would forward sexual abuse reports. The volunteers understand the importance of sharing this information with staff and not withholding it.

Standard 115.33 Inmate education

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 - Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states each facility shall provide inmate education explaining the zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation, and what to do if he/she is the victim of such. This information shall be provided in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates who have limited reading skills.

During the intake process, all inmates, including Parole Violators (PVs), shall receive information explaining the zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation, and what to do if he/she is the victim of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation. Medical will provide a copy of the Sexual Abuse Awareness Informational Brochure to the inmate immediately upon intake and document.

Within the first 30 days of reception, additional information will be provided to all inmates, including Parole Violators, either during orientation at the Diagnostic and Classification Center (DCC) and reception sites or upon return to Department custody. All inmates will be shown a video regarding their rights to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. They will also be provided information regarding Department policies and procedures for responding to such incidents. Inmate education may be provided to inmates individually or in groups. A staff member must be present at all times to facilitate discussion, in conjunction with the Facilitator’s Guide (Attachment 2-J) and to answer questions.

The PREA video, “PREA: What You Need to Know” is available for use. Each facility shall have access to this video in Spanish and English, with subtitles.

1) An Intake Counselor shall remain in the room during the playing of the video to observe inmates, looking for reactions.

2) Additionally, the Intake Counselor shall ask questions, as outlined in the Facilitator’s Guide, at the end of the video to determine comprehension on the materials.

3) As equally important, the Intake Counselor shall offer to meet privately with any of the inmates if they request, to discuss issues related to the video.

An inmate who did not receive the education at the DCC shall receive this training within one year of the effective date of the PREA standards. This education may be provided as a group presentation or individually during the inmate’s annual/semi-annual case review, as needed.

Any inmate that is transferred must receive education upon transfer, only to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility.

1) The PREA video is to be played a minimum of two times each month over the inmate television channel.
2) During the inmate’s annual review, the Counselor will discuss issues related to sexual abuse in prison and offer the inmate an opportunity to discuss related concerns. The counselor will provide a Sexual Abuse Awareness Informational Brochure at the time of his/her annual review.

3) Sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation training shall be documented by the inmate signing the PREA Inmate Education Verification Form (Attachment 2-K). This form will be filed in the DC-14. Provision of PREA Inmate Education shall be documented in an Inmate Cumulative Adjustment Record (ICAR) entry.

During the past 12 months, 100% of all inmates who were admitted, received such information at intake. All inmates received comprehensive education on their rights to be free from both sexual abuse/harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents within 30 days of intake. Because of the short duration of stay Quehanna processed 1146 inmates during intake.

Additional information about the agency’s PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, inmate handbooks, and other written formats. Posters were clearly visible throughout the prison. The auditor witnessed intake and saw an inmate being given a brochure and explanation by of the Unit Managers.

During an interview with a member of the intake staff, it was discovered inmates receive PREA education as soon as they arrive at the facility, as part of their orientation. This education consists of a brochure containing information on their rights under PREA as well as how to report information related to sexual abuse. The new inmates to Quehanna Motivational Boot Camp watch a PREA educational video. Inmates are required to sign an acknowledgement that they have received this education.

**Standard 115.34 Specialized training: Investigations**

- ☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states Investigations - In addition to the Basic PREA Training provided to all staff, any staff designated to conduct sexual abuse investigations shall receive additional training in accordance with PREA Standard 115.31 and Specialized training: Investigations.

1) This specialized training will include, but is not limited to: interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda warnings, the Garrity rule, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or
prosecutorial referral.

2) This training will be facilitated by specially trained “PREA Specialized Security Training Teams.” An updated list of these “PREA Specialized Security Training Teams” will be kept on file by the statewide PREA Coordinator/designee.

3) Training will be offered to outside law enforcement through mutual agreements facilitated by the Department.

4) Once all Security Office staff members and members of the Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence (OSII) have received this specialized training, it will take place on an annual basis unless it is deemed necessary to be held more frequently by the statewide PREA Coordinator or Facility Manager.

5) Staff will be required to sign off that they have received the information and understand the included items on the PREA Training and Understanding Verification Form. This information will be kept in the staff member’s official personnel file.

6) The Training Coordinator at each facility shall ensure that all current security office staff receives this training within six months of the effective date of this policy.

7) The Department, any state entity or Department of Justice component that investigates sexual abuse in confinement settings shall provide such training to its agents and investigators who conduct such investigations.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised three staff at Quehanna have received specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings.

During an interview with the facility investigator, the investigator acknowledged receiving the training specific to PREA requirements. The investigator was knowledgeable that any case that appeared to be criminal would be referred for criminal prosecution. The investigator also acknowledged using a preponderance of evidence as the standard of evidence used to substantiate allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

**Standard 115.35 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**
Training states Medical/Mental Health Practitioners - In addition to the Basic PREA Training, any staff providing medical/mental health services, whether on a full or part-time status, shall receive additional training on working with victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. For the purposes of this training requirement, Medical staff will include all licensed medical staff, as well as non-licensed contact medical staff such as dental assistants, CHCAs and contracted provider staff.

1) This specialized training will include, but is not limited to: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

2) This training will be coordinated by the statewide PREA Coordinator/designee.

3) The PREA Compliance Manager, in coordination with the Training Coordinator at each facility, shall ensure that all current medical/mental health staff receives this training within six months of the effective date of this policy.

4) All new medical/mental health staff shall receive this training within the first three months of employment with the Department.

5) Staff will be required to sign off that they have received the information and understand the included items on the PREA Training and Understanding Verification Form. This information will be kept in the staff member’s official personnel file in accordance with Section 1 of this procedures manual.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised 100% of all medical and mental health staff have received PREA training.

During interviews with medical and mental health staff, it was clear they understood their role in compliance with the standard and keeping the inmate safe from sexual abuse. Medical and mental health staff indicate they were given PREA training specifically for medical and mental health staff.

Agency medical staff at this facility do not conduct forensic medical examinations. Such examinations are conducted at Mt. Nittany Medical Center.

**Standard 115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**
DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states all inmates shall be assessed during the intake screening process, upon receipt into another facility, whenever an inmate is involved in an incident of sexual abuse and at their annual review, for risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. Within the first 72 hours of reception to the Department and receipt into another facility, the PREA Risk Assessment Tool (PRAT) will be conducted by qualified health care or unit management staff.

While onsite, the auditor interviewed the nurse who conducts the PRAT assessments and observed an assessment given to by the nurse. Both the nurse and nursing supervisor when interviewed felt that the inmates coming through intake share the information because this is not a new process to them.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised 66% of all new intakes were screened with the PRAT within 72 hours of intake and again within the next 30 days. The auditor was advised the facility is currently screening the existing population at their annual and bi-annual reviews to ensure the existing population is screened.

While onsite, the auditor reviewed a random sample of PRATs and all were being completed in the allotted time frame. A review of the instrument shows all the required questions are being asked and the tool is an objective point based screening instrument.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training a reassessment will be conducted between day 20 and 30 of the inmate’s arrival in the system or receipt into another facility utilizing the PRAT. The Counselor will reassess the inmate’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility staff since the intake screening. An inmate’s risk level will be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate’s risk of victimization or abusiveness utilizing the PRAT. Inmates shall not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing, complete information in response to the questions regarding prior victimization, disabilities, their perception of vulnerability or their sexual orientation.

Interviews with the Director/PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager indicates any inmate scoring affirmatively as a potential victim and/or potential predator would initiate a “housing concern” in the computer. Staff would have access to see the “housing concern” in the computer; however, they would not have any access to the actual results of the screenings. This information would be limited to the PREA Compliance Manager and the Correctional Counselors and Unit Managers.

**Standard 115.42 Use of screening information**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific...**
corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states the information received through the administration of the PRAT questions shall be used to inform housing, bed placement, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk for being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. The sensitive information collected through these tools shall be kept as confidential as possible so as not to be used to the inmate’s detriment by staff or other inmates. The Department shall make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 9- Working with Transgender/Intersex Inmates states when deciding whether to assign a transgender/intersex inmate to a facility for male/female inmates, and in making other housing and programming assignments, the Department shall consider, on a case by case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. A transgender/intersex inmate’s own views, with respect to his/her own safety shall be given serious consideration. All pertinent information regarding the transgender/intersex individual should be discussed on a need-to-know basis and shared only with the appropriate staff to provide necessary services.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was provided with a PREA Risk Tracking Memo and Instructions which was sent to the Superintendents on February 18, 2015. This information discusses how to properly use the screening instrument.

Interviews with staff indicate the screening instrument is being used to keep any inmate that scores to be a potential victim from inmates that score to be a potential predator.

**Standard 115.43 Protective custody**

- □ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- □ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states Inmates at a high risk for sexual victimization or inmates that have alleged abuse shall not be placed involuntarily in Administrative Custody (AC) as a means of protection unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made by Psychology and Security staff in conjunction with the PREA Compliance Manager, and a determination has been made that there is no other available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If the facility cannot conduct the assessment immediately, the facility may hold the inmate in involuntary AC for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment.

Within the last 12 months, there have not been any inmates placed in involuntary segregated housing
for risk of sexual victimization.

In accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 802, Administrative Custody Procedures, at least every 30 days, the Program Review Committee (PRC) shall ensure each inmate is reviewed to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. This review shall be documented on the DC-141, Part 3, Employee Report of Incident (Other).

Through staff interviews it was determined inmates at high risk of sexual victimization are not placed in segregated housing. Because of the barracks design of the living unit, the inmate is placed in an area clearly observed by the officer.

**Standard 115.51 Inmate reporting**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 3- Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment states any inmate who is the victim of any of the following should report the abuse to a staff member as soon as possible:

1) Sexual abuse;

2) Sexual harassment;

3) Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

4) Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.

Inmates may report abuse or harassment to the Bureau of Criminal Investigations (BCI), which is Division of the Pennsylvania State Police and not part of the agency.

A report may be made to any staff member in the facility including, but not limited to, Medical staff, Psychology staff, Corrections Officers and Counselors. Staff shall accept and document reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and promptly forward to the facility’s designated investigators. Staff are required to document verbal reports immediately.

A Sexual Abuse Reporting Address has been established for staff as outlined on the PREA Reporting Poster (Attachment 3-A) or on the Department website to anonymously report sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation to the Pennsylvania State Police.
1) The address for making a written report is: BCI/PREA Coordinator, 1800 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110.

2) A writer may choose to include his/her name and contact information, but it is not necessary in order to make the report. Reports may also be submitted online at www.tipsubmit.com.

A staff member, contract service provider, or volunteer, may also make a private report to the facility’s PREA Compliance Manager or the statewide PREA Coordinator.

Through staff and inmate interviews it was determined inmates and staff may make a private report to any supervisor or the PREA Compliance Manager. Inmates are also provided with the mailing address to the Pennsylvania State Police Bureau of Criminal Investigation and are permitted to make a report directly to this agency. All inmates interviewed stated that they could call the abuse hotline to report an incident. The auditor was advised by random staff that all reports; including verbal, written, anonymous, and third-party reports would be investigated. Verbal reports would be documented by the staff immediately upon receipt of such information. All staff were aware that the Security Lieutenant investigates sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations.

**Standard 115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies**

- [ ] Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- [ ] Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- [ ] Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

XX Not Applicable

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 3- Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment states inmates shall not utilize the inmate grievance system to report sexual abuse by a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact, as defined in the Glossary. However, if an inmate files a grievance related to sexual abuse, the Grievance Officer shall reject the grievance and forward to the facility Security Office for tracking and investigation. The inmate will be notified of this action. All sexual abuse allegations received as a grievance would immediately be forwarded to appropriate investigators.

DC-ADM 004 Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual Section 1- Grievances and Initial Review states a grievance regarding an allegation of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact will not be addressed through the Inmate Grievance System and must be addressed through Department policy DC-ADM 008. These allegations are taken seriously by the Department and must and will be investigated to make sure that inmates are safe in the facilities. If a grievance is filed regarding an allegation of a sexual nature (abuse/harassment) against a staff member or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact, while it will not be addressed through the
inmate Grievance System, the grievance will be immediately forwarded to the Security Department as well as PREA Compliance Manager in accordance with Department policy DC-ADM 008 to start an investigation.

**Standard 115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 4- Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse

The Department works in collaboration with the Pennsylvania Coalition against Rape (PCAR) and its member centers. The facility PREA Compliance Manager, in conjunction with the statewide PREA Coordinator, has worked to establish mutual agreements with local rape crisis centers where all Department facilities are located. Copies of these agreements shall be maintained by the Department.

The PREA Compliance Manager shall ensure that inmates are offered and provided with access to outside victim advocates for emotional supportive services related to sexual abuse which has occurred in a confinement setting. During non-working hours, the Shift Commander will be responsible to ensure the aforementioned support services. Supportive services may be provided via a variety of methods including in person, during a non-monitored phone call and/or in writing. The preferred service delivery method is in person in a confidential setting.

An inmate will be offered the opportunity to talk with a victim advocate and receive continued care when they have been a victim of facility sexual abuse, no matter if they reported the facility sexual abuse immediately or made a delayed disclosure.

During the audit the auditor was provided with a brochure from Passages, a sexual assault center in Jefferson County.

Through random inmate interviews it was determined that many of the inmates were familiar with the victim services that are available for victims of sexual abuse. Inmates acknowledged having heard of Passages, because they offer their services through trauma informed groups. Quehanna has established a working relationship with Passages, and they would provide victim services for victims of sexual abuse.
Standard 115.54 Third-party reporting

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 3- Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment states the Sexual Abuse Reporting Address is an option for the general public to report an allegation of sexual contact. A sexual abuse reporting address has been established for the general public, as listed on the Department website to anonymously report sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation to the PSP. The address for making a written report is: BCI/PREA Coordinator, 1800 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110. A writer may choose to include their name and contact information, but it is not necessary in order to make the report. Reports may also be submitted online at www.tipsubmit.com.

Interviews with inmates showed that inmates were aware that a friend or family could make a report on their behalf.

Standard 115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 3- Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment states any staff member, contract service provider, volunteer or intern, shall immediately report to the Shift Commander if he/she has knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any of the following:

1) Sexual abuse of an inmate;

2) Sexual harassment of an inmate that occurred in a facility;

3) Retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident; and/or
4) Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation

The incidents listed above may have occurred in any facility, whether or not it is affiliated with the Department.

A DC-121, Part 2, Report of Extraordinary Occurrence Report shall be filed in every case, apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, staff shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in Department policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions, where sexual abuse with an inmate is reported in accordance with Department policy 6.3.1, “Facility Security.”

Through interviews with a random sample of staff, as well as interviews with medical and mental health staff, it was determined that all staff realize their duty to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Staff are also required to report any retaliation towards any inmate or staff for reporting and any staff neglect that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. Through the interview with the PREA Compliance Manager it was learned that he is responsible for monitoring if any retaliation towards inmates as it relates to the reporting of sexual abuse or harassment.

Standard 115.62 Agency protection duties

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training ensures that when Department staff learn that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, appropriate and immediate action will be taken to protect that inmate.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was advised there have not been any instances where the facility discovered an inmate to be at risk of imminent sexual abuse.

Through interviews with staff at all levels, it was determined staff take immediate action to separate the alleged victim and abuser whenever it is determined an inmate may be at risk of imminent sexual abuse. The open barracks style would cause challenges, but there have been incidents where the Major has separated inmates by moving one of the inmates from one housing unit to another. The communication amongst all staff, and the desire to protect the inmates, was apparent as interviews were done and this topic was brought forth.
Standard 115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 3- Reporting Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment states:

An inmate may file a report of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or retaliation sustained while confined at another facility.

It is the Facility Manager/designee’s responsibility to notify the head of the facility in which the reported abuse, harassment or retaliation occurred. Notification must be provided as soon as possible, but no later than within 72 hours after receipt of information and documented on the Notification of Sexual Abuse/Harassment Allegation to Another Facility (Attachment 3-B). This document shall be maintained by the PREA Compliance Manager in an annual file for audit verification purposes in accordance with Section 1 of this procedures manual.

A copy of the notification must also be sent to the facility PREA Compliance Manager and the statewide PREA Coordinator/designee for tracking purposes.

If the facility being notified is another facility within the Department, the PREA Compliance Managers for involved facilities shall coordinate the information flow as to ensure:

1) All information is shared to ensure a thorough and expedient investigation is completed; and

2) The inmate receives information regarding the investigation in a confidential and timely manner as to comply with Section 8 of this procedures manual.

Upon receipt of an allegation from another facility that an inmate was sexually abused, harassed or retaliated against while confined at that location, the Facility Manager/designee at the receiving facility shall document the receipt of the allegation on the Notification of Sexual Abuse/Harassment Allegation to Another Facility.

The Facility Manager/designee shall immediately notify the Security Office to initiate a PREA investigation as outlined in Section 5 of this procedures manual.

The Facility Manager/designee shall send notification and supporting documentation to the facility PREA Compliance Manager and the statewide PREA Coordinator/designee within five working days of the receipt of the allegation.
During the past 12 months, the facility has received three allegations of sexual abuse from other facilities. These allegations were documented and were investigated.

During the past 12 months, the facility has received one allegation of sexual abuse that allegedly occurred at other facilities. The auditor was provided with documentation from that allegation. This notification was provided within 72 hours of receiving the allegation.

Through an interview with the investigator and the PREA Compliance Manager, it was determined when Quehanna receives an allegation from another facility or agency that an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurred within their facility, the allegation would immediately be investigated. Quehanna would also refer any allegations they receive for sexual abuse that occurred at other facilities, to the head of the outside facility. Quehanna would collect statements from any inmate involved who was housed at their facility and forward these statements to the outside facility to be a part of their investigation. The designated points of contact in both instances would be the Director/PREA Coordinator and the Security Office.

**Standard 115.64 Staff first responder duties**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 4- Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse states: Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first staff member to respond shall:

1) Security Staff

   a. notify the facility's main control center;

   b. immediately separate the alleged victim and alleged abuser;

   c. secure any reported crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect evidence; and

   d. if the abuse occurred within the last 96 hours that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request the alleged victim and ensure that the alleged abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

2) Non-Security Staff
a. Immediately notify the facility’s main control center/security staff; and

b. if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, the responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence.

First Responders are aware that they must:

1) Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps could be taken to collect any evidence;

2) Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and

3) Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

Through interviews with random staff and first responders, it was determined staff know to separate the victim from the abuser as well as how to preserve evidence. Staff are aware to keep information related to sexual abuse investigations confidential.

**Standard 115.65 Coordinated response**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion**, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 4- Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse states the facility shall develop a written facility plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. This policy outlines the facility’s coordinated response plan.

Through interviews with staff, it was determined the facility follows a statewide DOC coordinated response plan for allegations of sexual abuse that involves a checklist of responsibilities.

**Standard 115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Department operates within the confines of collective bargaining agreements with eight (8) different unions. None of these collective bargaining agreements contain language that limit the ability to remove an alleged staff sexual abuser from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. In addition, the collective bargaining agreements are silent regarding suspensions pending investigation. When the contract is silent on issues, policy then governs.

A memo from the Secretary of Corrections dated January 12, 2015, states the Department does not need to demonstrate that the employee committed the suspected offenses; but rather, that the “nature of the allegations” are such that there is just cause to remove the employee from the institution pending the outcome of the investigation.

During the Agency Head interview, the Agency Head confirmed the Department operates with collective bargaining agreements; however, these agreements do not restrict the Agency from removing staff abuser from contact with inmates under these terms.

Standard 115.67 Agency protection against retaliation

Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states the agency will ensure retaliation monitoring of the following inmates:

1) Those that have reported institutional sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations;

2) Those that have suffered sexual abuse; and/or

3) Those that have expressed a fear of retaliation due to cooperation with an investigation of an incident related to this procedures manual.
Specifically, the PREA Compliance Manager will ensure that such inmates are provided with the opportunity to meet with a corrections counselor, in accordance with Attachment 2-B, DOC Retaliation Monitoring form, who will then report to the PREA Compliance Manager. If the PREA Compliance Manager determines that the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need, the periodic status checks will be extended beyond 90 days.

Notify the Deputy of Centralized Services (DSCS) when staff require monitoring due to report of sexual abuse, or because of an expressed fear of retaliation due to cooperation with an investigation of inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment, per PREA Standard (§115.67 [a][c][e]) and in accordance with Section 3, Subsection F. of the procedures manual.

Retaliation will be monitored for a minimum of 90 days for all allegations.

During the past 12 months, there have been no incidents of retaliation reported.

Through various staff and inmate interviews, it was discovered multiple measures are taken to ensure against retaliation. In order to protect against retaliation, the PREA Compliance Manager would complete a monitoring form and he would monitor for retaliation. In interviews with the Unit Managers and correctional counselors they make themselves available to the inmates they are responsible for and take the time to talk to each inmate in their care. This was confirmed by inmates interviewed and one inmate who stated to the auditor that “the staff here really care about me.”

**Standard 115.68 Post-allegation protective custody**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2 - Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states inmates at a high risk for sexual victimization or inmates that have alleged abuse shall not be placed involuntarily in Administrative Custody (AC) as a means of protection unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made by Psychology and Security staff in conjunction with the PREA Compliance Manager, and a determination has been made that there is no other available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If the facility cannot conduct the assessment immediately, the facility may hold the inmate in involuntary AC for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment.

DC-ADM 802 Administrative Custody Procedures states the facility may assign inmates to involuntary AC only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and such assignment shall not ordinarily exceed 30 days.
During the past 12 months, there have been no instances where an inmate was placed in involuntary segregated housing awaiting completion of an assessment.

Through interviews with staff, it was discovered inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse or are at risk of sexual victimization are never placed in involuntary segregated housing. These inmates are closely monitored by the officer by being placed in a bed where the officer can observe them.

**Standard 115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 5- Investigating Allegations of Sexual Harassment and/or Sexual Abuse outlines both criminal and administrative agency investigations.

Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution.

Since August 20, 2012, there have not been any substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal that were referred for prosecution.

The agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual assault or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.

Through interviews with inmates who allege to have suffered from sexual abuse, it was determined investigative staff do not require victims to take a polygraph examination as a condition for proceeding with the investigation.

Through staff interviews, it was determined the security office, PREA Compliance Manager, and facility investigators would be informed on the progress of any investigations conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police. They would receive this information by regular correspondence via phone and/or email. Investigators have received specialized training for conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. Training topics included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative or prosecution referral. Investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occur immediately upon receipt of such information. If the sexual abuse occurred within 96 hours, the alleged victim would be transported to Mount Nittany Medical Center for a SAFE/SANE exam. Criminal investigations would be forwarded to the Pennsylvania State Police for investigation. Investigations continue, even if the staff member terminates employment or the inmate transfers to...
another facility. Both administrative and criminal investigations would be documented in investigation reports.

**Standard 115.72 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 5- Investigating Allegations of Sexual Harassment and/or Sexual Abuse states in administrative investigations, the Department shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual harassment/sexual abuse are substantiated.

Interviews with investigative staff indicate a preponderance of evidence is used when determining whether to substantiate allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

**Standard 115.73 Reporting to inmates**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 8- Notification of Inmates states following the investigation into an inmate’s allegation that he/she suffered sexual abuse or sexual harassment in a facility within the Department, the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Compliance Manager at the facility where the inmate is housed shall inform the inmate, in writing on the PREA Investigation – Inmate Notification Form (Attachment 8-A) as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded.

A review of notifications indicate inmates are being responded to, in writing, as to the outcome of the investigation.
If another agency conducts the investigation, the PREA Compliance Manager shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate.

Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse or sexual harassment against an inmate, the PREA Compliance Manager shall subsequently inform the inmate when any of the following occurs:

1) The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate’s unit;

2) The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;

3) The Department learns that the staff member has been criminally charged related to sexual abuse within the facility; or

4) The Department learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

The Inmate is notified in writing by the Lieutenant responsible for the investigation if the allegation was unfounded, unsubstantiated or substantiated. The investigative Lieutenant is very much involved in the prison and he is a resource for staff and inmates.

**Standard 115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 7-Discipline Related to Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, and Retaliation states any employee who violates Department sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by engaging in, failing to report, or knowingly condoning sexual abuse or sexual harassment of an inmate shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary or administrative action up to and including termination.

When an allegation is made involving a staff member, contract service provider or volunteer this person will be removed from contact with the alleged victim until the conclusion of this investigation.

In the event that a staff member is terminated, or resigns in lieu of discharge, for violation of the this procedures manual, the Bureau of Human Resources (BHR) will notify the Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence (OSII) to determine if a potential criminal violation exists. If the violation meets criminal standards, OSII will refer the matter to the District Attorney’s Office that has
jurisdiction over the affected facility.

During the past 12 months, there has been no staff from the facility who was disciplined short of termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.

**Standard 115.77 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion**, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 7-Discipline Related to Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, and Retaliation states:

1) When an allegation is made involving a contractor or volunteer, this person will be removed from contact with the alleged victim until the conclusion of this investigation;

2) If a contractor or volunteer violates this procedures manual, other than by engaging in sexual abuse, the facility shall take appropriate remedial measures and shall consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates;

3) Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with inmates, and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies.

During the past 12 months, there have not been any contractors or volunteers reported to law enforcement for engaging in sexual abuse of inmates.

Staff interviews indicate that any contractor or volunteer suspected of sexual abuse or sexual harassment would be removed from the building and prohibited from contact with inmates. Any contractor or volunteer found to have committed a major policy violation would be banned from the facility. Criminal charges would be filed when appropriate.
Standard 115.78 Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 7-Discipline Related to Sexual Abuse, Sexual Harassment, and Retaliation states:

1) Inmates shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to the formal disciplinary process, following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse.

2) Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories.

3) The disciplinary process shall consider whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his/her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

4) When an inmate is found guilty of a Class 1 Misconduct related to sexual abuse, the Unit Manager shall refer the inmate to the Sex Offender Treatment Program for evaluation to determine whether or not the inmate is appropriate for the program and if the inmate will be required to complete the program as part of the sanctions or as a condition to access programming or other benefits.

5) The facility may discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only if it is substantiated that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

6) For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

7) A reporting inmate may only be subjected to discipline if the report is determined to be unfounded with proven malicious intent at the conclusion of a full investigation.

8) The Department prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and may discipline inmates for such activity. The Department will not deem such activity to constitute sexual abuse if the Department through the investigative process determines that the activity is not coerced or forced.

During the past 12 months, there has been no administrative criminal finding of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse that has occurred at the facility.
Staff interviews indicate inmates found to have engaged in sexual abuse or sexual harassment may face a misconduct hearing and/or criminal charges depending upon the circumstances.

Staff interviews indicate that the inmate would be seen by the Mental Health staff anytime the inmate requested Mental Health services. Mental Health staff are available for inmates in the Boot Camp or SIP program at Quehanna.

Staff interviews indicate inmates who have violated the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment procedures would go through a disciplinary hearing. If the allegations were criminal in nature, the Pennsylvania State Police may pursue criminal charges.

**Standard 115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and Training states if the screening pursuant to PREA Standard 115.41 indicates that a prison or jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical and mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening or sooner, if clinically indicated.

If the screening pursuant to PREA Standard 115.41 indicates that a prison or jail inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. In addition, when information becomes available relating to perpetration of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse history, a mental health evaluation will be conducted on these abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.

Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans, security and management decisions, including housing, bed placement, work, education, and program assignments, or otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law.

Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18. The DC-484 (13.08.01 Delivery of Mental Health Services, Attachment 2-A) will be used for this purpose. If the inmate refuses to sign, it shall be noted on the DC-484 and signed by the witness and maintained in the medical record.
During the past 12 months, 100% of inmates who disclosed prior victimization during screening were offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner.

During the past 12 months, 100% of inmates who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse were offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner.

During the pre-audit, the auditor reviewed a sample of records of inmates who disclosed prior victimization as well as inmates who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse. The inmates sampled had documentation of a mental health evaluation within 14 days. Also the auditor interviewed an inmate and confirmed that he had seen a mental health professional in less than 14 days.

**Standard 115.82 Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 4- Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse states:

Inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of such services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgement.

The inmate victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Through various staff interviews, it was discovered inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency treatment and crisis intervention services. If the abuse occurred within 96 hours, the inmate would immediately be taken down to medical to receive stabilization treatment and would then be transferred to the hospital. Inmates receive treatment based on the medical and/or mental health staff’s professional opinion. Victims of sexual abuse are offered timely information about access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

**Standard 115.83 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 4- Responding to Reports of Sexual Abuse states the facility shall offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility.

Inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections, as medically appropriate.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 2- Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment Prevention and training states when information becomes available relating to perpetration of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse history, a mental health evaluation will be conducted on these abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.

Through various staff interviews, it was determined medical treatment for sexual abuse victims would include a medical evaluation from one of the Registered Nurses working at the facility. If warranted, the inmate would be taken to the Mount Nittany Medical Center. Mental Health staff would conduct an evaluation of the inmate within the next business day.

**Standard 115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 6- Sexual Abuse Incident Review states each facility shall conduct a Sexual Abuse Incident Review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation where the allegation was substantiated or unsubstantiated. No review will be conducted if the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The review shall occur within 15 working days of the receipt of the notification from Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence (OSII) that the investigation was deemed satisfactory. The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Compliance Manager will chair the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee. The PREA Compliance Manager, in collaboration with the Facility Manager, will determine the exact composition of the team based on
the nature of the incident. At a minimum, the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team will consist of the following:

1) Deputy Superintendent for Centralized Services (DSCS);
2) Deputy Superintendent for Facilities Management (DSFM);
3) Licensed Psychology Manager (LPM)/designee;
4) Corrections Health Care Administrator (CHCA)/designee;
5) Security Office representative;
6) Unit Manager;
7) Corrections Counselor;
8) Major of Unit Management or Major of the Guard;
9) Deputy Superintendent for Internal Security (DSIS) (if applicable);
10) OSI Investigator (as applicable); and
11) Statewide PREA Coordinator/designee (when necessary).

The facility acknowledges staff ordinarily conduct a criminal or administrative sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the sexual abuse investigation.

While onsite, the auditor reviewed a sample of both Criminal and Administrative Investigations of Sexual Abuse.

During the pre-audit, the auditor was provided with documentation of an incident review. The facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual incident reviews, and any recommendations for improvement, and submits such report to the facility head and PREA Compliance Manager. Recommendations are made as part of the incident review. The facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so.

Through interviews with staff, it was confirmed the facility conducts incident reviews at the conclusion of a sexual abuse investigation, excluding only “unfounded” incidents. The incident reviews include upper-level management officials, and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The review team evaluates each case. The review team; considers any possible motivations for the abuse, considers whether physical barriers may have enabled the abuse, accesses the adequacy of staffing levels during different shifts in that area, accesses whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff. At the conclusion of the facility incident review, the PREA Compliance Manager will submit a report of their findings to the PREA Coordinator. If there were any deficiencies notated, there would also be documentation outlining the steps the facility plans to take in order to correct the problem.
Standard 115.87 Data collection

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 1 - Data Collection and Retention states:

The Bureau of Planning, Research and Statistics shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under the Department’s direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice.

The agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data annually.

The agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

The agency obtains incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinements of its inmates.

The data from private facilities complies with the SSV reporting regarding content.

The agency provided the Department of Justice (DOJ) with data from the previous calendar year upon request.

Standard 115.88 Data review for corrective action

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 1 - Data Collection and Retention states The Bureau of
Planning, Research and Statistics shall review data collected and aggregated annually pursuant to PREA Auditing Standard in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices and training by:

1) Identifying problem areas;

2) Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and

3) Preparing an annual report of its finding and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the Department as a whole.

The report shall include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions taken to reduce the incident of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation with those from prior years, and shall provide an assessment of the Department’s progress in addressing sexual abuse.

The Annual PREA Report shall be approved by the Secretary and posted on the Department website by June 30 of each year. A link to the Department website can be found below:

http://www.cor.pa.gov/Administration/PrisonRapeEliminationACT(PREA)/Pages/DOC-PREA-Statistics.aspx#.VfSLPa1RHIU

Specific identifying information collected for reporting purposes shall be redacted so that no individual is identifiable. In addition, the Department may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific danger to a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted.

Through various staff interviews, it was determined that sexual abuse data is submitted to the agency monthly. If a problem or trend is noticed, a plan of action would be drafted to rectify the problem. Data is retained on secure servers that are backed up. Annual reports are typically broad and are intended to capture statistical numbers. Inmate’s names and specific information related to the allegations are redacted.

**Standard 115.89 Data storage, publication, and destruction**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

DC-ADM 008 PREA Procedures Manual Section 1- Data Collection and Retention states each facility and Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence (OSII) shall be responsible to securely maintain
such files. The Department shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and contracted facilities, readily available to the public through the Department website, at least annually. The Department shall securely retain all aggregate PREA data, on the Department’s secure servers, collected for a period of no less than ten years after the date of the initial collection unless federal, state or local law requires otherwise.

Specific identifying information collected for reporting purposes shall be redacted so that no individual is identifiable. In addition, the Department may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific danger to a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted.

Through various staff interviews, it was determined sexual abuse data is submitted to the agency monthly. If a problem or trend is noticed, a plan of action would be drafted to rectify the problem. Data is retained on secure servers that are backed up.
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