

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL E. KEELING,
Petitioner

v.

MR. FORR, GRIEVANCE
COORDINATOR AT SCI-FRACKVILLE, :
Respondent

NO. 774 M.D. 2003

PER CURIAM

ORDER

Now, April 6, 2004, upon consideration of respondent's preliminary objections and petitioner's response, the court orders the following:

It appearing that petition seeks to have this court compel grievance coordinator Forr to process or answer grievance no. 55159 in accordance with DC-ADM 804, and this court having held that the procedures for pursuing inmate grievances are a matter of internal prison administration and that prison officials must be allowed to exercise judgment in execution of those policies free from judicial interference, Iseley v. Beard, 841 A.2d 168 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2004), petitioner has no clear legal right to the relief he requests and therefore fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted. Respondent's demurrer is sustained.

Respondent's preliminary objection in the nature of a motion to strike for lack of jurisdiction is similarly sustained, as petitioner has failed to name an officer with statewide policymaking functions, Bronson v. Central Office Review Committee, 554 Pa. 317, 721 A.2d 357 (1998).

The petition for review is dismissed.

Certified from the Record

APR - 7 2004

and Order Exit