2019-2020 Fiscal Year Summary Act 45 of 2010 Restraining Pregnant Females Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

Correctional institutions in Pennsylvania are required to ensure the safety of pregnant prisoners or detainees in their custody within the facility and during transport outside of the facility. The restraint of a pregnant prisoner is considered an extraordinary occurrence and is permissible only when the prisoner or detainee presents a substantial flight risk or if there are extraordinary medical or security risks to the prisoner, the staff of the correctional institution or medical facility, other prisoners or the public.

When the use of restraints is deemed necessary it is the responsibility of the correctional institution to provide adequate personnel to monitor a pregnant prisoner or detainee for the duration of her stay at a medical facility, in addition to her transport to and from the medical facility. If a restraint is used, the prisoner or detainee must always be accompanied by correctional institution staff with the ability to release the restraint, shall it become medically necessary. If a doctor, nurse or other health professional requests it, correctional institution staff must remove all restraints.

If there is knowledge that the prisoner is in the second or third trimester of pregnancy, the least restrictive restraint necessary should be used. Restraints shall not be used during any stage of labor, any pregnancy-related medical distress, any period of delivery, any period of postpartum, or for transport to a medical facility after the beginning of the second trimester of pregnancy without a determination that the prisoner presents a substantial flight risk or the existence of an extraordinary medical or security risk.

Act 45 of 2010 (SB 1074) establishes the documentation requirements for county jails and state correctional institutions that must be met in reporting incidents of restraint applied to pregnant prisoners or detainees. Initial reports are submitted in writing through a Monthly Extraordinary Occurrence Report to Pennsylvania Department of Corrections (DOC). Should a use of restraint occur, individual and separate written findings for each incident must accompany the report and must note the type of restraints utilized, the trimester of pregnancy and a justification for the use of restraints.

Act 45 also requires the Pennsylvania DOC to provide a written report to the Governor's Office summarizing the use of restraints on pregnant prisoners or detainees incarcerated in State Correctional Facilities or County Jails. This document is the ninth annual report completed under Act 45 and covers the 2019-2020 fiscal year.

Between July 1, 2019 and June 30, 2020, the DOC received reports of pregnant inmates being restrained from 8 of the 58 county jails that house females in Pennsylvania. Fifty counties did not submit any Extraordinary Occurrence Reports of pregnant inmates being restrained during FY 2019-20. During this time, there were zero incidents of restraining pregnant inmates within the DOC's State Correctional Institutions.

Twenty separate incidents, involving 15 different inmates were reported from county jails. The reported age of the pregnant inmates ranged from 21 to 37.

Data on trimester of pregnancy was documented for four out of the 15 inmates involved. Of those reported, two inmates were in the 1st trimester of pregnancy, one inmate was in the 2nd trimester of pregnancy and one inmate was postpartum. Of the reported incidents, 14 occurred during transport to a medical facility, two occurred in a medical facility and four within the correctional facility.

Restraints were administered as follows:

- Handcuffs were used in 17 incidents.
- Ankle shackles or an ankle cuffed to a bed were used in 7 incidents.
- Oleoresin capsicum was used in one incident.
- Restraint chair was used in one incident.
- One incident did not detail the type of restraint used.

Harm to self or staff is cited as the reason for the use of restraints on pregnant females in five reported incidents. Additionally, two incidents cited and Inmate assault or fight and 13 incidents cited transportation to court or medical facilities.

A breakdown of the incidents by county is shown below, followed by a three-year overview of the use of restraints by type.

Summary of Restraints Used on Pregnant Inmates, by County Jail July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020

County Jail / Institution	Number of Incidents	Types of Restraints	Incidents by Trimester	Number of Inmates
Allegheny	2	Handcuff only (1) Shackled to bed (1)	2- Unknown	2
Armstrong	1	Handcuff only (1)	1 – Unknown	1
Fayette	2	Handcuffs(2)	2 - Unknown	2
Lancaster	2	Handcuffs (2)	1 – 1st Trimester 1- 2nd Trimester	2
Lehigh	1	Handcuff, Ankle Shackle & Oleoresin Capsicum(1)	1 – Postpartum	1
Montgomery	1	Shackled to bed (1)	3 – 3rd Trimester	1
Somerset	9	Handcuffs Only (5) Handcuffs & Ankle Shackles (4)	5 – Unknown	5
York	2	Handcuffs & Restraint Chair (1) Unknown (1)	2 – Unknown	1
Total	20			15

Restraint of Pregnant Inmates 3-Year Overview

Opposite State of the State of	Number	Total Restriction	Number of the original origina	Han Of	Shacufe	Rostr. to ba	Ankle Chai	Olooresi, checkles	Suicia Calbeir	Number County	Total Cotton	heide of	Hand	Symp To Goy	Waichles	Roshing Bolt	Antie Chair	Mooresin ackles	Number Com	Total Coffee	hoimber of	Hand	100 75 GOJ	Waje	Roshai Belt	Anklo o chair	Meoresin Ches	Unterlight
		-2020	_		ре с	of Re	stra	int	1	2018		_	Ту		f Re	strai	-		2017	-2018		Ту	pe o	f Res	strai	nt		
Allegheny	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	7	-	3	-	1	1	-	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Armstrong	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Berks	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	N/S	4	1	1	-	3	-	-	-	
Columbia		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	_	-	1	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dauphin	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Fayette	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Huntingdon	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lancaster	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Lebanon	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/S	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Lehigh	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Luzerne	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4	3	-	-	1	3	-	-	
Lycoming	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Montgomery	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	•	-	1	-	-	-	2	3	3	-	-	-	1	1	-	
Somerset	5	9	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	2	3	3	-	-	2	-	-	-	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
York	1	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	N/S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total:	15	20	17	2	1	5	1	0	1	17	21	16	1	5	5	1	1	1	11	15	9	1	0	5	5	1	1	

N/S - Nothing submitted

An overview of the last three years reveals that 15 of the 58 county jails that house females have reported incidents involving the use of restraints on pregnant prisoners or detainees. It is not known whether outside agencies who transport pregnant prisoners were without incident, or failed to report. Additionally, some Extraordinary Occurrence Reports received lack critical information, such as trimester of pregnancy, type of restraint and the required separate written findings describing the circumstances that led to the determination that the prisoner or detainee represented a substantial flight risk or a safety threat.